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## 0. Summary

1. Reactions of diarylcarbenium ions (benzhydryl cations) $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with various $\sigma$-, $\pi$-, and n nucleophiles (e. g. aliphatic and aromatic $\pi$-systems, metal- $\pi$-complexes, hydride donors, phosphanes and phosphites, carbanions) follow the linear relationship eq. 1.3, where electrophiles are characterized by the parameter $E$, whereas the nucleophiles are characterized by the two parameters $N$ and $s$. The reactivities of $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$can be modified widely by variation of para-substituents, while the steric situation at the reaction centers is kept constant.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=s(E+N) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In collaboration with other members of this group, a basis-set of reference electrophiles (benzhydrylium ions) and reference nucleophiles ( $\pi$-systems) has been constructed on the basis of eq. 1.3 (Figure 0.1).


Figure 0.1: Compilation of all basis-set compounds used for the determination of $E, N$, and $s$. Compounds in frames were added to the basis-set by this work.

This basis set contains 23 reference electrophiles and 38 reference nucleophiles. The reference electrophiles and nucleophiles of the basis-set cover reactivity ranges of several orders of magnitude, and can be used for characterizing the reactivities of a large variety of new compounds by kinetic measurements.
2. A semi-quantitative test for nucleophilicity was developed (Chapter 3.9). A series of reference electrophiles was combined with reaction partners of different nucleophilicity. The photograph (taken after 1 min ) reveals the reactivity order of the checked nucleophiles (Figure 0.2 ).


Figure 0.2: Quick-test of nucleophilicity.

In this way, nucleophiles of unknown reactivity can efficiently be characterized with a precision that suffices for many purposes.
3. The nucleophilicity parameters $(N, s)$ of 22 enamines, three pyrroles, and three indoles were investigated by performing kinetic measurements of their reactions with reference electrophiles in methylene chloride (Scheme 0.1).


$\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$




Scheme 0.1: Reactions of benzhydrylium ions with enamines, pyrroles, and indoles.

Product analysis indicated that benzhydryl cations attack indoles at position 3, and that pyrrole and its N -methyl derivative react at position 2. In contrast, N -triisopropylsilylpyrrole is mainly attacked at the 3-position (see Chapter 4.4).

When the rate constants $(\log k)$ for the reactions of enamines with $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$were plotted against the $E$ parameters of the benzhydrylium ions, linear correlations are obtained, from which $s$ and $N$ according to eq. 1.3 can be determined (Figure 0.3).


Figure 0.3: Correlations of the rate constants $\left(\log k, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ for reactions of enamines and pyrroles with benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$versus their $E$ parameters.

Eneammonium ions are produced by attack of benzhydrylium ions at the nitrogen of enamines. It was shown that the products are thermodynamically unstable. The observed rate constants refer to the formation of carbon-carbon bonds.

The nucleophilic reactivities of enamines cover more than ten orders of magnitude ( $4<N<$ 16), comparable to enol ethers on the low reactivity end and to carbanions on the high reactivity end (Figure 0.4).


Figure 0.4: Nucleophilicity and slope parameters $N / s$ of enamines, pyrroles and indoles compared with other $\pi$-systems and carbanions.

In some cases, equilibrium constants for the formation of iminium ions were measured, which allowed us to determine the intrinsic rate constants $\left(k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \approx 16.7 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and the intrinsic barriers $\left(\Delta G_{0}{ }^{\neq} \approx 65 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ for the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with enamines (Chapter 4.8).
4. The electrophilicity parameter $E$ of the neutral electrophile 5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4$d]$ pyrimidine (52) (Scheme 0.2) was determined by performing kinetic measurements with reference nucleophiles. With an electrophilicity parameter of $E=-8.37,52$ ranks among the strongest noncharged electrophiles, comparable to the electrophilicity of stabilized carbocations and cationic metal- $\pi$-complexes.


Scheme 0.2: Reaction of 5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4-d]pyrimidine (52) with nucleophiles.
5. The rate and equilibrium constants for many combinations of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites with benzhydrylium ions and quinone methides were determined photometrically (eq. 6.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{PR}_{3} \xlongequal[k_{-}]{k_{+}} \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-{ }^{+} \mathrm{PR}_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. The influence of structure and substitutents of tertiary phosphorous compounds on their carbon basicity (see Chapter 6.4) and nucleophilicity (see Chapter 6.5) was investigated. The nucleophilicities $N$ and slopes $s$ of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites were derived from the plots of $\log k_{2}$ versus $E$ of the benzhydrylium ions and quinone methides (Figure 0.5).


Figure 0.5: Correlations of the rate constants ( $\log k, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) for the reactions of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with the benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(and quinone methides) versus their $E$ parameters.

The range of the nucleophilicites of phosphanes and phosphites covers 13 orders of magnitude ( $5.51<N<18.39$ ) and overlaps with that of carbanions, amines, enamines, silyl ketene acetals, silyl enol ethers, and allyl stannanes (Figure 0.6).


Figure 0.6: Comparison of the nucleophilic reactivities $(N / s)$ of phosphanes and phosphites with other types of nucleophiles (solvent dichloromethane, if not mentioned otherwise).

For some combinations of benzhydrylium ions with phosphanes, rate and equilibrium constants could be determined (Chapter 6.8). Analysis of these data by Marcus theory shows that the intrinsic barriers for these reactions are almost constant $\left(57-59 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.
7. Correlation of the rate constants for the nucleophilic additions of various phosphanes and phosphites to metal- $\pi$-complexes determined by Kane-Maguire and Sweigart with the reactivity parameters for P-nucleophiles determined in this work (Chapter 6) yielded approximate $E$ parameters for metal- $\pi$-complexes (Figure 0.7 ).


Figure 0.7: Comparison of the electrophilicities $E$ of metal- $\pi$-complexes, quinone methides and benzhydryl cations.
8. The nucleophilicities $N$ of several para-substituted pyridines have been determined, by performing kinetic measurements of their reactions with benzhydryl cations (Scheme 0.3).


Scheme 0.3: Addition of benzhydryl salts to para-substituted pyridine derivatives.

Their nucleophilicities range from $N \approx 12$ to $N \approx 17$ (Figure 0.8 ).


Figure 0.8: Nucleophilicity scale for para-substituted pyridine derivatives with $s=0.64$.

The occurence of reversible reactions allowed the determination of rate and equilibrium constants (Chapter 8.4) for some electrophile-nucleophile combinations. The intrinsic barriers calculated by Marcus equation, which were found to range from $50-60 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$.

The catalytic activities of para-substituted pyridines were investigated for the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride in methylene chloride (Scheme 0.4).


Scheme 0.4: Base catalyzed acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride.

The reaction rates were found to increase linearly with the catalyst concentration, but there is no simple relationship between the nucleophilicity $N$ and the catalytic efficiencies of substituted pyridines.

## 1. Introduction and objectives

Lapworth was the first who recognized that the reagents of polar organic reactions fall into two categories which he termed "cationoid" and "anionoid" (1925). ${ }^{[1]}$ Ingold suggested the alternative designations "electrophilic", signifying electron-seeking, and "nucleophilic", signifying nucleus-seeking, for these both clases of compounds. ${ }^{[2]}$ These two terms are now in general use for discussing organic reactivity.

The first systematic attempt to quantify the kinetic term "nucleophilicity" was reported by Swain and Scott, who investigated the rates of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reactions in 1953. ${ }^{[3]}$ They characterized nucleophiles by one parameter ( $n$ ) and electrophiles by two parameters ( $s, \log k_{\text {water }}$ ) according to equation 1.1. ${ }^{[4]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(k / k_{\text {water }}\right)=s n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reactions of nucleophiles with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ in water were used as reference reactions ( $s=$ 1). One year later, Edwards proposed a four-parameter equation in which the basicity and polarizability of the nucleophile were weighted differently according to the electrophile, ${ }^{[5]}$ but this equation also did not find wide application.

