tion-metal coordination complexes since its inception. The empirical genesis of the spectrochemical series, which identifies strong π -acceptor ligands as strong-field ligands, is understood on the basis of molecular orbital theory. Cyanide is deeply entrenched as being a strong-field ligand, as revealed by low-spin ground states for its homoleptic complexes: for example, $[M(CN)_6]^{n-}$ $(n=2, 3, 4)^{[1]}$ and $[M^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ (M = Co, Ni).^[2] Furthermore, they do not violate the 18e⁻ rule by exceeding 18 electrons about the metal center M. The only high-spin example is the unusual $13e^{-}S =$ 5/2 complex $[Mn^{II}(CN)_4]^{2-,[3]}$ which being tetrahedral has a substantially reduced crystal-field stabilization energy, Δ , of $\frac{4}{9}$ the value expected for the $17e^{-} S = 1/2$ complex [Mn^{II}(CN)₆]⁴⁻. As part of our ongoing studies toward molecule-based magnets,^[4] we sought to prepare Prussian blue structured magnets based upon [Cr^{II}(CN)₆]⁴⁻ for comparison with those derived from $[Cr^{III}(CN)_6]^{3-}$, which magnetically order at temperatures as high as 100°C (approximately).^[5]

 $K_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$ has been prepared from aqueous media, but owing to the lability of the d^4 Cr^{II} state, excess cyanide is required in solution. Despite undergoing a Jahn-Teller distortion, $16e^{-} K_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$ is low-spin S = 1. Owing to the need for excess cyanide, aqueous routes to Prussian blue structured materials would be unproductive, so we sought a nonaqueous source of $[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-}$ and targeted $(NEt_4)_4$ - $[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$. The reaction of either $Cr_2^{II}(OAc)_4^{[6]}$ or $[Cr^{II} (MeCN)_4(BF_4)_2^{[7]}$ with as much as a twofold excess of NEt₄CN in MeCN led to dark purple block crystals of composition $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5] \cdot MeCN \cdot \frac{1}{8}THF$ (1). In the solid state **1** exhibits a broad $\nu_{C=N}$ absorption at 2086 cm⁻¹ (half-width at half height: 9.2 cm⁻¹, see Figure 1). This is comparable to 2080 cm⁻¹ reported for $[Co^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-,[8]}$ but differs from the absorptions at 2095(m), 2111(vs), and 2123 cm⁻¹(vs) reported for $[Ni^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-,[9]}$ and is higher in energy than the broad $\nu_{C=N}$ absorption at 2020 cm⁻¹ observed for $K_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$.^[10] The electronic spectrum (5000– 30000 cm^{-1}) of a solution of $(\text{NEt}_4)_3[\text{Cr}^{II}(\text{CN})_5]$ (1.30 mM) in MeCN comprises an absorption at 22120 cm⁻¹ ($\lambda = 452$ nm, $\varepsilon = 122 \,\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; Figure 1). However, **1** does not obey Beer's



Figure 1. Electronic absorption spectrum of $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ (1.30 mM in MeCN). Inset: $\nu_{C=N}$ vibrational spectra of $(NEt_4)_3$ - $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ (----) and $(NEt_4)_8[Cr^{II}(CN)_5][Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]$ (----) in KBr.

Transition-Metal Complexes

The Myth of Cyanide Always Being a Strong-Field Ligand: Synthesis and Structural Characterization of Homoleptic S = 2 Pentacyanochromate(II), $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$, and Nonacyanodichromate(II), $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]^{5-**}$

Kendric J. Nelson, Ian D. Giles, William W. Shum, Atta M. Arif, and Joel S. Miller*

Dedicated to Harry B. Gray

Crystal field theory has provided detailed insight into the structure, spectroscopic, and magnetic properties of transi-

[**] The authors gratefully acknowledge fruitful discussions with R. D. Ernst and partial support from the U.S. DOE (grant no. DE FG 03-93ER45504) and the ACS PRF (grant no. 36165-AC5). Dedicated to Harry B. Gray for his pioneering studies in the area of homoleptic metallocyanides.

Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 3189-3192

DOI: 10.1002/ange.200462763

VTP

 ^[*] K. J. Nelson, I. D. Giles, W. W. Shum, Dr. A. M. Arif, Prof. J. S. Miller Department of Chemistry University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112-0850 (USA) Fax: (+1) 801-581-8433 E-mail: jsmiller@chem.utah.edu

Zuschriften

law, as the electronic absorption spectrum of the solution changes as a function of cyanide concentration (the details of this equilibrium are under investigation).

