Dalton **Transactions**

www.rsc.org/dalton

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2011, 40, 9076

Downloaded by Ludwig Maximilians Universitaet Muenchen on 14 September 2011

PERSPECTIVE

Oxygen evolving complex in Photosystem II: Better than excellent

Mohammad Mahdi Najafpour^{*a} and Govindjee^b

Received 23rd April 2011, Accepted 27th May 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1dt10746a

The Oxygen Evolving Complex in photosystem II, which is responsible for the oxidation of water to oxygen in plants, algae and cyanobacteria, contains a cluster of one calcium and four manganese atoms. This cluster serves as a model for the splitting of water by energy obtained from sunlight. The recent published data on the mechanism and the structure of photosystem II provide a detailed architecture of the oxygen-evolving complex and the surrounding amino acids. Biomimetically, we expect to learn some strategies from this natural system to synthesize an efficient catalyst for water oxidation, that is necessary for artificial photosynthesis.

Introduction 1.

The generation of hydrogen from the decomposition of water may be an appealing solution for the future energy concerns associated with diminishing reserves of fossil fuels and global warming scenarios, as discussed by Bockris.1 A plausible strategy is to employ solar energy to drive artificial photosynthesis as a means to capture light energy and generate solar fuels (most commonly H_2)

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan, 45137-66731, Iran. E-mail: mmnajafpour@iasbs.ac.ir; Tel: (+98) 241 415 3201

^bBiochemistry, Biophysics and Plant Biology, University of Illinois, 265 Morrill Hall, MC-116, 505 South Goodwin Avenue, Urbana, IL, 61801-3707, USA. E-mail: gov@illinois.edu; Fax: 1-217-244-7246

via electrolytic reactions.¹⁻³ A detailed analysis of the prospects for generating hydrogen as a renewable fuel from electrolysis of water is available.¹ The anodic reaction is overwhelmingly rate-limiting, resulting in substantial electrode over-voltage (~1 V) when working at current densities required for practical operations; this impacts directly on the conversion efficiencies.

At this high voltage, other chemicals will be formed at the anode and this would be environmentally unacceptable for large-scale H_2 production.¹ Thus, a significant challenge in this endeavor, a prerequisite for a sustainable hydrogen economy, is to design a "super catalyst" for anodic oxidation reaction.¹⁻³ Water oxidation in Nature is catalyzed by a Mn₄O₅Ca complex housed in a protein environment in photosystem II (PSII) that controls reaction coordinates, proton movement and water access.4-7 (see the latest

Govindjee was born in Alla-

habad, India. He received his

PhD in 1960 from the University

of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign

(UIUC), USA, under Prof. Eu-

gene Rabinowitch. He served for

40 years on the faculty in Bio-

physics, Biochemistry and Plant

Biology at the UIUC; currently,

he is Prof. Emeritus there. He

has studied the primary events of

oxygenic photosynthesis, partic-

ularly in Photosystem II (PSII),



Mohammad Mahdi Najafpour

Mohammad Mahdi Najafpour: received his PhD in Inorganic from Chemistry Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran, in 2009. He has been a guest scientist in Philipp Kurz's group in Dr the Institut für Anorganische Christian-Albrechts-Chemie. Universität zu Kiel in Germany for six months. Mahdi is a recipient of several awards and fellowships, notably the gold medal of the National Chemistry

Olympiad in 2004; he ranked 1st in the Khwarizmi Youth Festival in 2010. Currently, he is a faculty member in Chemistry in the Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS) (Zanjan, Iran). Mahdi and his research group explore manganese compounds as water oxidizing catalysts for artificial photosynthesis.



Govindjee the system that oxidizes water and reduces plastoquinone. He has provided clear understanding of light emission (fluorescence; thermoluminescence) in terms of PSII reactions, and of the unique role of bicarbonate in the electron transport and protonation in PSII. Govindjee is the founding series editor of 'Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration' (Springer).

1.9 Å resolution structure by Umena et al.⁷). PSII is a dimeric, multi-subunit, transmembrane protein complex of ~ 650 kDa molecular weight, found in the thylakoid membranes of plant and algal chloroplasts and in cvanobacteria. The Mn₄O₅Ca complex⁷ catalyzes water oxidation, and is, thus, responsible for the presence of oxygen in the Earth's atmosphere pool.⁸⁻¹⁴ The primary role of PSII in photosynthesis is to use energy obtained from the sunlight to produce charge separation, leading to the reduction of plastoquinone to plastoquinol and the oxidation of water to molecular oxygen.15-20 This reducing power, augmented by additional photonic energy, absorbed in PSI, produces the reducing power in the form of NADPH. The NADPH and the ATP are used to assimilate carbon dioxide in the "dark reactions" (the Calvin-Benson cycle) of photosynthesis.^{21,22} The ultimate source of these low-potential electrons is water, which is oxidized to dioxygen in the oxygen evolving complex (OEC, also called WOC for water oxidizing complex) of PSII according to the fourelectron reaction, of course, with energy input coming ultimately from light. The reaction is summarized in eqn (1):

$$2H_2O \rightarrow O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$$
 (1)

Plants, algae and cyanobacteria use an extensive array of photopigments in PSII, four manganese ions, one calcium ion, and two chloride ions to carry out these reactions. PSII has the unique Mn cluster to oxidize water to molecular oxygen; no other metal has been found to replace manganese in this structure although calcium can be substituted by strontium.^{5,23}

2. Structure of manganese-calcium cluster in PSII

EXAFS (Extended X-ray absorption fine structure) experiments with PSII can be done with a substantially lower X-ray dose than used in XRD (X-ray diffraction) measurements;6 further, the onset of radiation damage can be precisely determined and controlled by monitoring the Mn K-edge position, thus allowing the collection of data from the intact Mn₄Ca cluster of PSII.⁶ In addition, EXAFS provides metal-to-metal and metal-to-ligand distances with high accuracy (~0.02 Å) and a resolution of ~0.1 Å. Mn EXAFS studies of frozen solutions of PSII preparations have provided accurate distances and numbers of Mn-Mn, Mn-Ca and Mn-Ca ligand vectors in the Mn₄Ca cluster⁶ and have led to the development of several probable structural models for the Mn₄Ca cluster. Thus, EXAFS provides us with important additional geometric information about the metal site in metalloprotein crystals. Yano et al.6 showed that polarized EXAFS can be used to provide structural models of the Mn₄Ca active site.