Succeeding investigations added more and more parameters, ${ }^{[4,5]}$ and finally Bunnett listed 17 factors that have to be considered in a quantitative description of nucleophilicity. ${ }^{[6]}$ Because the reactivities of nucleophiles towards $\operatorname{trans}-\left[\mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{py})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ did not correlate with nucleophilicity parameters known at the time, Pearson et al. concluded that "at present (in 1968) it was not possible to predict quantitatively the rates of nucleophilic displacement reactions if a number of substrates of widely varying properties are considered. ${ }^{[7]}$

Ritchie's "constant selectivity relationship" attracted much attention because it calculates the rates of the reactions of carbocations or diazonium ions with nucleophiles from only a single parameter for electrophiles $\left(\log k_{0}\right)$ and a single parameter for nucleophiles $\left(N_{+}\right)$(eq. 1.2). ${ }^{[8]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(k / k_{0}\right)=N_{+} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relationship is also referred to as the "constant selectivity relationship", because the relative reaction rates of two nucleophiles (= selectivity) do not depend on the reactivities of the electrophiles. Conversely, the relative reactivity of two electrophiles (= selectivity) is independent of the strength of the nucleophile. It was later shown that equation 1.2 is not strictly valid, and that better correlations are obtained when different families of electrophiles are treated separately. ${ }^{[9]}$ However, in view of the range of the nucleophilicity scale (approximately 13 orders of magnitude), these discrepancies are negligible to a first approximation. Furthermore, since the behaviour of uncharged electrophiles such as esters, ${ }^{[10]}$ acceptor-substituted ketones, ${ }^{[8]}$ and 2,4-dinitrohalobenzenes ${ }^{[11]}$ also appeared to be consistent with equation 1.2, its impact increases significantly.

Kane-Maguire, Sweigart et al. observed similar correlations in nucleophilc additions of phosphanes, amines, and arenes to metal-coordinated $\pi$-electron systems. ${ }^{[12]}$ The relative reactivities of the metal complexes are independent of the absolute reaction rates of the reference nucleophiles. Since the relative reactivities of phosphorous and nitrogen nucleophiles towards free carbocations and metal-coordinated $\pi$-electron systems are equal, ${ }^{[13]}$ the Kane-Maguire and Sweigart $N_{\mathrm{Fe}}$ scale can be considered to be representative for both classes of electrophiles. These results indicated that electrophile-nucleophile combinations that do not involve the cleavage of a C-X bond in the rate determining step follow a much simpler reactivity pattern than the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type reactions that were investigated earlier.

Constant selectivity relationships are also observed in the addition of diarylcarbenium ions to terminal double bonds, where the new CC bond is formed in the rate-determining step. ${ }^{[14]}$ The relative reactivities of $\pi$-nucleophiles are independent of the electrophilicity of the carbenium ions, even when the absolute reaction rates vary by several orders of magnitude. To satisfactorily describe the reactivities of a larger variety of nucleophiles, the introduction of a second parameter for nucleophiles ${ }^{[15-17]}$ was found to be necessary. In 1994, Patz and Mayr subjected the rate constants of 327 reactions of carbocations, metal- $\pi$-complexes, and diazonium ions with $\pi$-, $\sigma$-, and n-nucleophiles (Figure 1.1) to a correlation analysis on the basis of Equation 1.3, ${ }^{[15]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log k_{\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)}=s(N+E) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the electrophilicity parameter, $N$ is the nucleophilicity parameter, and $s$ is the nucleophile-dependent slope parameter.


Figure 1.1: Reactivity scales of carbocations, metal- $\pi$-complexes, diazonium ions, and $\pi$-, $\sigma$-, n-nucleophiles developed by Patz and Mayr in 1994. ${ }^{[15]}$

Despite the large structural variety of substrates and reactions considered, the rate constants $k$ calculated by the three-parameter eq. 1.3 were usually found to be accurate within a factor of 10-100, when reagents of obvious steric bulk (e.g. tritylium ions) were excluded. This precision is quite remarkable in view of the fact that each of the scales covered more than 18 orders of magnitude, resulting in an overall reactivity range of approximately 36 orders of magnitude (Figure 1.1).

Since 1994 numerous other types of reactions have been found to follow eq. 1.3. $N$ and $s$ parameters of further classes of nucleophiles, e. g. amine boranes, ${ }^{[18]}$ metal- $\pi$-complexes, ${ }^{[19,20]}$ heteroarenes, ${ }^{[21]}$ silyl enol ethers, ${ }^{[22]}$ and silyl ketene acetals, ${ }^{[22]}$ have been determined, as well as $E$ parameters of several new electrophiles.

In order to cope with the steadily increasing amount of data, a systematic way of parametrization became necessary. When I joined the group for my diploma thesis in September 1999 a concerted effort by five diploma and doctoral students started to develop a list of reference electrophiles and nucleophiles of widely varying reactivity that can be used for characterizing any further nucleophiles and electrophiles. My contribution to this program is documented in my diploma thesis ${ }^{[23]}$ and in Chapter 3 of this PhD thesis.

In the second part of this work, the reference compounds should be employed for characterizing the reactivities of various new $n$ - and $\pi$-nucleophiles, as well as of new electrophiles. The data shall then be used for discussing structure-reactivity relationships, the validity of eq. 1.3 for new classes of compounds, as well as for the analysis of mechanistic aspects.

Since some of the reactions of electrophiles with nucleophiles were expected not to proceed quantitatively, equilibrium constants should also be determined. By the combination of rate and equilibrium constants intrinsic barriers should be determined, which are a key to the understanding of organic reactivity.

## 2. Kinetic methods and calculation of rate constants

### 2.1 Introduction

Diarylcarbenium ions $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$absorb light in the visible region of the electromagnetic spectrum. The p-amino substituted representatives have absorption maxima at $\lambda_{\max }=590-$ 680 nm . The attack of nucleophiles at the diarylcarbenium ions converts the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-carbenium center into an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ center which is associated with the disappearence of the color (Scheme 2.1).


Scheme 2.1: Reaction of a colored diarylcarbenium ion $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with a nucleophile to form a colorless product.

Reactions of diaryl carbenium ions $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with nucleophiles can easily be studied by UVVis spectroscopy, by observing the decay of absorption with time. At low concentrations a linear relationship between absorption and concentration exists, which is described by the Lambert-Beer law (eq. 2.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\varepsilon c d \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with: $A=$ absorbance, $\varepsilon=$ molar absorption coefficient, $c=$ concentration, $d=$ thickness of the cell.

According to eq. 2.1, the concentrations of diaryl carbenium ions during a chemical reaction can be derived from the absorbance as a function of time.