The asymmetric unit cell^[11] contains four $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ units (Figure 2), twelve Et_4N^+ cations, as well as four



Figure 2. ORTEP labeling diagram of $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ ·MeCN· $\frac{1}{8}$ THF (1; 50% probability level; cations and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity).

molecules of MeCN and half a molecule of THF of solvation, which arises from the diffusion of THF into a solution of 1 in MeCN to obtain the crystals. Each Cr^{II} center is pentacoordinate, with both square-pyramidal and distorted trigonal bipyramid structures present. The low barrier for interconversion between the limiting square-pyramidal and trigonal bipyramid five-coordinate structures leads to the formation of several local structures,^[12] as has been reported for [Ni^{II}(CN)₅]³⁻ even within the same unit cell.^[13] For the square-pyramidal structures the apical Cr-C bond lengths range from 2.229(5) to 2.238(6) Å (av 2.23 Å) and the basal Cr-C bond lengths range from 2.110(7) to 2.135(5) Å (av 2.12 Å). The C_{apical} -Cr- C_{basal} angle ranges from 93.6(2) to 108.2(3)° (av 99.0°), and the trans C_{basal}-Cr-C_{basal} angle ranges from 155.9(2) to 166.9(2)° (av 161.9°). The Cr atom lies 0.33 Å above the plane formed by the four basal carbon atoms. These values are similar to those found for $[M^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ (M = Co, Ni; see Table 1),^[14] except that the Cr-C bonds are the longest, as expected for an early first-row transition metal. In the distorted trigonal bipyramidal structure the axial Cr-C bond lengths range from 2.094(6) to 2.118(6) Å (av 2.11 Å) while the equatorial Cr–C bond lengths range from 2.113(6) to 2.188(5) Å (av 2.15 Å). The axial Cr–C bonds are shorter than the equatorial Cr–C bonds, as reported for the distorted trigonal bipyramidal $[Ni^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ structure.^[13]

Owing to formation of solely the pentacyanide complex even in the presence of excess cyanide ion, the reaction was carried out with 5 equivalents of CN^- per Cr^{II} ion, and dark brown needlelike crystals of $(NEt_4)_8[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]\cdot 2MeCN$ (2) were isolated. In the solid state 2 exhibits a broad $\nu_{C=N}$ absorption at 2090 cm⁻¹ (half-width at half height: 6.9 cm⁻¹), which is comparable to that observed for $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ (Figure 1). Furthermore, similar to $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$, 2 also does not obey Beer's law (the details of this equilibrium are also under investigation).

The asymmetric unit cell^[15] of **2** contains one $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ unit, one $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]^{5-}$ unit (see Figure 3), eight Et_4N^+



Figure 3. ORTEP labeling diagram of $(NEt_4)_8[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{-1}$ $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]^{-2}$ MeCN (2; 50% probability level; cations and solvent molecules are omitted for clarity).

cations, and two molecules of MeCN of solvation. Each Cr^{II} center is pentacoordinate, with a distorted square-pyramidal local $C_{4\nu}$ symmetry. The Cr center in the distorted square-pyramidal $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ unit lies 0.40 Å above the plane formed by the four basal carbon atoms, and the apical Cr–C bond length is 2.23 Å, while the average basal Cr–C bond length is 2.12 Å. $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_5]^{5-}$ is composed of two square-pyramidal $[Cr^{II}(CN)_4]^{2-}$ moieties bridged by a cyanide ion. The bridging cyanide is disordered, with average Cr–C and Cr–N bond lengths of 2.20 Å observed. The Cr center lies 0.37 Å above the plane formed by the four basal carbon atoms, and the basal Cr–C bond length is 2.13 Å on average. To the best of our knowledge, this structural motif has not been previously reported.

The magnetic susceptibility, χ , was measured for both $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ and $(NEt_4)_8[Cr^{II}(CN)_5][Cr^{II}_2(CN)_9]$ from 5 to 300 K. $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$ has an effective room temper-

Table 1: Comparison of geometries of d⁴, d⁷, and d⁸ square-pyramidal, distorted square-pyramidal, and distorted trigonal bipyramid $[M^{II}(CN)_{S}]^{3-}$ (M = Cr, Co, Ni) structures.