The Mn–Mn inter-metal distances within the cluster are not adequately resolved in the XRD data, and have been set, yielding a symmetrical trigonal prism of CaMn₃.⁶ Yano *et al.*⁶ postulated four bridging oxides linking the tetrahedral array of Mn₃Ca atoms, with one of these oxides (μ_4 -oxo) bridging to the fourth Mn atom external to the cube.⁶ However, these investigations do not provide details of the structure of the Mn₄Ca cluster, the locations of substrate water molecules, or the precise arrangement of the amino-acid side chains and cofactors that may have significant mechanistic consequences in water oxidation.

In 2011, Umena *et al.*⁷ reported the crystal structure of manganese–calcium cluster of PSII at an atomic resolution using

a sliding-oscillation method to reduce the X-ray dose illuminated on a unit volume of the crystal.⁷ In this structure, one calcium and four manganese ions are bridged by five oxygens (Fig. 1). Four water molecules were also found in this structure; and two of them may serve as the substrate for water oxidation.⁷ The whole structure of the Mn_4CaO_5 cluster resembles a *distorted chair*, with the asymmetric cubane serving as the seat base and the isolated Mn4 and O4 atoms serving as the back of the chair¹ (Fig. 1).⁷



Fig. 1 Oxygen Evolving Complex (OEC) with the asymmetric cubane serving as the seat base and the isolated Mn4 and one O bridged serving as the back of the chair.⁷ The distorted chair on the right is reproduced from: http://www.flickr.com/photos/32869691@N05/3173474438/.

The structural models from structure refinement of computational models based on EXAFS simulations by Brudvig's group²⁴ and Siegbahn's group²⁵ are also close to the structure found in the 1.9 Å crystal structure of Umena *et al.*⁷

3. Evolutionary origin of the Mn₄Ca cluster in PSII

It is known that the Oxygen Evolving Complex (OEC) assembles in a stepwise manner by manganese binding and oxidation reactions.⁵ However, the origin of the OEC is an enigma. There are several hypotheses for the origin of the OEC. One involves binuclearmanganese active sites, including ribonucleotide reductase, catalase and arginase.9,26 It has been argued that H2O2 could have been a key intermediate en route to oxygenic photosynthesis.9,26 Blankenship and Hartman²⁶ proposed that a primitive Mn catalase was the original template upon which the modern OEC was structured. Raymond and Blankenship²⁷ developed an approach for determining the optimal superposition of the atoms concentrated around the active sites of PSII and binuclear-manganese proteins. These observations support a common structural core in the OEC and in distinct manganese binuclear enzymes. Mono-nuclear manganese enzymes have also been proposed for the origin of the OEC.18

Russell and Hall¹¹ speculated on how such a mineral-derived cluster may have provided the basis for the chemistry of the photosynthetic water oxidation reactions. However, Sauer and Yachandra²⁸ proposed a possible evolutionary origin for the Mn₄ cluster of the photosynthetic water oxidation complex from

natural MnO₂ precipitates in the early oceans.²⁸ They compared the chemical structures of manganese in the minerals with what is known and the OEC to define the parameters of a structural model for the photosynthetic catalytic site by using X-ray spectroscopy.²⁸ On the other hand, Najafpour²⁹ proposed a new origin for OEC from manganese-oxidizing bacteria. The manganese-oxidizing bacteria are characterized by their ability to catalyze the oxidation of divalent, soluble Mn(II) to insoluble manganese oxides of the general formula MnO., Further oxidation of MnO. by these bacteria million years ago could produce compounds that were efficient in water oxidation. Thus, those bacteria did not need large amounts of manganese to be oxidized since very few manganese ions are enough for a large amount of water oxidation. Thus, those bacteria could have changed from oxidizing just manganese to oxidizing water.²⁹ Water oxidation could have been an advantage for water-oxidizing bacteria because the amount of water on the Earth was huge and water-oxidizing bacteria could thus reproduce and survive more than other bacteria. These proposed water-oxidizing bacteria may, thus, have been the origin for cyanobacteria, algae and ultimately plants. It was reported that MnO_x could be a catalyst for water oxidation³⁰ and more interestingly one of us (M.M.N.) showed that incorporation of calcium in mixed-valence manganese oxides, that produced a structure similar to the OEC, greatly improved water oxidation activity of these manganese oxide.29

4. Mechanism of oxygen evolution by the Mn₄Ca cluster in PSII

One of the great unsolved mysteries in bioinorganic chemistry is the mechanism of water oxidation by the OEC of PSII. Pierre Joliot and Bessel Kok developed the basic principles for the function of the OEC, visualised in the Kok cycle^{31–33} (Scheme 1; see Satoh *et al.*¹⁴ for a general description). Oxygen evolution occurs through the "Kok cycle" of five "S-state" intermediates, S₀ through S₄, where the subscript represents the number of oxidizing equivalents abstracted from the OEC by the photo-oxidized reaction center chlorophyll species (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 The Kok cycle (the catalytic cycle of the water oxidation).^{31–33} In the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$, $S_1 \rightarrow S_2$, $S_2 \rightarrow S_3$, and $S_3 \rightarrow S_4$ transitions, light (*hv*) is used to oxidize a special chlorophyll molecule, P680, which in turn oxidizes the OEC *via* a redox-active tyrosine, the $S_4 \rightarrow S_0$ transition is light independent.