### 2.2 Irrereversible reactions

For the evaluation of rate constants, a second-order rate law, according to the mechanism shown in Scheme 2.1, was assumed. This reaction is first order in the concentration of the electrophile $E l\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right)$and first order in the concentration of the nucleophile Nu (equation
2.2). Equation 2.2 is only fullfilled for irrereversible reactions with complete conversion of the electrophile.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[E l]}{d t}=-k_{2}[E l][N u] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Experiments with nucleophile concentrations at least 10 times higher than the electrophile concentrations give rise to pseudo-first-order kinetics with an exponential decay of the electrophile concentration with time. Under such conditions, the nucleophile concentration remains almost constant during the reaction, which simplifies equation 2.2 to 2.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[E l]}{d t}=-k_{1 \Psi}[E l] \text { with } k_{1 \Psi}=k_{2}[N u] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration of the differential equation 2.3 leads to equation 2.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln [E l]=-k_{1 \Psi} t+\ln [E l]_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the absorbances correlate linearly with the concentrations of the diarylcarbenium ions (eq. 2.1), concentrations can be substituted by absorbances, which converts eq. 2.4 into eq. 2.5.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln A=-k_{1 \Psi} t+\ln A_{0} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plot of $\ln A$ versus $t$ is a straight line with the slope corresponding to the pseudo-firstorder rate constant $k_{1 \Psi}$. For all kinetic experiments performed in this work, a linear correlation between $\ln A$ and $t$ was observed, which proves first-order with respect to the electrophile.

The second-order rate constant $k_{2}$ is obtained by division of the pseudo-first order rate constant $k_{1 \psi}$ by the concentration of the nucleophile [ $N u$ ] (eq. 2.6).

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2}=\frac{k_{1 \Psi}}{[N u]} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all kinetic experiments, which were performed at variable concentrations of the nucleophile, the same values of the second-order rate constants $k_{2}$ were obtained. This proves a first-order dependence on the concentration of the nucleophile.

### 2.3 Reversible reactions

In the case of reversible chemical reactions, the consumption of the benzhydrylium ions and the decay of their absorbances is incomplete (Scheme 2.2).

$$
\mathrm{El}+\mathrm{Nu} \stackrel{k_{+}}{k_{-}} \mathrm{P}
$$

Scheme 2.2: Reversible reaction of an electrophile El with a nucleophile Nu.

The determination of rate constants for reversible reactions is only possible, when the concentrations stay constant after reaching the equilibrium, i. e., when eventual consecutive reactions of the products are very slow (in the case of very fast consecutive reactions, the initial electrophile-nucleophile combination becomes irrereversible).

Reversible reactions with nucleophile concentrations much higher than the electrophile concentrations, yield pseudo-first-order kinetics for the forward reaction $\left(k_{+}\right)$, with $k_{1 \psi+}=$ $k_{+}[\mathrm{Nu}]$. The reverse reaction $\left(k_{-}\right)$is first-order with respect to the concentration of the product P (eq. 2.7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d[E l]}{d t}=\frac{d[P]}{d t}=k_{1 \Psi+}[E l]-k_{-}[P] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of the concentrations by the absorbances and integration of the differential equation 2.7 yields equation 2.8 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{A_{0}-A_{\infty}}{A-A_{\infty}}=\left(k_{1 \Psi+}+k_{-}\right) t=k_{e f f} t \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, a graph of $\ln \left(A-A_{\infty}\right)$ versus $t$ gives a straight line of slope $\left(k_{1 \psi_{+}}+k_{-}\right)=k_{\text {eff }}$ as reported in ref. [24]. When varying the concentration of the nucleophile ([Nu] >> [El]), a linear plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[\mathrm{Nu}]$ is obtained, the slope of which equals $k_{+}$(second-order rate constant of the forward reaction). The intercept on the ordinate yields $k$. (first-order rate
constant of the backward reaction). In the case of simple second-order kinetics with negligible opposing reaction, and in absence of parallel reaction, the rate of which does not depend on $[\mathrm{Nu}]$, the straight line will pass through the origin.

The division of the rate constant for the forward reaction $k_{+}$by the rate constant for the backward reaction $k$ - yields the equilibrium constant $K$ (eq. 2.9).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{k_{+}}{k_{-}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For reversible reactions with fast forward reactions, and slow backward reactions (large equilibrium constants), the calculation of $K$ by eq. 2.9 is not very accurate.

A more precise method for the determination of equilibrium constants for reversible reactions derives $K$ directly from the UV-Vis absorbances of the diarylcarbenium ions in the presence of variable concentrations of the nucleophiles (eq. 2.10).

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{[E l]_{0}-[E l]}{[E l]\left([N u]_{0}-[E l]_{0}+[E l]\right)} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.4 Temperature dependence of the rate constants

It is well known that the rates of chemical reactions usually increase with temperature. The temperature dependence of the rate constants can be described by the Arrhenius equation 2.11 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln k=\ln A-\frac{E_{a}}{R T} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with: $k=$ rate constant at temperature $T, A=$ pre-exponential factor, $E_{\mathrm{a}}=$ Arrhenius activation energy, $R=$ universal gas constant.

The plot of $\ln k$ versus $1 / T$ gives a straight line, which allows one to calculate $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ from the slope and $A$ from the intercept on the ordinate.

Alternatively the temperature dependence of the rate constants can be described by the Eyring equation 2.12.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{k}{T}=\ln \frac{k_{b}}{h}-\frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{R T}+\frac{\Delta S^{\neq}}{R} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with: $k=$ rate constant at temperature $T, k_{\mathrm{b}}=$ Boltzmann constant, $h=$ Planck's constant, $\Delta H^{\neq}$ $=$ Eyring activation enthalpy, $\Delta S^{\neq}=$Eyring activation entropie, $R=$ universal gas constant.

When plotting $\ln (k / T)$ versus $1 / T$, a straight line is obtained; its slope provides $\Delta H^{\not}$ and its intercept yields $\Delta S^{\neq}$.

When kinetic experiments with diarylcarbenium ions and nucleophiles were performed at variable temperatures $\left(-70-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the reported values of $k\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ have been derived from the Eyring parameters.

### 2.5 Kinetic instruments

The rates of slow reactions ( $\tau_{1 / 2}>10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) were determined by using a J\&M TIDAS diode array spectrophotometer, which was controlled by Labcontrol Spectacle software and connected to a Hellma $661.502-\mathrm{QX}$ quartz Suprasil immersion probe ( 5 mm light path) via fiber optic cables and standard SMA connectors (Figure 2.1). The combination of a tungsten lamp (10 W) with a deuterium lamp ( 35 W ) as light sources provided a detectable range of wavelenghts from 200 to 1000 nm .

Kinetic measurements were made in Schlenk glassware with exclusion of moisture. The temperature of solutions during all kinetic studies was kept constant (usually $20 \pm 0.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) by using a circulating bath thermostat and monitored with a thermocouple probe that was inserted into the reaction mixture (Figure 2.1).

The TIDAS diode array spectrophotometer provided multiwavelength measurements by taking complete absorption spectra in constant time intervals (example in Figure 2.2). These data were saved as 3d-files on an IBM-compatible PC.


Figure 2.1: J\&M TIDAS diode array spectrometer connected to Hellma 661.502-QX quartz Suprasil immersion probe via fiber optic cables.


Figure 2.2: Example of multiwavelength kinetic measurements.

For the evaluation of rate constants, absorption-time curves at the absorption maxima of the employed diarylcarbenium ions $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$were extracted. These curves were evaluated as described at the beginning of this chapter.

UV-Vis kinetic measurements of rapid reactions ( $\tau_{1 / 2}<10 \mathrm{~s}$ ) were performed on a $\mathrm{Hi}-\mathrm{Tech}$ SF-61DX2 stopped flow spectrophotometer system (Figure 2.3) and controlled by using HiTech KinetAsyst 2 software running on an IBM-compatible PC. The kinetic experiments were initiated by rapidly mixing equal volumes of the nucleophile and the diarylcarbenium salt solution, using syringes with pneumatically driven pistons (Figure 2.3, left side).


Figure 2.3: Hi-Tech SF-61DX2 stopped-flow spectrophotometer.