	[Cr ^{II} (CN) ₅] ³⁻ (1)	[Cr ^{II} (CN) ₅] ³⁻ (2)	[Cr ^{II} ₂ (CN) ₉] ⁵⁻ (2)	$[Co^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-[14]}$	[Ni ^{II} (CN) ₅] ^{3-[14]}
d"	4	4	4	7	8
S	2	2	[a]	1/2	0
M C _{apical} [Å]	2.23	2.23	2.20	2.010	2.140
M–C _{basal} [Å] (av)	2.12	2.12	2.13	1.894	1.890
trans C _{basal} -M-C _{basal} [°] (av)	161.9	157.8	158.9	164.7	161.5
C _{apical} -M-C _{basal} [°] (av)	98.96	100.7	100.5	97.60	99.00
deviation (M–basal plane) [Å]	0.33	0.40	0.37	0.25	0.30
M–C _{axial} [Å]	2.11	-	-	-	1.84 ^[13a]
M C _{equatorial} [Å]	2.15	-	-	-	1.94 ^[13a]

[a] Singlet ground state, nonet excited state.

3190 © 2005 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.de

ature magnetic moment, $\mu_{\rm eff} = (8\chi T)^{1/2}$, of 4.90 $\mu_{\rm B}$ (corrected for temperature-independent paramagnetism (TIP); see Figure 4). This value significantly exceeds the expected spinonly moment of 2.83 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for S = 1 low-spin Cr^{II}, but it is in



Figure 4. Temperature dependence of the effective magnetic moment, $\mu_{eff} = (8\chi T)^{1/2}$, of K₄[Cr^{II}(CN)₆] (+), (NEt₄)₃[Cr^{II}(CN)₅] (•), and (NEt₄)₈-[Cr^{II}(CN)₅][Cr^{II}(CN)₉] (×). The solid lines are fits, as discussed in the text.

excellent agreement with the expected spin-only value of 4.90 $\mu_{\rm B}$ for S = 2 high-spin Cr^{II}. Above 5 K, $\chi(T)$ could be fitted to the Curie–Weiss expression, $\chi \propto (T-\theta)^{-1}$, with g = 2.00, $\theta = -0.79$ K, and a TIP value of 50×10^{-6} emu mol⁻¹. In contrast, the room temperature $\mu_{\rm eff}$ value for K₄[Cr^{II}(CN)₆] is 2.82 $\mu_{\rm B}$ (corrected for TIP). Above 5 K, $\chi(T)$ could also be fitted to the Curie–Weiss expression with g = 2.00, $\theta = -1.9$ K, and a TIP value of 150×10^{-6} emu mol⁻¹ (Figure 4). The room temperature $\mu_{\rm eff}$ value is consistent with the low-spin S = 1 ground state previously reported.^[16] Hence, [Cr^{II}(CN)₅]³⁻ is a rare example of a high-spin homoleptic cyanide complex.

The magnetic behavior of $(NEt_4)_8[Cr^{II}(CN)_5][Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]$ is more complex (Figure 4) as a result of contributions from $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ and dinuclear $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]^{5-}$. The observed data can be modeled with an S = 2 Curie–Weiss expression and a Bleaney–Bower-like S = 2 term,^[17] with g = 2.00, J/k =-19.1 K, $\theta = -0.79$ K, and a TIP value of $150 \times$ 10^{-6} emumol⁻¹. Hence, **2** exhibits moderate intradimer antiferromagnetic coupling of -19.1 K (-13.3 cm⁻¹), and the nonet excited state lies 266 cm⁻¹ (0.76 kcal mol⁻¹) above the singlet ground state.

Formation of $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ and $[Cr_2^{II}(CN)_9]^{5-}$, but not the sought $(NEt_4)_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$, is quite surprising as $K_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$ as well as $Na_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$ are known low-spin octahedral compounds.^[10,18] Furthermore, why does $(NEt_4)_3[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]$, but not $(NEt_4)_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$, form in the presence of excess cyanide? We attribute the formation of $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ to the initial formation of $[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-}$, which is expected to have a reduced $\Delta(Cr^{II})$ value with respect to $\Delta(Cr^{III})$ and which makes a high-spin state more accessible. High-spin $t_{2g}^3e_g^{1-}$ $[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]^{4-}$ would have an electron in an antibonding orbital that weakens the $Cr^{II-}C$ bonds and destabilizes the system, and when the large tetranegative charge is consid-

ered—although, $(NEt_4)_4[Fe^{II}(CN)_6]$ is known to have a shorter M–C bond^[19]—loss of a cyanide ion is favored to form the observed $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ species. Presumably $A_4[Cr^{II}(CN)_6]$ (A = Na, K) is stabilized through electrostatic interactions between the alkali-metal cation (and water molecules) and the nitrogen centers of the cyanide units.