There are many proposals for the mechanism of oxygen evolution by the OEC in PSII (Scheme 2). Several models for the mechanism of oxygen evolution in PSII have been based on an asymmetric binding motif for the two-substrate water molecules,



Scheme 2 Proposed mechanisms for oxygen evolution during the $S_4 \rightarrow S_0$ transition. They are from **a**) Messinger *et al.*⁴¹; **b**) Pecoraro *et al.*³⁶; **c**) Renger;⁴² **d**) Najafpour;¹⁷ **e**) Dau *et al.*⁴³ and **f**) Limburg *et al.*³⁷ The models **a–d** are based on nucleophilic attack, by the second water, and **e** and **f** are based on tautomerism on oxygen evolution reactions.⁴² Considering the mechanism of manganese containing peroxidase,⁴⁰ Renger⁴² concluded that a symmetrically bridged peroxide is probably prone to disruption of the O–O bond by reduction to water, whereas terminally ligated peroxide can be oxidized to molecular oxygen.

resulting in a strong electrophile at the S₄-state, which is subject to nucleophilic attack by the second substrate.³⁴ Knerel'man and co-workers³⁵ have suggested that the Mn-bound species are highly electron deficient (for example Mn(v)=O). Further, Pecoraro and co-workers³⁶ have proposed that a terminal Mn(v)=O undergoes a nucleophilic attack by a Ca²⁺ bound hydroxide ligand to form a Mn-bound hydroperoxide. Brudvig and co-workers³⁷ have also proposed a mechanism in which a Ca2+ ion plays a role as a weak Lewis acid. In this mechanism, a water molecule bound to calcium reacts with a Mn(v)=O species to form the O=O bond through a nucleophilic attack.³⁷ Lee and Brudvig³⁸ provided direct support for the proposal that Ca²⁺ plays a structural role in the early S-state transitions which can be also fulfilled by other cations with similar ionic radius.³⁸ Further, the functional role of Ca²⁺ to activate water in the O–O bond-forming reaction, that occurs in the final step of the S-state cycle, can only be fulfilled by Ca2+ and Sr2+, which have similar Lewis acidities.39 This idea has been elaborated by Brudvig and co-workers²⁴ to generate a mechanism that accounts for the detailed oxygen evolution by PSII.24

5. Structural and functional models for the Mn₄ Ca cluster in PSII

In the past few years, there has been a tremendous surge in research on the synthesis of various manganese compounds aimed at simulating the OEC of PSII⁴⁴⁻⁶⁹ but very few inorganic complexes have been claimed to be functional models for the OEC.^{59,71,72} Recently, one of us (M. M. N.) has studied manganese–calcium oxides as both functional and structural models for the Mn₄Ca cluster in PSII.^{29,46,50,71,72}

6. Learning a few strategies used by Nature to oxidize water

In the next sections, we emphasize some of the strategies used by natural systems to oxidize water and hope that they will be incorporated in the artificial systems to synthesize an efficient water oxidizing compound.

6.1. Heterogeneity

An important aspect related to the OEC of PSII is its active site that is buried in the protein, and that prevents the interaction of two Mn_4O_5Ca clusters. The interaction of two complexes results in decomposition, decoordination or disproportionation.^{44,45,57-59} The performance of the sequestered system increases the number of metal cycles manifest in the increased robustness of the catalyst.⁵⁶ For example, little oxygen evolution were observed upon mixing aqueous [(terpy)(H₂O)Mn(O)₂Mn(H₂O)(terpy)](NO₃)₃ with aqueous solutions of Ce(IV),⁵⁸ but it catalytically produced O₂ from water when it was adsorbed on clay compounds.⁵⁶ The assembly of model complexes into a crystalline, stable and nanoporous array also shows promise for biomimetic catalysis.⁷⁰ Thus, it seems better to use a system that has active-site sequestration built in it.

6.2. Tetranuclear manganese cluster

The OEC in PSII is a tetranuclear manganese cluster as stated in the previous sections (see Fig. 1). Multinuclear metal clusters used by Nature favor the occurrence of multi-electron and multi-stage complex processes. There are many mono-, di- and trinuclear manganese complexes as structural models for the OEC in PSII;^{55,60} these simpler complexes are useful for the isolation of higher-valent complexes. Indeed, we have obtained much information about spectroscopic properties of higher valent manganese compounds.^{55,60} However, it is suggested that tetra- and even polynuclear compounds, similar to metal oxides, which favor the occurrence of four-electron water oxidation, could be better functional models for the OEC in PSII.^{29,30}

6.3. The pH value

The pH plays an important role in affecting and regulating the activity of PSII. The oxidation of water to molecular oxygen involves the transfer of four electrons and four protons. One of the factors which can reduce the potential required for water oxidation considerably is high pH or binding of the released protons by bases with $pK > 7.5^{55}$ In addition to this, the effects of pH on the proton and electron transfer, at the redox-active groups, and its role in redox potential of the OEC are important.⁵⁵ The biological water

oxidation occurs at the pH of 5 in the lumen of thylakoids.⁵ The pH used in many of the artificial water oxidation reaction mixtures may be the most likely cause of the lower yields.⁷³ High or low pH values result in decomposition, disproportionation, and ligand decoordination.⁷³ At low pH, the formation of high-valent Mn species is also not favored.⁵⁷ Thus, an *optimum* pH value must be sought for in all the artificial systems.

6.4. Proton and electron transfer

Oxidation-reduction reactions are involved in many biological reactions. In water oxidation, the OEC is oxidized via a redoxactive tyrosine.14 However, oxidation of the OEC without any proton loss leads to an increase in positive charge of the OEC; a high positive charge on the OEC can lead to a significant increase in redox potentials and unwanted reactions from a highly oxidized cluster. To avoid the production of high-energy intermediates, Nature has used proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) mechanisms.⁷⁴ In PCET, either an electron transfer is followed by a proton transfer or a proton transfer is followed by an electron transfer. Both the electron and proton content between reactants and products is changed in PCET reactions.75 PCET provides a molecular-level basis for energy transduction between proton transfer and electron transfer.75 Wang et al.76 have modeled proton coupled electron transfer in a mixed-valence oxomanganese complex $[(bpy)_2Mn^{III}(\mu-O)_2Mn^{IV}(bpy)_2]^{3+}$ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridyl).⁷⁶ They found that the pK_a 's of the oxo ligands depend strongly on the oxidation states of the complex 1, changing by approximately 10 pH units upon the reduction of Mn₂^{III,IV} to $Mn_2^{III,III}$ in complex 1. These computational results suggest that the reduction of $Mn_2^{III,IV}$ to $Mn_2^{III,III}$ in complex 1 is coupled to protonation of a di-µ-oxo bridge. The details of how electrons and protons are transferred in oxidation-reduction reactions are very important in selecting good strategies to synthesize a good catalyst that could oxidize water with low energy input in artificial photosynthesis.