The temperature of the solutions was controlled within $\pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by using a circulating water bath monitored with the Pt resistance thermometer of the SF-61DX2 mixing unit. The pseudo-first-order rate constants $k_{\text {obs }}$ for the reactions of diarylcarbenium ions with nucleophiles were obtained from at least five runs at each nucleophile concentration by least-squares fitting of the absorbance data to the single exponential $A=A_{0} \exp \left(-k_{\mathrm{obs}} t\right)+\mathrm{C}$ (example in Figure 2.4).


Figure 2.4: Exponential decay of the absorbance in the reaction of $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with nucleophiles (upper plot). The plot of $A_{\text {exp }}-A_{\text {calc }}$ vs $t$ (lower plot) shows the quality of the fit.

## 3. Reference scales for the characterization of cationic electrophiles and neutral nucleophiles

### 3.1 Introduction

In 1994, Patz and Mayr introduced eq. 1.3 which describes the rates of a large variety of electrophile-nucleophile combinations by three reactivity parameters (see Chapter 1). ${ }^{[15]}$ The rates of 327 reactions of carbocations, metal- $\pi$-complexes, and diazonium ions with $\pi$-, $\sigma$-, and n-nucleophiles were subjected to a correlation analysis on the basis of eq. 1.3 to calculate the reactivity parameters of the employed electrophiles and nucleophiles. Since then many other types of reactions have been found to follow eq. 1.3. The reactivity parameters of these new compounds were calculated on the basis of the reactivity parameters, determined in 1994.

In order to cope with the steadily increasing amount of data a systematic way of parametrization was necessary. We discussed different models how to do this parametrization:

- One possibility would be to determine the electrophilicities or nucleophilicities of new compounds by using the $E, N$, and $s$ parameters, which were published in 1994. In this case the originally published parameters ${ }^{[15]}$ are kept unchanged. But as a consequence, rate constants that had entered the data collection at an early stage (before 1994) received higher weight than data that were introduced later.
- An alternative and more consequent way of handling the kinetic data would be a complete correlation analysis of all available rate constants after the addition of each new entry. This procedure is not practicable, however, because it would continously alter all reactivity parameters and thus cause confusion.
- The third way would be to define a basis set of well-behaved reference electrophiles and nucleophiles. The reactivity range in both scales must cover several orders of magnitude to achieve a wide applicability. After deriving the $E, N$, and $s$ parameters, of the reference systems, these values should be kept constant in the future.

After comparing the advantages and disadvantages of these three possibilities, the third method was chosen to be the best.

### 3.2 Definition of reference compounds

When looking back at the experiences, which were made in this working group in the 1990s, one can see that rate constants referring to certain classes of electrophiles and nucleophiles follow eq. 1.3 better than rate constants referring to compounds from other classes. Therefore, it seems to be reasonable to choose compounds, which fit best, as reference compounds.

Previous work has shown perfect linear reactivity-reactivity correlations for reactions of benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(Scheme 3.1) with numerous classes of nucleophiles, ${ }^{[15,25]}$ probably because the steric situation at the reaction centers is kept constant while the reactivities of the benzhydrylium ions are modified by variation of the para substituents in a wide range.

The reactions of benzhydrylium ions with many types of nucleophiles, including aliphatic and aromatic $\pi$-systems, metal $\pi$-complexes, hydride donors, and n-nucleophiles, follow linear reactivity-reactivity correlations of comparable quality. ${ }^{[15]}$ For that reason, representatives of all of these classes of compounds may be considered as potential reference nucleophiles.

Since carbon-carbon double-bonded systems, including substituted benzenes, heteroarenes, alkenes, allylsilanes, silyl enol ethers, and enamines, represent the largest group of structurally related nucleophiles, ${ }^{[26]}$ because all these compounds share an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized carbon atom as the center of nucleophilicity, representatives of these classes of compounds were selected as reference nucleophiles (Scheme 3.1).

## reference electrophiles


$R^{1}, R^{2}=\mathrm{Hal}, \mathrm{H}$, alkyl, aryl, OR, $\mathrm{NR}_{2}$

## reference nucleophiles


arenes

silyl enol ethers
silyl enol ethers

Scheme 3.1: Reference electrophiles and reference nucleophiles for defining the basis-set.

### 3.3 Starting situation

Numerous benzhydrylium ions with $6>E>0$ had been characterized before this work. The 4,4'-bis(dimethylamino)benzhydrylium ion had been the only diarylcarbenium ion with $E<-3$ studied in 1999. For the construction of benzhydryl cation based reactivity scales, the characterization of more benzhydryl cations with $E<-3$ was, therefore, necessary (Figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1: Plot of $(\log k) / s$ versus $N$ for the reactions of benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with $\pi$ nucleophiles (situation in spring 2000).

In my diploma work, I had synthesized two polycyclic para-amino substituted benzhydryl cations (Figure 3.1, two correlation lines on the far right side). ${ }^{[23]}$ The characterization of their electrophilicities $E$ indicated that these new compounds are less reactive than the 4,4'bis(dimethylamino)benzhydrylium ion by several orders of magnitude. Up to now (2003), these two compounds are the least electrophilic benzhydrylium ions so far characterized.

Figure 3.1 shows the situation in spring 2000. There are large gaps around the correlation line for the 4,4 '-bis(dimethylamino)-benzhydrylium ion $(E \approx-7$ ). Some of the correlation lines are not very long, which means that the $E$ parameters for these compounds are not well
corroborated. It was obvious, that the gaps in Figure 3.1 had to be closed, in order to obtain multiple overlap of the correlation lines and reference compounds with statistically corroborated reactivity parameters.

### 3.4 Seeking for new reference compounds

Since previous work showed a correlation between $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{R}+}$ values and the electrophilicity parameters $E$ of carbocations, ${ }^{[17,27]}$ the search for benzhydrylium ions with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{R}+}>-5.71$ (for $(\mathrm{ani}){ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$) was necessary. However, while dozens of benzhydrylium ions with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{R}_{+}}<-5.71$ had been reported in literature, ${ }^{[28,29]}$ the 4,4'-bis(dimethylamino)-substituted benzhydryl cation $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$was the only one with a higher $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{R}+}$ value so far characterized. The surprising lack of compounds in this range mirrors the shortage of substituents with Hammett $\sigma_{p}{ }^{+}$ parameters more negative than $-0.78 .^{[30,31]}$


In collaboration with other members of this group (T. Bug, B. Janker, R. Loos, G. Remennikov) new benzhydrylium cations were synthesized to fill in the gaps in the less reactive part of the electrophilicity scale. It was my task in this collaborative effort to identify benzhydrylium ions which are less electrophilic than the 4,4'-bis-methoxybenzhydrylium ion $(\mathrm{ani})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and more electrophilic than the 4,4'-bis(dimethylamino)benzhydrylium ion $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(see Figure 3.1), i. e. benzhydrylium ions with substituents in para-position which have electron donating effects between that of the methoxy- and that of the dimethylamino group. 4,4'-Diaminobenzhydrylium ions with electron acceptors or weaker electron donors than methyl at nitrogen should fullfil this criterion.

Preliminary results of H . Schimmel ${ }^{[32]}$ had indicated that phenyl groups instead of methyl groups at the nitrogen atoms of the 4,4'-diaminobenzhydrylium ion reduce its reactivity remarkably. Therefore, the 4,4'-bis(diphenylamino)benzhydrylium ion (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$was synthesized according to literature procedures (Scheme 3.2).