Experimental Section

1: In a DryBox (<0.5 ppm O₂) $Cr_{2}^{I}(OAc)_{4}$ (274.8 mg, 0.8078 mmol) was dissolved in deoxygenated MeCN (50 mL) to give an orange–red solution, which was then added dropwise to a stirring colorless solution of NEt₄CN (1.510 g, 9.563 mmol) in MeCN (50 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the dark purple solution was filtered and concentrated to 20 mL under reduced pressure. Dark purple crystal-line blocks were obtained by diffusion of THF (yield: 62 %) and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The crystallographic sample was maintained in contact with the mother liquor to prevent solvent loss. Thermogravimetric analysis showed the sample was stable up to 160 °C and could be desolvated at lower temperatures (i.e. heating at 110 °C under reduced pressure) to form the desolvated (NEt₄)₃[Cr^{II}(CN)₅]. Selected IR data (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 2986$ (s), 2950 (m), 2892 (w), 2086 (s), 1488 (s), 1461 (s), 1396 (s), 1375 (w), 1311 (w), 1186 (m), 1175 (s), 1080 (w), 1055 (w), 1035 (m), 1005 (s), 787 cm⁻¹ (s).

2: $Cr_2^{II}(OAc)_4$ (55.94 mg, 0.1644 mmol) dissolved in MeCN (20 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of NEt₄CN (256.3 mg, 1.640 mmol) in MeCN (20 mL). After stirring for 30 min, the reddish purple solution was filtered and concentrated to 15 mL under reduced pressure. Brown needlelike crystals were obtained by diffusion of THF (yield: 24%) and characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The crystallographic sample was maintained in contact with the mother liquor to prevent solvent loss. Selected IR data (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 2986$ (s), 2949 (m), 2894 (w), 2248 (w), 2090 (s), 1484 (s), 1461 (s), 1396 (s), 1377 (w), 1310 (w), 1185 (m), 1175 (s), 1080 (w), 1057 (w), 1036 (m), 1005 (s), 789 cm⁻¹ (s).

Received: December 1, 2004 Revised: February 1, 2005 Published online: April 14, 2005

Keywords: crystal field theory \cdot cyanides \cdot magnetic properties \cdot transition metals

- [1] J. J. Alexander, H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 4260.
- [2] J. J. Alexander, H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 3356.
- [3] W. E. Buschmann, A. M. Arif, J. S. Miller, Angew. Chem. 1998, 110, 813; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 781.
- [4] J. S. Miller, J. L. Manson, Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 563.
- [5] S. Ferlay, T. Mallah, R. Ouahes, P. Veillet, M. Verdaguer, *Nature* 1995, *378*, 701; S. M. Holmes, G. S. Girolami, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999, *121*, 5593; Ø. Hatlevik, W. E. Buschmann, J. Zhang, J. L. Manson, J. S. Miller, *Adv. Mater.* 1999, *11*, 914.
- [6] J. H. Balthis, Jr., J. C. Bailar, Jr., *Inorg. Synth.* 1939, 1, 122; M. Kranz, A. Witkowska, *Inorg. Synth.* 1960, 6, 144.
- [7] R. T. Henriques, E. Herdtweck, F. E. Kühn, A. D. Lopes, J. Mink, C. C. Ramão, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* 1998, 1293;
 W. E. Buschmann, A. M. Arif, J. S. Miller, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1998, 4, 1731.
- [8] D. A. White, A. J. Solodar, M. M. Baizer, *Inorg. Chem.* 1972, 11, 2160.
- [9] W. P. Griffith, J. R. Lane, J. Chem. Soc. 1972, 158.
- [10] J. P. Eaton, D. Nicholls, Transition Met. Chem. 1981, 6, 203.
- [11] Crystal data for $(NEt_4)_3$ [Cr^{II}(CN)₅]·MeCN·¹/₈ THF (1): C₁₂₆H₂₅₆Cr₄N₃₆O_{0.5} triclinic, space group: $P\overline{1}$, a = 17.8172(3) Å,