6.5. Channels

There are three channels that lead from the OEC to the lumenal side of PSII for oxygen, water and protons (Fig. 2).77,78 There are, as yet, no synthetic model complexes with groups that control proton, water and oxygen movements. Styring and co-workers⁷⁹ have discussed the importance and the possible existence of a gating mechanism for controlling substrate water access to the Mn₄Ca cluster. All the proposed functional assignments of these channels have been based on electrostatic, structural and orientational grounds. Styring and co-workers^{79,80} have proposed that O₂ should be removed quickly to avoid ¹O₂ formation through reactions with excited chlorophylls; this can be accomplished by having a large channel system with four exit pathways, and this would be more suited for O₂ removal. It appears that an effective mechanism coupled to structural changes in the Mn₄Ca cluster, with the optimum positions of associated ligands, during the Kok's S-state cycle, for water to reach the Mn may operate in PSII.79,80 Vassiliev and co-workers81 have considered molecular dynamics simulations for the analysis and visualization of water flow in PSII.⁸¹ Their investigation of water movement in PSII has introduced a novel perspective to the study of the supply of



Fig. 2 The figure shows channels for hydrophobic oxygen (i), water (ii) and protons (iii), all leading to the catalytic Mn_4Ca cluster of PSII. OEC stands for Oxygen Evolving Complex (reproduced from ref. 34).

water to the OEC, and has showed that the functional PSII is characterized by a branched water supply structure with multiple control points. Their investigations show that the loss of the OEC from PSII causes a transition to a simpler, straighter and wider channel with two permanent openings, that is well suited for the transport of ions required to rebuild the Mn₄ Ca cluster.⁸¹ Thus, it is necessary to do research on this aspect of the problem before the efficient artificial system can be built.

6.6. Spin balance

Many chemical reactions involve a change in spin state and are formally forbidden.^{24,82-84} The spin-balance theory shows that spinflipping processes, during chemical reactions, often have a high activation energy.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ The OEC has an odd-electron state and using the strategy in the OEC, biological water oxidation involves no change in spin-state (the arrows show the spins of the electrons):

It has been reported that the overvoltage of the oxygen evolution reaction has been the largest source of energy loss in water electrolysis, and the major source of this overvoltage is the spin inversion required to produce triplet oxygen.^{82,84,85} This theory must also be considered in synthesizing model complexes.

6.7. Outer bonds

We know that the primary coordination sphere impacts on many properties of metalloproteins, including electronic structure, Lewis acidity, stabilization of metal complexes, and coordination geometry.⁸⁶ The secondary coordination sphere around the metal ion in proteins is also important and is strongly correlated with function.⁸⁶⁻⁹⁰ The outer hydrogen bonds are very important in water oxidation in PSII and they could decrease the activation energy for water oxidation (for example, leading to a weakening of the M–OH bond¹⁷). One factor that can considerably reduce the potential required for water oxidation is binding of the released protons by hydrogen bonds.^{17,89,90} Hydrogen bonds are the most common type of secondary coordination sphere used by biomolecules. Urea-based ligands have been developed that create rigid organic frameworks to form a secondary coordination sphere in model complexes.^{86,87} Zong and Thummel⁸⁸ have synthesized a very interesting family of ruthenium complexes that are robust catalysts as compared to others previously reported. In these complexes, the water molecule could be H-bonded to an uncomplexed naphthyridine-nitrogen ligand.⁸⁸

6.8. Oxidant

The OEC is linked to the reaction center II chlorophyll (P_{680}) *via* a redox-active tyrosine residue on the D1 subunit, labeled as Y_Z .⁹¹ The Y_Z subunit is close enough to the OEC to be intimately involved in the chemical catalysis of water oxidation, rather than simply acting as the immediate oxidant of the OEC.¹⁶ The Y_Z'/Y_Z potential is around 1.0 V.^{10,16} However, many of the oxidants used in water oxidation reactions (for example: ceric ammonium nitrate (1.4 V *vs.* NHE) or OxoneTM (1.7 V *vs.* NHE (normal hydrogen electrode) are more powerful than PSII.¹⁶ The oxidants result in decomposition of catalysts in many reactions. Najafpour *et al.* ⁵² have found that Ce(IV) may act not only as a simple oxidant, but may simultaneously be coordinated.⁵² This issue should be considered in water oxidation experiments that use Ce(IV) as an oxidant.⁵²

6.9. Role of calcium and chloride

There have been many proposals for the mechanism of oxygen evolution by the OEC in PSII.^{17,91-93} Available data support two proposals for the function of Ca²⁺ in the mechanism of oxygen evolution by the OEC.94,95 A number of experiments demonstrate a requirement for Ca²⁺ for the functional assembly and stability of the OEC. Another proposed role for Ca²⁺ is a structural one. Limburg et al.³⁶ and Pecoraro et al.³⁷ have postulated a specific role for calcium in ligating one of the substrate waters. Brudvig's group⁹⁶ has reported the first experimental evidence for a direct role of calcium in the mechanism as a Lewis acid. This ligated water or hydroxide forms the O–O bond of O₂ by attacking the oxygen of a terminal Mn(v) = O species in the S₄ state. Understanding the role of calcium is important in synthesizing a catalyst for water oxidation. Najafpour and co-workers^{29,46,49,50,71,72} have discovered that - in analogy to the OEC - incorporation of calcium in the manganese oxide system greatly improves water oxidation activity of the manganese oxides. The rate of oxygen evolution of these oxides depends on calcium in these manganese oxides (manganese calcium oxide > manganese oxide) and oxidation number of manganese ions in manganese oxides. The rate of oxygen evolution by Mn(III) oxides is higher than the rate of oxygen evolution by Mn(IV) oxides. Najafpour⁵⁰ has studied two mixedvalence manganese calcium oxides (manganese (III, IV) calcium oxides) with the aim of investigating the oxide systems that are very closely related to the elemental composition and oxidation number of manganese ions in the Mn₄O₅Ca core of the OEC in PSII.50