The 4,4'-bis(diphenylamino)-substituted benzophenone 2 was obtained in $37 \%$ yield by heating dimethyl sulfoxide solutions of 4,4'-difluorobenzophenone $\mathbf{1}$ and excess of diphenylamine in the presence of potassium tert-butoxide, as described by Hepworth et al. ${ }^{[33]}$ (Scheme 3.2). Reduction of 2 with sodium borohydride ${ }^{[33]}$ gave a mixture of 4,4'(diphenylamino)benzhydrol (3) and the bis(diarylmethyl) ether 4 in $27 \%$ and $66 \%$. Treatment of the benzhydrol $\mathbf{3}$ or the ether $\mathbf{4}$ with tetrafluoroboric acid in ether generated the corresponding tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$with $81 \%$ yield.


Scheme 3.2: Synthetic route for preparing the benzhydryl tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$.

Another group member (Dr. G. Remennikov) developed syntheses of the tetrafluoroborates of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $(\mathrm{bfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, which contain trifluoroethyl groups at the nitrogen atoms (Scheme 3.3).

$\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{EtOH}$

5



1. $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}$
2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$






Scheme 3.3: Synthesis of the tetrafluoroborates of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $(\mathrm{bfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$by Dr. G. Remennikov.

The diarylmethanes $\mathbf{6 a}, \mathbf{b}$ were synthesized by acid promoted condensation of two equivalents of N -methylaniline $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ or diphenylamine $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$ with formaldehyde according to ref. [34]. Trifluoroacetylation of $\mathbf{6 a , b}$ with trifluoroacetic acid anhydride and reduction with sodium borohydride leads to 7a,b. Bis(4-bis(trifluoroethyl)aminophenyl)-methane 7c was obtained by repeated trifluoroacetylation of bis(4-aminophenyl)methane 5 and reduction of the resulting trifluoroacetyl amides with sodium borohydride. Oxidation of the diarylmethanes 7a-c with $\mathrm{DDQ}^{[35]}$ and reduction of the resulting benzophenones with sodium borohydride gave the bis(diarylmethyl) ether $\mathbf{8 a}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ and the benzhydrols $\mathbf{8 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 c}(\mathrm{R}=$ Phe, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), respectively (Scheme 3.3). Treatment of these compounds with tetrafluoroboric acid in diethylether yielded the corresponding benzhydrylium salts $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$, and (bfa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$.

Because of the shortage of reference nucleophiles with $N \approx 10$ (Figure 3.1), the characterization of $\pi$-nucleophiles in this range appeared to be desirable. Since trimethylsiloxycyclohexene was found to be 20 times less nucleophilic than trimethylsiloxycyclopentene (16), and 2-trimethylsiloxy-4,5-dihydrofuran (55), the lower homologe of 9 , was known to have $N \approx 12$, compound 9 was expected to possess a suitable reactivity. Therefore, $\mathbf{9}$ was synthesized according to procedures described in literature (Scheme 3.4). Deprotonation of tetrahydro-pyran-2-one with LDA in THF and silylation with chlorotrimethylsilane yielded 9 in $79 \% .{ }^{[36]}$


Scheme 3.4: Synthesis of 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9).

### 3.5 Reactions of reference electrophiles with reference nucleophiles

For the extension and completion of the basis-set (see Chapter 3.3 and 3.4), many rates of the combinations of reference electrophiles and reference nucleophiles were determined by UVVis spectroscopy. The procedure of determination and evaluation of rate constants is described in detail in Chapter 2. Parallel to the kinetic investigations, reaction products of some of these combinations were isolated and characterized, to prove the course of the kinetically investigated reactions.

In Scheme 3.5, the reactions of diarylcarbenium ions with different types of $\pi$-nucleophiles (allyl silanes and stannanes, silyl enol ethers, silyl ketene acetals, and enamines) are shown. Electrophilic attack at the $\pi$-bond yields cationic adducts, which usually undergo fast consecutive reactions (Scheme 3.5), as proven by the independence of the reaction rates of the nature and concentration of the counterion. ${ }^{[22,37,38]}$ The reaction products characterized during this work are listed in the right column of Table 3.1, and are generally analogous to those identified in previous investigations. ${ }^{[21,22,38,39]}$


Scheme 3.5: Reactions of benzhydryl cations with $\pi$-systems (allylsilanes and allylstannanes, silyl enol ethers and silyl ketene acetals, enamines).

For characterizing the reactivities of the four new benzhydryl cations $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $(\mathrm{bfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and the new silyl ketene acetal 9, many kinetic experiments were performed. Table 3.1 lists the second-order rate constants $k_{2}$ of the combinations of reference electrophiles and reference nucleophiles, as well as the Eyring activation parameters of these reactions (where available). Yields of isolated products 9a-f-20a-f are shown in the last column of Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Rate constants, Eyring activation-parameters, and yields of identified products for reactions of reference electrophiles with reference nucleophiles.

| Nucleophile | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}$I <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\neq} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Products ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{bfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 1.77 | --- | --- | [b] |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $2.24 \times 10^{-1}$ | --- | --- | [b] |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 1.07 | --- | --- | [b] |
|  | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 6.40 | --- | --- | 12a (56 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $2.84 \times 10^{1}$ | --- | --- | 12b (80\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.13 \times 10^{2}$ | --- | --- | 12c (76 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $6.13 \times 10^{-1}$ | --- | --- | 13a (75 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $2.97$ | --- | --- | 13b (76\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{1}$ | --- | --- | 13c (72 \%) |
|  <br> 14 | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{3}$ | --- | --- | [b] |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $6.93 \times 10^{3}$ | --- | --- | [b] |
| $15$ | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $8.42 \times 10^{2}$ | 24.28 | -105.95 | 15a (55\%) |
| $\xrightarrow{-1}$ | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $5.80 \times 10^{1}$ | 24.34 | -128.00 | 16a (81 \%) |
| $16$ | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $3.19 \times 10^{2}$ | 24.92 | -111.83 | 16b (70 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa}){ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $2.17 \times 10^{1}$ | --- | --- | 17a (70 \%) |
|  | (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $5.42 \times 10^{2}$ | 22.52 | -115.63 | 18a (46 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $4.16 \times 10^{3}$ | -- | --- | 18b (64 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.72 \times 10^{4}$ | --- | -- | 18c (66 \%) |
| $\mathrm{OSiMe}_{3}$ | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.66 \times 10^{4}$ | --- | --- | 19a (71 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $4.80 \times 10^{5}$ | --- | --- | 19b (71 \%) |

Table 3.1: Continued

| Nucleophile | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{+}$I <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\neq} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Products ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 2.84 | --- | --- | 9a (75 \%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.11 \times 10^{1}$ | --- | --- | 9b (86 \%) |
|  | (thq) $2 \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.13 \times 10^{2}$ | 29.18 | -105.94 | 9c (65\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.31 \times 10^{3}$ | --- | --- | 9d (71\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.12 \times 10^{5}$ | --- | --- | 9e (48\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $6.40 \times 10^{5}$ | --- | --- | 9f (51\%) |
|  | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $3.38 \times 10^{5}$ | --- | --- | [b] |

${ }^{7 a]}$ For structures see Scheme 3.5. ${ }^{[b]}$ Isolation of product not attempted, because reactions with other benzhydrylium ions have previously been described in ref. [26].

### 3.6 Correlation analysis of the basis-set compounds

After the collaborative extension and completion of the basis-set by new compounds (see 3.4 and 3.5), the basis-set contains now twenty-three diarylcarbenium ions and $38 \pi$-systems. The rate constants of 209 combinations of basis-set electrophiles and basis-set nucleophiles were available, and have been subjected to a correlation analysis according to equation 1.3 for determining the electrophilicity parameters $E$ and the nucleophilicitiy parameters $N$ and $s$ of these compounds.