Zuschriften

b = 20.8318(6) Å, c = 21.5756(5) Å, $a = 87.6399(9)^{\circ}$, $\beta =$ 84.4486(13)°, $\gamma = 71.9031(14)°$, $V = 7575.7(3) Å^3$, Z = 2, T =150 K, $\rho_{\rm calcd}\!=\!1.092\,{\rm Mg\,m^{-3}}.$ The structure was solved by a combination of direct methods and heavy atoms using SIR 97. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement coefficients. Hydrogen atoms were assigned isotropic displacement coefficients U(H) = 1.2U(C) or $1.5U(C_{Me})$, and their coordinates were allowed to ride on their respective carbons using SHELXL97. The asymmetric unit contains four $[Cr(CN)_5]^{3-}$ and twelve $[NEt_4]^+$ units, as well as four molecules of acetonitrile and half a molecule of tetrahydrofuran. Most of the cations and half of the acetonitrile units exhibit orientation disorder (50:50). Only one anion, [Cr^{II}(CN)₅]³⁻, of the asymmetric unit exhibits orientation disorder in one of the cyanide ligands (C18-N18 and C18'-N18') on atom Cr4 (50:50). This is not surprising as both square-pyramidal and distorted trigonal bipyramid structures are present in the unit cell. The weighting scheme employed was $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.1366P)^2 + 10.5826P]$, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$. The refinement converged to R1 =0.0842, wR2 = 0.218, and S = 1.036 for 16467 reflections with $1 > 2\sigma(I)$, and R1 = 0.1396, wR2 = 0.2649, and S = 1.036 for 26638 unique reflections and 1831 parameters. The maximum Δ / σ value in the final cycle of the least-squares treatment was zero, and the residual peaks on the final difference-Fourier map ranged from -0.504 to $1.677 e^{-} Å^{-3}$.

- [12] A. R. Rossi, R. Hoffmann, Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 365.
- [13] K. N. Raymond, P. W. R. Corfield, J. A. Ibers, *Inorg. Chem.* 1968,
 7, 1362; J. J. Sokol, M. P. Shores, J. R. Long, *Angew. Chem.* 2001,
 113, 242; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2001, 40, 236.
- [14] L. D. Brown, K. N. Raymond, Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 2590.
- [15] Crystal data for $(NEt_4)_8[Cr^{II}(CN)_5][Cr^{II}_2(CN)_5] \cdot 2 \text{ MeCN}$ (2): $C_{82}H_{166}Cr_3N_{24}$ triclinic, space group: $P\bar{1}$, a = 10.2618(2) Å, b = 22.4902(5) Å, c = 23.4526(4) Å, $\alpha = 114.5636(8)^\circ$, $\beta = 90.3961(11)^\circ$, $\gamma = 101.8783(10)^\circ$, V = 4791.3(2) Å³, Z = 2, T = 150 K, $\rho_{calcd} = 1.140$ Mg m⁻³. The structure was solved by a combination of direct methods and heavy atoms using SIR 97.

All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement coefficients. Hydrogen atoms were assigned isotropic displacement coefficients U(H) = 1.2U(C) or $1.5U(C_{Me})$, and their coordinates were allowed to ride on their respective carbons using SHELXL97. There are two distinctly different anions, $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ and $[Cr^{II}_2(CN)_9]^{5-}$. Three out of the eight $(NEt_4)^+$ cations exhibit unequal (67:33) orientation disorder. The asymmetric unit contains two noncoordinating molecules of acetonitrile. The weighting scheme employed was w = 1/ $[\sigma^2(F_2^o) + (0.0944P)^2 + 4.5597P]$ where $P = (F_2^2 + 2F_2^2)/3$. The refinement converged to R1 = 0.0671, wR2 = 0.1662, and S =1.019 for 14333 reflections with $1 > 2\sigma(I)$, and R1 = 0.1131, wR2 = 0.1971, and S = 1.019 for 21857 unique reflections and 1138 parameters. The maximum Δ/σ value in the final cycle of the least-squares treatment was zero, and the residual peaks on the final difference-Fourier map ranged from -0.595 to $1.286 e^{-} Å^{-3}$. CCDC-253631 (1) and 257172 (2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

- [16] E. A. Boudreaux, L. N. Mulay, *Theory and Applications of Molecular Paramagnetism*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, **1976**, p. 177.
- [17] C. J. O'Connor, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1982**, *29*, 203. The equation used for this binuclear spin 2–2 system is ($H = -2JS_1S_2$): $\chi = \frac{Ng^2 \mu_B^2}{k(T-\theta)} \left[\frac{2e_k^{2T} + 10e_k^{2T} + 28e_k^{12T} + 60e_k^{2T}}{(1+3e_k^{2T} + 5e_k^{2T} + 7e_k^{2T} + 9e_k^{2T})} + 2 \right],$
 - with T = temperature, N = Avogadro's number, k = Boltzmann's constant, $\mu_{\rm B}$ = Bohr Magneton, θ = Weiss constant, J = coupling constant, and S = spin state.
- [18] When the reaction was carried out in deoxygenated water in the presence of excess cyanide ion, the complex $[Cr^{II}(CN)_5]^{3-}$ formed ($\nu_{C=N} = 2089 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; half-width at half height: 9.4 cm⁻¹).
- [19] J. C. Eppich, K. J. Nelson, A. M. Arif, unpublished results.