The most active calcium–manganese oxides in oxygen evolution reactions are amorphous powders^{29,46,49,50,72} and a structure could therefore not be identified by XRD method. However, the structure of these amorphous powders have now⁷² been evaluated, using extended-range X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS),

X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and extended Xray absorption fine structure (EXAFS). These results reveal similarities between the amorphous powders and the OEC in PSII. Two different Ca-containing motifs were identified in these amorphous manganese–calcium oxides. One of them results in the formation of Mn_3CaO_4 cubes, as also proposed for the OEC in PSII.⁷¹ Other calcium ions likely interconnect oxide-layer fragments. It was concluded that these readily synthesized manganese–calcium oxides are the closest structural and functional analogs to the native PSII catalyst found so far.⁷¹

The mechanism of oxygen evolution by CaMn₂O₄, using ¹⁸Oenriched water, has also been studied.⁷² These results show that oxygen evolution in the presence of single-electron oxidants such as cerium(IV) is "real" water oxidation.⁷² Further, these experiments do not show any clear indication for the involvement of surface oxido species in the oxygen evolution reaction from water.⁷² Similar studies may help in the further understanding of the mechanism of oxygen evolution by the OEC in PSII because of related structure of these manganese–calcium oxides and the OEC in PSII.^{71,72} A possible mechanism for water oxidation by these manganese calcium oxides is presented in Fig. 4. In these biomimetic water oxidizing catalysts, calcium ions most probably activate manganese oxide, perhaps, by changing structures of MnO₂ and Mn₂O₃ to another more active structures (tunnel or layer structures).



Fig. 3 (Top; a) The structure of CaMn₃O₆ as a biomimetic water oxidizing catalyst. (Bottom; b) The structure of CaMn₃O₆ contains [Mn₄CaO_{*x*}] sub-units similar to that found in the OEC in PSII⁵⁰ (oxygen: red; manganese: green; calcium: violet) (reproduced from ref. 29).



Fig.4 A proposed mechanism for water oxidation by calcium–manganese oxides. Before water oxidation, manganese ions in the oxide should be oxidized by the oxidants.

Another ion that should be considered to form a good water oxidizing catalyst is chloride. It has been known that Cl- is an essential ion for maximal oxygen evolving activity in PSII.95 A variety of proposals have been advanced to explain the Clrequirement for OEC: redox activity as a bridging ligand between Mn atoms, to regulate the redox properties of the Mn cluster, electron or proton transfer, a bridging ligand between Ca²⁺ and one of the Mn ions involved in H₂O oxidation or as a ion ligated solely to Ca²⁺.95 In the crystal structure of the manganese-calcium cluster of PSII at atomic resolution, two Cl- binding sites are clearly visible in the electron density map.⁷ These results show that there are no Cl- ions in the first coordination sphere of the Mn₄CaO₅ cluster.⁷ There are four groups surrounding each of the two Cl- binding sites, two water molecules and two amino acid residues.7 In the published atomic structure, two amino acids not only have an interaction with Cl- ions (with their backbone nitrogen) but are also coordinated to the Mn₄CaO₅. Kawakami et al. have proposed that release of these Cl⁻ ions may affect the stable coordination of these two residues to the Mn₄CaO₅-cluster, resulting in an inactivation of oxygen evolution.7 Kawakami et al. have further suggested that the two Cl⁻ ions may also function in maintaining the proton exit pathways as these are located at the entrance of two possible proton exit paths.7

There is also an additional Cl⁻ ion found in the high-resolution structure that is at a distance of around 25 Å to the Mn_4CaO_5 cluster.⁷ This Cl⁻ ion is located at the exit of a hydrogen-bond network from the Mn_4CaO_5 -cluster to the lumenal surface (Fig. 6) and may serve as one of the anion supply pathways, or water inlet/proton exit pathways.⁷



Fig. 5 Structure of the two Cl⁻ binding sites in the vicinity of the Mn_4CaO_5 cluster (reproduced from ref. 7).



Fig. 6 Detailed structure of the Cl binding sites showing a hydrogen-bond network connecting the Mn_4CaO_5 -cluster and Cl-3 (reproduced from ref. 7).

6.10. Four-electron water oxidation

A four-electron water oxidation is certainly easier than four sequential one-electron oxidation or two sequential two-electron oxidation because in those cases the first steps (H_2O to hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radical) are more endergonic than the four-electron water oxidation, and results in low over-voltage for practical operations.¹⁶

6.11. Regulating oxidizing power

If the $S_2 \rightarrow S_0$ (Scheme 1) two-electron couple (~0.8 V) has a higher potential than the H_2O_2/H_2O couple (~1.5 V), there could be a risk of oxidizing water too soon in the S-state cycle, producing H_2O_2 .¹⁶ Regulating oxidizing power is important in oxidizing water with high yields; this point must be considered in constructing synthetic models.

6.12. Manganese

During the past few years, there has been a tremendous surge in research on the synthesis of various Mn complexes aimed at simulating the OEC.^{44,45,55-62} Fe, Co, Ni, Ru and Ir-based catalysts also show promise for water oxidation.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁴ Compared to manganese, they are rare, expensive and (or) relatively toxic. An important point is that the first-row transition metals have smaller d-orbitals compared to other metals and therefore have smaller crystal field activation energies for transitions between oxidation states during oxygen evolution reaction.^{85,105}

6.13. Amino acids

Although PSII consists of hundreds of amino acids, there are only a small fraction of the residues that come in direct contact with the manganese–calcium cluster, and an even smaller fraction three to four residues on the average—that are directly involved in catalysis (Fig. 7).⁷ Many amino acids in PSII are involved in proton, water and oxygen transfer. Roles for the residues that come in contact directly with the manganese–calcium cluster include regulation of charges and electrochemistry of the Mn–Ca cluster, and help in coordinating water molecules at appropriate metal sites



Fig. 7 Hundreds of amino acids are in PSII but few of them are directly coordinated to the manganese–calcium cluster (calcium and manganese ions are shown in black).