Following the previous treatment, ${ }^{[15]}$ the electrophilicity parameter of the dianisylcarbenium ion $(\mathrm{ani})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and the slope parameter of 2-methyl-1-pentene were selected as standard, i. e., $E\left[(\operatorname{ani})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right]=0$ and $s$ [2-methyl-1-pentene] $=1$. All other reactivity parameters $E, N$, and $s$ as defined by eq. 1.3 , were then calculated by minimizing $\Delta^{2}$ specified by eq. 3.1 using the program "What'sBest! 4.0 Commercial" by Lindo Systems Inc. ${ }^{[40]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}=\Sigma\left[\log k_{\text {exp }}-\log k_{\text {calc }}\right]^{2}=\Sigma\left[\log k_{\text {exp }}-s(E+N)\right]^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Graphical representations of this correlation analysis are given in Figures 3.2 and 3.3. The plots $\log k$ versus the $E$ parameters of the electrophiles show correlation lines with slightly different slopes for different nucleophiles (Figure 3.2). Deviations from the linear correlations occur as the diffusion limit $\left(5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is approached, ${ }^{[16,41]}$ and for that reason, rate constants $k_{\text {exp }}>10^{8} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ have not been considered for the correlations. For the sake of clarity, only 20 out of 38 correlation lines are shown in Figure 3.2.


Figure 3.2: Plot of $\log k\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ versus $E$ for the reactions of benzhydryl cations with $\pi$ nucleophiles.

An important message can be taken from Figure 3.2. The similarities of the slopes and the narrow range accessible by second-order kinetics ( $-6<\log k<9$ ) implies that crossing can only occur for correlation lines of nucleophiles with closely similar $N$ parameters. In other words, nucleophiles with sufficiently different $N$ values will not invert relative reactivities in the experimentally relevant range.

Since crossing above $\log k>9$ (diffusion control) or below $\log k<-6$ (no reaction at room temperature) does not have any practical consequences, the definition of $N$ as the intercepts of the correlation lines with the abscissa $(\log k=0)$ provides a useful measure for nucleophilc reactivity. Thus, $N$ is generally defined within the experimentally accessible range, and its use avoids long-range extrapolations.

Figure 3.3 shows the plots of $(\log k) / s$ versus the $N$-parameters of the reference nucleophiles. Each correlation line refers to a reference electrophile. All lines are parallel because the effect of the slightly different $s$ values is eliminated by division of the logarithms of the rate constants by $s$.


Figure 3.3: Plot of $(\log k) / s$ versus $N$ for the reactions of benzhydryl cations with $\pi$ nucleophiles.

Data points which have been determined during this work and during my diploma thesis ${ }^{[23]}$ are identified by parentheses.

Comparison of Figure 3.1 with Figure 3.3 illustrates the progress made during this investigation. By achieving multiple overlap of the correlation lines, the derived reactivity parameters are statistically corroborated and can be used as a basis for further determinations of electrophilicity and nucleophilicity.

Comparison of the $N$ and $E$ parameters, derived from the correlation analysis of the basis set, with those given $1994{ }^{[15]}$ shows that the parameters remained almost identical for systems with $E \geq 0$ or $N \leq 2$, because the data basis in these region has only slightly been altered. More remarkable, however, though $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$is the only electrophile with $E<-3$ which has been used in this and in the 1994 correlation, its $E$ parameter and the $N$ parameters of the nucleophiles linked to it $(3<N<13)$ also have not changed by more than 0.5 units. Since the previous correlation ${ }^{[15]}$ rested predominantly on reactions with electrophilic metal- $\pi$ complexes and heteroaromatic cations in this range, this agreement implies that the reactivity parameters presented in this work are transferable to other types of compounds.

All 209 reactions of the combinations of basis set electrophiles and nucleophiles are included in Figure 3.4. One can see that the benzhydryl cations characterized so far, continuously cover the range $-10<E<6$ and thus allow the straightforward quantification of nucleophiles with -8 $<N<16$. Vice versa, the nucleophiles in Figure 3.4 may be used to characterize electrophiles with $-15<E<7$. All compounds used for the determination of $E, N$, and $s$ in Figure 3.2 and 3.3 are depicted in Figure 3.4.

In Figure 3.4, the three benzhydryl cations $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{mfa}) \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and the silyl ketene acetal 9, which were characterized during this work, are marked by frames. The electrophilicity of (bfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$was not characterized precisely, since the kinetics of its reaction with allyltrimethylsilane (see Table 3.1) indicated an electrophilicity parameter of $E$ $\left[(\mathrm{bfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right] \approx-1.53$ similar to that of $E\left[(\text { fur })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right]=-1.36$. Since (fur) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$is more easily synthesized, $(\mathrm{bfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$was not selected as a reference electrophile.

In Figure 3.4 the structures and abbreviations of benzhydryl cations are summarized. These carbocations will be used in further parts of this work.


Figure 3.4: Compilation of all basis-set compounds used for the determination of $E, N$, and $s$. Compounds in frames were added to the basis set by this work.

### 3.7 Three dimensional presentations of the reactivities of the basis-set compounds

When plotting $(\log k) / s$ (Figure 3.5) or $\log k$ (Figure 3.6) versus $E$ and $N$ for the 209 reactions of basis-set electrophiles and nucleophiles, all data-points of the basis-set are presented in three-dimensional diagrams.

In these diagrams, the base is defined by the $E$ and $N$ values of the electrophiles and nucleophiles, respectively and the rate constants $(\log k) / s$ or $\log k$ for the corresponding combinations are plotted on axis perpendicular to this plane.

In Figures 3.5 and 3.6, the diagrams are shown from different points of view, by clockwise rotations (left column) and counterclockwise rotations (right columns).
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View: $\mathbf{+ 9 0}^{\circ}$


Top view: $\mathbf{0}^{\circ}$


View: $\mathbf{- 4 5}^{\circ}$


View: -90 ${ }^{\circ}$

Figure 3.5: Plots of $(\log k) / s$ versus $E$ and $N$ for the 209 reactions of reference electrophiles with reference nucleophiles from different points of view.
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Figure 3.5: Plots of $(\log k) / s$ versus $E$ and $N$ for the 209 reactions of reference electrophiles with reference nucleophiles from different points of view.
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Figure 3.6: Plots of $\log k$ versus $E$ and $N$ for the 209 reactions of reference electrophiles with reference nucleophiles from different points of view.
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Figure 3.6: Plots of $\log k$ versus $E$ and $N$ for the 209 reactions of reference electrophiles with reference nucleophiles from different points of view.

One can see that the data points form a surface, which spans across the $E / N$-base, and is nearly plain. The surface is based on the data-points, provided from the 209 combinations of reference electrophiles and nucleophiles, which build up a net. Size and position of holes in the net can be seen. In most cases the holes are small, indicating that the crosslinking within the basis-set is very high, resulting in very consistent and persistent reactivity parameters for the basis-set compounds.

The surface rise from the corner of reactions with lowest rates $\left(E=-15, N=-15\right.$, front of $0^{\circ}$ view) to the corner of reactions with highest rates $\left(E=15, N=15\right.$, rear of $0^{\circ}$ view). For all data points on the line from $E=-15, N=15$ to $E=15, N=-15$ the condition $E+N=0$ is fullfilled, which means that the rates are $k=1$ in these cases. The surface forms a narrow band along this line. No data points are found far away from this line, which reflects the range accessible by kinetic experiments.

Three domains can be differentiated. Around the corner with $E=-15, N=-15$ there are no data points. Combinations of electrophiles and nucleophiles are too slow to be conveniently followed at room temperature. For example, the hypothetic combination of reaction partners with the reactivity parameters $E=-10\left(\approx(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right), N=-5(\approx$ toluene $)$, and $s=1$ is expected to occur with a second-order rate constant $k_{2}=1 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (from eq. 1.3). This reaction would not be observable (half life $3 \times 10^{7}$ years for 1 M solutions). The part of the surface, covered by data points, represents the accessible range of kinetic experiments $\left(1 \times 10^{-3}<k_{2}<\right.$ $\left.1 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$. In the rear of the $0^{\circ}$ view there are no data points, because these reactions are very fast and mostly diffusion controlled $\left(1 \times 10^{9}-1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$.