and maintaining the stability of the cluster. The PSII manganese stabilizing protein is a highly conserved extrinsic component of the OEC. Its deletion from the photosystem may cause a dramatic lowering of the rate of oxygen evolution.¹⁰⁶ The photosystem II manganese stabilizing protein has also been suggested to be important in linking the active site of OEC with the lumen and to be involved in a proton-transfer network.¹⁰⁶ A simple inorganic manganese–calcium core without coordinated amino acids shows much lower activity than the OEC in PSII.^{29,46,49,50,71,72}

7. Concluding remarks

Finally, we would like to mention a different approach taken in the laboratory of Thomas Wydrzynski:53 they introduced a reverse engineering approach to build a simple, light-driven photo-catalyst based on the organization and function of the donor side of the PSII reaction centre and a bacterioferretin molecule has been 'coaxed' using genetic engineering to include the chromophores for light absorption and Mn complexes for water oxidation; Wydrzynski's research group has succeeded in observing oxidation of manganese in this engineered system.53 Recently Nam et al.¹⁰⁷ have introduced a biologically based nanostructure for visible-light driven water oxidation that uses a genetically engineered virus scaffold to mediate the co-assembly of zinc porphyrins (photosensitizer) and iridium oxide clusters (water oxidizing catalyst). Their results suggest that the biotemplated nanoscale assembly of functional components is a promising route to improved photocatalytic water-splitting systems.107

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to Professor Gary Brudvig of Yale University for his valuable suggestions for the improvement of this paper. M.M.N. is grateful to the Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences for support. We are thankful to Professor J. R. Shen for sharing the proofs of ref. 7a and b before they were printed. This paper was written through interactions, discussions and exchange of information between the two authors (M.M.N. and Gov) *via* e-mail only. Govindjee is thankful to Jeff Haas and his staff of the University of Illinois for help with internet facilities.

Notes and references

- 1 J. O. M. Bockris, Energy-The Solar Hydrogen Alternative, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977.
- 2 R. Pace, An Integrated Artificial Photosynthesis Model, in Artificial Photosynthesis: From Basic Biology to Industrial Application, ed. A. F. Collings and C. Critchley, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1st edn, 2005, pp. 13-34; F. G. Moore and G. W. Brudvig, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys., 2011, 2, 303-327; S. I. Allakhverdiev, V. D. Kreslavski, V. Thavasi, S. K. Zharmukhamedov, V. V. Klimov, S. Ramakrishna, H. Nishihara, M. Mimuro, R. Carpentier and T. Nagata, Photosynthetic Energy Conversion: Hydrogen Photoproduction by Natural and Biomimetic Systems, in Biomimetics, Learning from Nature, ed. A. Mukherjee, Vukovar, Croatia, 2010, pp. 49-76: In-Tech (intechweb.org);; S. I. Allakhverdiev, V. Thavasi, V. D. Kreslavskib, S. K. Zharmukhamedov, V. V. Klimov, S. Ramakrishna, D. A. Los, M. Mimuro, H. Nishihara and R. Carpentier, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2010, 11, 101-113; S. I. Allakhverdiev, J. J. Casal and T. Nagata, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2009, 8, 137-138; M. Yagi, A. Syouji, S. Yamada, M. Komi, H. Yamazaki and S. Tajima, Photochem. Photobiol. Sci., 2009, 8, 139-147; W. Lubitz, E. J. Reijerse and J. Messinger, Energy Environ. Sci., 2008, 1, 15-31.
- 3 I. McConnell, G. Li and G. W. Brudvig, Chem. Biol., 2010, 17, 434-447.
- 4 G. Renger, Photosynth. Res., 2003, 76, 269-288; T. Wydrzynski, N. Zumbulyadis, P. G. Schmidt, H. S. Gutowsky and Govindjee, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1976, 73, 1196-1198; T. Wydrzynski, S. B. Marks, P. G. Schmidt, Govindjee and H. S. Gutowsky, Biochemistry, 1978, 17, 2155–2162
- 5 Photosystem II: The light-driven water : plastoquinone oxidoreductase, Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration Series (series editor: Govindjee), vol. 22, ed. T. Wydrzynski and S. Satoh, Springer, Dordrecht 2005
- 6 J. Yano, J. Kern, K. Sauer, M. Latimer, Y. Pushkar, J. Biesiadka, B. Loll, W. Saenger, J. Messinger, A. Zouni and V. Yachandra, Science, 2006, 314, 821-825.
- 7 Y. Umena, K. Kawakami, J. R. Shen and N. Kamiya, Nature, 2011, 473, 55-60; K. Kawakami, Y. Umena, N. Kamiya and J. R. Shen, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2011, 104, 9.
- 8 Govindjee and W. Coleman, Sci. Am., 1990, 262, 50-58.
- 9 C. P. McKay and H. Hartman, Origins Life Evol. Biosphere, 1991, 21, 157-163.
- 10 J. Whitmarsh and Govindjee, The Photosynthetic Process, in Concepts in Photobiology: Photosynthesis and Photomorphogenesis, ed. G. S. Singhal, G. Renger, K. D. Irrgang, S. Sopory and Govindjee, Narosa Publishers/Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999, pp. 11-51; available free at: http://www.life.illinois.edu/govindjee/paper/gov.html; P. Jursinic and Govindjee, Photochem. Photobiol., 1977, 26, 617-628; V. V. Klimov, S. I. Allakhverdiev, S. Demeter and A. A. Krasnovsky, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 1979, 249, 227-230; S. I. Allakhverdiev, T. Tomo, Y. Shimada, H. Kindo, R. Nagao, V. V. Klimov and M. Mimuro, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 3924-3929; S. I. Allakhverdiev, T. Tsuchiyac, K. Watabec, A. Kojimad, D. A. Losa, T. Tomod, V. V. Klimovb and M. Mimuroc, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2011, 108, 8054.
- 11 M. J. Russell and A. J. Hall, Geochem. News, 2002, 113, 6-12.
- 12 K. N. Ferreira, T. M. Iverson, K. Maghlaoui, J. Barber and S. Iwata,
- Science, 2004, 303, 1831-1838. 13 B. Loll, J. Kern, W. Saenger, A. Zouni and J. Biesiadka, Nature, 2005, 438, 1040-1044.
- 14 K. Satoh, T. J. Wydrzynski and Govindjee, Introduction to photosystem II, in Photosystem II: The light-driven water : plastoquinone oxidoreductase, Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration, ed. T. J. Wydrzynski and K. Satoh, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005, vol. 22, pp. 111-227.
- 15 Photosystem I: The light-driven plastocyanin: ferredoxin oxidoreductase, Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration, ed. J. H. Golbeck (series editor: Govindjee), vol. 24, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- 16 J. P. McEvoy and G. W. Brudvig, Chem. Rev., 2006, 106, 4455-4483.
- 17 M. M. Najafpour, Plant Biosyst., 2006, 140, 163-170.
- 18 M. M. Najafpour, Origins Life Evol. Biosphere, 2009, 39, 151-163.
- 19 J. Yano and V. K. Yachandra, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 1711-1726.
- 20 Govindjee, J. F. Kern, J. Messinger and J. Whitmarsh, Photosystem II, in Encyclopedia of Life Sciences (ELS), John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, Chichester, 2010.