Analogous plots of $\log k$ versus the $E / N$-plane (Figure 3.6) give rise to a rough surface with grooves in the $(\log k) / N$-plains indicating that the reaction cannot be described precisely by using only one parameter for characterizing nucleophilicities.

### 3.8 New Hammett parameters

The Hammett equation is one of the oldest and the most widely used empirical relationship which has been employed for correlating kinetic and thermodynamic as well as spectroscopic properties. ${ }^{[30,42-44]}$ Most quantitative informations about substituent effects have been derived from Hammett's $\sigma$ constants and modifications thereof. However, as indicated above, the
wealth of substituent constants for electron-withdrawing and weakly electron-donating groups is contrasted by a remarkable shortage of substituent constants for groups that are better electron donors than alkoxy. ${ }^{[45]}$ In agreement with earlier studies, ${ }^{[46]}$ there is only a moderate correlation ( $r=0.9955$ ) between the electrophilicity parameters $E$ of benzhydrylium ions with $\Sigma \sigma^{+}$, because in the case of unsymmetrically substituted systems, the twisting angle of the two aryl rings is different. ${ }^{[43,47]}$ If only symmetrically substituted benzhydryl cations are considered, the linear correlation (Figure 3.7) is of higher quality, however, and can be used to determine the $\sigma^{+}$parameters of a series of new donor substituents. For the three benzhydryl cations $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$the $\sigma^{+}$parameters, which were derived from the $\sigma^{+} / E$ correlation are given numerically in parentheses in Figure 3.7.


Figure 3.7: Correlation of Hammett's $\sigma^{+}$constants ${ }^{[30]}$ with the $E$-parameters of benzhydryl cations ( $\mathrm{n}=7, \sigma^{+}=0.134 E-0.767, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9972$ ). Values of $\sigma^{+}$in parentheses refer to substituent constants which are derived from this correlation for the compounds investigated in this doctoral thesis.

### 3.9 Does a certain reaction take place

Countless combinations of electrophiles with nucleophiles can be imagined, and the key question when considering a certain synthetic transformation is whether it will take place at all. This question is closely related to the expected reaction rates. The half-live of a bimolecular reaction with equal initial concentrations of the reactants $\left(c_{0}\right)$ is $\tau_{1 / 2}=1 /\left(k c_{0}\right)$. A mixture that is 1 M in both reactants, therefore, requires a second-order rate constant of $k>$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to give $50 \%$ conversion in less than 3 h . For a slope parameter of $0.7<s<1.2$, this condition is fullfilled when $E+N>-5.7$ to -3.3 . Considerations of that type have previously led to the rule of thumb that electrophiles can be expected to react with nucleophiles at room temperature when $E+N>-5 .{ }^{[15-17]}$

A semiquantitative nucleophilicity test is illustrated in Figure 3.8. A series of four reference electrophiles with $E=-8.8,-7.0,-4.7$, and -3.1 (Figure 3.8, left) are combined with an excess ([Nuc] / [El] $\approx 100$ ) of reaction partners of different nucleophilicities $(N=4.4,5.2,7.5)$. The photograph was taken 1 minute after addition of the nucleophiles. Decolorations of the electrophile solutions (Figure 3.8, right) indicate roughly the reaction times for the individual electrophile-nucleophile combinations.

Half-lives of $\tau_{1 / 2}=30 \mathrm{~s}$ correspond to pseudo-first order rate constants of $k_{1 \Psi} \approx 0.02 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ according to equation $\tau_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) / k_{1 \Psi}$. For nucleophile concentrations of [Nuc] $\approx 0.1 \mathrm{M}$, this value is obtained with a second-order rate constant of $k_{2} \approx 0.2 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (from equation $k_{1 \Psi}=k_{2}$ [Nuc]). Since values of $0.7<s<1.2$ have been determined for most nucleophiles, the test in Figure 3.8 should be positive for $E+N>-1.0$ to -0.6 . All electrophile-nucleophile combinations with the benzhydryl cation of lowest electrophilicity $(E=-8.8)$ give $E+N<-$ 1.3 ; therefore no reactions can be observed. On the other hand for the combinations with the benzhydryl cation of highest electrophilicity $(E=-3.1) E+N>1.3$, resulting in decoloration of all solutions. For the reactions of Michler's hydrol blue $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(E=-7.0), E+N$ ranges from -2.6 (with methallyltrimethylsilane 13) to 0.5 (with methallyltributylstannane 14). While the strong nucleophile $\mathbf{1 4}(N=7.5)$ causes decoloration, no reaction takes place with $\mathbf{1 3}(N=$ 4.4). While the reaction of the bis(p-diphenylamino)benzhydrylium ion $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(E=-4.7)$ with $\mathbf{1 3}(N=4.4)$ is a borderline case (slow reaction indicated by partial decoloration), this electrophile reacts fast with the nucleophiles 17 and $\mathbf{1 4}(E+N>0.5)$.


Figure 3.8: Quick-test of nucleophilicity.

Figure 3.8 reveals the reactivity order allylsilane < silyl enol ether < allylstannane without the need of any quantitative measurements. In this way, nucleophiles of unkown reactivity can efficiently be characterized with a precision that suffices for many purposes. This simple test then allows one to predict potential synthetic transformations of the corresponding nucleophile.

### 3.10 Characterization of new compounds

The basis-set provides reactivity parameters of reference electrophiles and nucleophiles in wide reactivity ranges. This allows the continous addition of new compounds without the need for unending reparametrization of previously calculated $E, N$, and $s$ values. From the reactivity parameters, which are delivered from the basis-set, one can characterize $N$ and $s$ for further nucleophiles, and $E$ for further electrophiles.

The reactivity domains covered by the reference compounds in Figure 3.4 limit the procedures described so far for the characterization of further compounds in the ranges of approximately $-4<N<16$ and $-12<E<6$. To characterize more reactive as well as less reactive nucleophiles and electrophiles, an extension of the list of reference compounds is needed. Kinetic investigations of the reactions of stabilized carbanions with reference
benzhydrylium ions ( $E<-8$ ) and quinone methides have already shown that the downward extension of Figure 3.4 is unproblematic and can be done without changing the parameters given in Figure 3.4. ${ }^{[48]}$ The extension beyond the upper border of Figure 3.4 appears to be more problematic, however, since reactivity parameters were usually derived from kinetic experiments with persistent carbocations. Since superacid solutions are not suitable for such types of experiments, the kinetic methods used so far will permit only minor extensions into the domain of stronger electophiles and weaker nucleophiles.

The stepwise extension of the basis-set compounds opens another possibility. There are abundant data on electrophile nucleophile combinations in the literature. ${ }^{[49]}$ Most of them report structure-reactivity relationships of a group of nucleophiles toward a standard electrophile or of a group of electrophiles toward a standard nucleophile. In such cases, one can determine the reactivity parameter $E$ of the standard electrophile or $N$ and $s$ of the standard nucleophile by kinetic experiments with reference compounds and thus link a large variety of isolated kinetic data to the common scale, which has been elaborated during this work (Figure 3.9).


Figure 3.9: Method for linking reactivity data from literature to the reference scales.