- 21 J. A. Bassham, Photosynth. Res., 2003, 76, 35-52.
- 22 A. A. Benson, Photosynth. Res., 2002, 73, 29-49.
- 23 A. Boussac, F. Rappaport, P. Carrier, J. M. Verbavatz, R. Gobin, D. Kirilovsky, A. W. Rutherford and M. Sugiura, J. Biol. Chem., 2004, 279, 22809-22819.
- 24 E. M. Sproviero, J. A. Gascon, J. P. McEvoy, G. W. Brudvig and V. S. Batista, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 395-415.
- 25 P. E. M. Siegbahn, Acc. Chem. Res., 2009, 42, 1871-1880.
- 26 R. E. Blankenship and H. Hartman, Trends Biochem. Sci., 1998, 23, 94–97. (It should be noted that the original motivation for proposing that a primitive catalase was the original template for the OEC came from the assumption that the OEC possesses catalase-type activity).
- 27 J. Raymond and R. E. Blankenship, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 377 - 383
- 28 K. Sauer and V. Yachandra, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2002, 99, 8631-8636.
- 29 M. M. Najafpour, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2011, 104, 111; M. M. Najafpour, Origins Life Evol. Biosphere, 2010, 41, 237-247.
- 30 A. Harriman, I. J. Pickering, J. M. Thomas and P. A. Christensen, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1, 1988, 84, 2795-2806; G. L. Elizarova, G. M. Zhidomirov and V. N. Parmon, Catal. Today, 2000, 58, 71-88
- 31 P. Joliot, G. Barbieri and R. Chabaud, Photochem. Photobiol., 1969, 10, 309-329
- 32 P. Joliot and B. Kok, Oxygen evolution in photosynthesis, in Bioenergetics of Photosynthesis, ed. Govindjee, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 387-412.
- 33 B. Kok, B. Forbush and M. McGloin, Photochem. Photobiol., 1970, 11, 457-475.
- 34 For a review see W. Hillier and T. Wydrzynski, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 306-317.
- 35 E. I. Knerel'man, N. P. Luneva, V. Y. Shafirovich and A. E. Shilov, Kinet. Katal., 1988, 29, 1350-1354.
- 36 V. L. Pecoraro, M. J. Baldwin, M. T. Caudle, W. Hsieh and N. A. Law, Pure Appl. Chem., 1998, 70, 925-929.
- 37 J. Limburg, V. A. Szalai and G. W. Brudvig, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 1353-1361.
- 38 C. Lee and G. W. Brudvig, Biochemistry, 2007, 46, 3211-3223.
- 39 G. W. Brudvig, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B, 2008, 363, 1211-1219
- 40 M. M. Whittacker, V. V. Barynin, S. V. Antonyuk and J. W. Whittaker, Biochemistry, 1999, 38, 9126-9136.
- 41 J. Messinger, M. Badger and T. Wydrzynski, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1995, **92**, 3209–3213.
- 42 G. Renger, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg., 2001, 1503, 210-228.
- 43 H. Dau, L. Iuzzolino and J. Dittmer, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg., 2001, 1503, 24-39.
- 44 M. M. Najafpour and D. M. Boghaei, Transition Met. Chem., 2009, 34, 367-372
- 45 M. M. Najafpour and V. McKee, Catal. Commun., 2010, 11, 1032-1035
- 46 M. M. Najafpour, T. Ehrenberg, M. Wiechen and P. Kurz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 2233-2237.
- 47 M. M. Najafpour, D. M. Boghaei and P. J. R. Sjoberg, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2010, 75, 1168-1170.
- 48 M. M. Najafpour, D. M. Boghaei and V. McKee, Polyhedron, 2010, **29**, 3246–3250.
- 49 M. M. Najafpour, Geomicrobiology, 2011, in press.
- 50 M. M. Najafpour, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 3793–3795. 51 M. M. Najafpour, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 3805–3807.
- 52 M. M. Najafpour, B. Kozlevcar, V. McKee, Z. Jaglicic and M. Jagodic, Inorg. Chem. Commun., 2011, 14(1), 125-127.
- 53 B. Conlan, W. Hillier and T. Wydrzynski, Photosynth. Res., 2007, 94, 225-233
- 54 B. Conlan, N. Cox, J. Su, W. Hillier, J. Messinger, W. Lubitz, P. L. Dutton and T. Wydrzynski, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg., 2009, 1787, 1112-1121.
- 55 W. Ruttinger and G. C. Dismukes, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 1-24.
- 56 M. Yagi and K. Narita, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 8084-8085; H. Berendsa, T. Homburga and I. Kunza, Appl. Clay Sci., 2010, DOI: 10.1016/j.clay.2010.12.011, in press.
- 57 R. Tagore, H. Chen, H. Zhang, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 2007, 360, 2983-2989.
- 58 R. Tagore, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 1815-1823.

- 59 K. Beckmann, H. Uchtenhagen, G. Berggren, M. F. Anderlund, A. Thapper, J. Messinger, S. Styring and P. Kurz, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2008, **1**, 668–676.
- 60 C. W. Cady, R. H. Crabtree and G. W. Brudvig, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 252, 444–455.
- 61 V. L. Pecoraro and W. Hsieh, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 1765-1778.
- 62 Y. Gao, T. Åkermark, J. Liu, L. Sun and B. Åkermark, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 8726–8727.
- 63 T. Nagasawa, S. I. Allakhverdiev, Y. Kimura and T. Nagata, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2009, 8, 174–180.
- 64 G. C. Dismukes, R. Brimblecombe, G. A. N. Felton, R. S. Pryadun, J. E. Sheats, L. Spiccia and G. F. Swiegers, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, 42, 1935–1943.
- 65 S. Hotchandania, U. Ozdemirb, C. Nasra, S. I. Allakhverdiev, N. Karacanb, V. V. Klimovc, P. V. Kamatd and R. Carpentier, *Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg.*, 1999, 48, 53–59.
- 66 R. Brimblecombe, A. Koo, G. C. Dismukes, G. F. Swiegers and L. Spiccia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 2892–2894.
- 67 R. Lomoth, A. Magnuson, M. Sjödin, P. Huang, S. Styring and L. Hammarström, *Photosynth. Res.*, 2006, **87**, 25–40.
- 68 T. Nagata, S. K. Zharmukhamedov, A. A. Khorobrykh, V. V. Klimov and S. I. Allakhverdiev, *Photosynth. Res.*, 2008, **98**, 277–284.
- 69 G. Li, E. M. Sproviero, W. R. McNamara, R. C. Snoeberger III, R. H. Crabtree, G. W. Brudvig and V. S. Batista, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, 114, 14214–14222.
- 70 J. T. Hupp, Nat. Chem., 2010, 2, 432–433.
- 71 I. Zaharieva, M. M. Najafpour, M. Wiechen, M. Haumann, P. Kurz and H. Dau, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 2400, DOI: 10.1039/c0ee00815j.
- 72 D. Shevela, S. Koroidov, M. M. Najafpour, J. Messinger and P. Kurz, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 5415–5423.
- 73 A. K. Poulsen, A. Rompel and C. J. McKenzie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6916–6920.
- 74 T. J. Meyer, M. H. V. Huynh and H. H. Thorp, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 5284–5304.
- 75 M. H. V. Huynh and T. J. Meyer, Chem. Rev., 2007, 107, 5004–5064.
- 76 T. Wang, G. Brudvig and V. S. Batista, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2010, 6, 755–760.
- 77 J. Barber, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 1700-1710.
- 78 J. Barber and J. W. Murray, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2008, 252, 233-243.
- 79 H. Su, K. G. V. Havelius, F. Mamedov, F. M. Ho and S. Styring, *Biochemistry*, 2006, **45**, 7617–7627.
- 80 F. M. Ho and S. Styring, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Bioenerg., 2008, 1777, 140–153.
- 81 S. Vassiliev, P. Comte, A. Mahboob and D. Bruce, *Biochemistry*, 2010, 49, 1873–1881.
- 82 M. Lundberg and P. E. M. Siegbahn, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2005, 401, 347–351.

- 83 J. N. Harvey, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2007, 9, 331-343.
- 84 P. E. M. Siegbahn, Inorg. Chem., 2008, 47, 1779-1786.
- 85 D. Matthew, M. D. Merrill and R. C. Dougherty, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2008, 112, 3655–3666.
- 86 Y. Lu and J. S. Valentine, *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.*, 1997, 7, 495–500.
- 87 A. Borovik, Acc. Chem. Res., 2005, 38, 54-61.
- 88 R. Zong and R. P. Thummel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12802– 12803.
- 89 M. M. Najafpour, J. Appl. Sci., 2007, 7, 451–454.
- 90 M. M. Najafpour, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 2010, 517, 167–179.
- 91 J. P. McEvoy and G. W. Brudvig, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **6**, 4754–4763.
- 92 T. Kambara and Govindjee, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1985, **82**, 6119–6123.
- 93 T. A. Betley, Q. Wu, T. V. Voorhis and D. G. Nocera, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1849–1861.
- 94 P. H. Homann, Photosynth. Res., 2002, 73, 169–175.
- 95 C. F. Yocum, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2008, 252, 296–305; R. Pokhrel, I. L. McConnell and Gary W. Brudvig, *Biochemistry*, 2011, 50, 2725–2734;
 V. K. Yachandra and J. Yano, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *B*, 2011, 104, 51;
 I. C. Baianu, C. Critchley, Govindjee and H. S. Gutowsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1984, 81, 3713–3717.
- 96 J. S. Vrettos, D. A. Stone and G. W. Brudvig, *Biochemistry*, 2001, **40**, 7937–7945.
- 97 X. Y. Wang, H. Luo, H. P. Yang, P. J. Sebastian and S. A. Gamboa, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2004, **29**, 967–972; E. Abd, *Corros. Sci.*, 2003, **45**, 641–658.
- 98 J. K. Hurst, J. L. Cape, A. E. Clark, S. Das and C. Qin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1753–1764.
- 99 M. W. Kanan and D. G. Nocera, Science, 2008, 321, 1072–1075.
- 100 F. Liu, J. J. Concepcion, J. W. Jurss, T. Cardolaccia, J. L. Templeton and T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1727–1752.
- 101 J. T. Muckerman, D. E. Polyansky, T. Wada, K. Tanaka and E. Fujita, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1787–1802.
- 102 D. K. Zhong, J. Sun, H. Inumaru and D. R. Gamelin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6086–6087.
- 103 J. D. Blakemore, N. D. Schley, G. W. Olack, C. D. Incarvito, G. W. Brudvig and R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Sci.*, 2011, 2, 94–98.
- 104 M. Dincă, Y. Surendranath and D. G. Nocera, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 10337–10341.
- 105 B. N. Figgis and M. A. Hitchman, *Ligand Field Theory and its Applications*, Wiley–VCH, New York, 2000.
- 106 H. Popelkova, C. F. Yocum and PsbO, J. Photochem. Photobiol., B, 2011, 104, 179, in press.
- 107 Y. S. Nam, A. P. Magyar, D. Lee, J. W. Kim, D. S. Yun, H. Park, T. S. Pollom Jr, D. A. Weitz and A. M. Belcher, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2010, 5, 340–344.