## 4. Structure-nucleophilicity relationships for enamines

### 4.1 Introduction

Nine years before Mannich published the first general synthesis of enamines, ${ }^{[50]}$ the term "enamine" was coined in 1927 by Wittig to emphasize the analogy of this class of compounds with enols. ${ }^{[51]}$ While first examples of enamine chemistry date back to $1884,{ }^{[52]}$ the synthetic potential of the reactions of enamines with electrophiles was not realized until 1954 when the pioneering work of Stork ${ }^{[53]}$ demonstrated their use for $\alpha$-alkylations and $\alpha$-acylations of carbonyl compounds. ${ }^{[54]}$ In these reactions, enamines combine with electrophiles to give iminium ions which are subsequently hydrolyzed to yield $\alpha$-alkylated carbonyl or 1,3dicarbonyl compounds, respectively. The chemistry of enamines has extensively been reviewed. ${ }^{[54]}$ Their strong nucleophilic character is revealed by their reactivity towards Michael acceptors, ${ }^{[55]}$ acceptor-activated aryl halides, ${ }^{[56]}$ and electron-deficient dienes which act as $\pi 4$-cycloaddition partners. ${ }^{[57]}$

Enamines had a unique status as noncharged enolate equivalents until the early 1970s, which they have been sharing with silylated enol ethers since then. ${ }^{[58]}$ In accord with the lower electronegativity of nitrogen compared to oxygen, enamines are more nucleophilic than enol ethers and, therefore, cannot be replaced by the latter in reactions with weak electrophiles, e. g. allylpalladium complexes. ${ }^{[59]}$

Though structure-reactivity relationships have repeatedly been reported for small groups of enamines, ${ }^{[60-64]}$ there has not been an attempt to compare reactivities of enamines of widely differing structures. It is the goal now to quantify the nucleophilic reactivities of enamines and to compare them with the reactivities of silyl enol ethers and related electron-rich arenes. This information can then be used to define their potential for a systematic use in synthesis.

### 4.2 Electrophiles

For characterizing the nucleophilic reactivities of enamines, the following reference electrophiles (Figure 3.4, right side) were employed: (liil) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, (ind) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$, (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+},(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$. These benzhydrylium ions cover a wide reactivity range of electrophilicity ( $-10.04<E<-3.14$ ), and provide the first quantitative comparison of enamines of widely differing reactivity with each other as well as with other types of nucleophiles.

### 4.3 Nucleophiles

The enamines 20-26, 29-31, and 34-36 were prepared by acid catalyzed condensation of ketones or aldehydes with the corresponding secondary amines (Scheme 4.1). ${ }^{[65]}$


## 20-26, 29-31, 34-36

Scheme 4.1: Preparation of the enamines 20-26, 29-31, and 34-36 by acid catalyzed condensation.

Reaction of morpholine with 3-bromo-1-propene gave 4-allylmorpholine, ${ }^{[66]}$ which was converted into enamines $\mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{2 8}$ by base-catalyzed ( $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu} / \mathrm{DMSO}$ ) isomerization. The 89:11mixture of 28 and 27 underwent an benzoic acid-catalyzed isomerization to give pure 27 (Scheme 4.2). ${ }^{[66]}$


Scheme 4.2: Synthesis of the enamines 27, 28 by base-catalyzed isomerization of allylamine.

For the preparation of $\mathbf{3 2}$, morpholine was added to ethyl propiolate ${ }^{[67]}$ (Scheme 4.3). In this reaction only the $E$-isomer was formed.


Scheme 4.3: Synthesis of $\mathbf{3 2}$ by addition of morpholine to ethyl propiolate.

### 4.4 Reaction products

The reactions of the enamines $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{4 1}$ with the benzhydrylium salts $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$produce the iminium tetrafluoroborates 20a-41a, usually in high yield. These were either isolated and characterized or hydrolyzed to the corresponding ketones or aldehydes 20b-41b by treatment with dilute hydrochloric acid (Scheme 4.4). Some combinations of enamines with benzhydryl cations are reversible which impedes the isolation of iminium salts (see Table 4.1).


Scheme 4.4: Reactions of enamines with benzhydryl cations.

The NMR analysis of the products 42c and $\mathbf{4 3} \mathrm{c}^{[21]}$ showed that pyrrole $\mathbf{4 2}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ and its $N$ methylated analogue $43\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ are alkylated by benzhydrylium salts $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$at position 2 (Scheme 4.5, for yields see Table 4.1), as generally found for electrophilic substitutions of pyrroles. ${ }^{[68]}$ In accord with the reports by Muchowski and co-workers, ${ }^{[69]}$ the $N$-triisopropylsilylated pyrrole $44\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiiPr}_{3}\right)$ is mainly attacked at the 3-position (Scheme 4.5).

Since the substituted products $\mathbf{4 2 c} \mathbf{- 4 4 c}$ are more nucleophilic than $\mathbf{4 2 - 4 4}$, they were found only as the predominant products when the pyrroles were used in large excess (10 equiv.) over the benzhydrylium salts. ${ }^{[21]}$ Alkylation of the indoles $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 7}$ occurs at position 3 with formation of compounds 45d-47d, in analogy to previously reported electrophilic substitutions of indoles (Scheme 4.5). ${ }^{[70,71]}$


Scheme 4.5: Reactions of substituted pyrroles and indoles with benzhydryl cations.

### 4.5 Kinetic measurements

The rates of the reactions of the benzhydryl cations with the enamines 20-41, pyrroles 42-44, and indoles $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 7}$ were followed by UV-Vis spectroscopy. The procedure of performing kinetic measurements and calculating rate constants is described in chapter 2 in detail. All rate constants $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for the reactions of enamines and related compounds with benzhydrylium ions determined are collected in Table 4.1 and are supplemented by some rate constants determined previously.

The last column of Table 4.1 contains those products of the reactions of benzhydryl cations with nucleophiles which were characterized as iminium salts 20a-41a, carbonyl compounds $\mathbf{2 0 b} \mathbf{- 4 1 b}$, or as the results of electrophilic aromatic substitutions (42c-44c or 45d-47d).

Table 4.1: Second-order rate constants for the reactions of enamines, pyrroles and indoles with benzhydryl cations in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Enamine | $N$ | $s^{[\mathrm{ab]}}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Products |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.1: Continued

| Enamine | $N$ | $s^{[\text {al }}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | Products |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 4.1: Continued

${ }^{[a]}$ Values in parentheses are estimates. ${ }^{[b]}$ Eyring activation parameters are given in Table 4.2. ${ }^{[c]}$ From ref. [26]. ${ }^{[d]}$ Mixture 28/27 $=89 / 11$. ${ }^{[\text {[e] }}$ Reversible reaction, for equilibrium constant see Table 4.3. ${ }^{[f]}$ From ref. [72]. ${ }^{[8]}$ From ref. [73]. ${ }^{[\text {[] }}$ The crude product contains also isomer 42c ( $9 \%$ ) as revealed by NMR spectroscopy.

In cases where the temperature dependence of the rate constants has been determined, the $k_{2}$ values given in Table 4.1 are those derived from the Eyring parameters listed in Table 4.2. The temperature variations show that the observed differences in reactivity are predominantly due to enthalpic effects, since $\Delta S^{\neq}$is always around $-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$, as in previously studied reactions of carbocations with $\pi$-nucleophiles. ${ }^{[26,72-74]}$

Table 4.2: Eyring activation parameters ${ }^{[a]}$ for the reactions of benzhydryl cations with enamines in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

| Enamine | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $\Delta H^{+} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta S^{\ddagger} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $16.43 \pm 0.70$ | $-108.90 \pm 3.34$ |
| 23 | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $17.13 \pm 0.40$ | $-108.65 \pm 1.86$ |
| 23 | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $17.97 \pm 0.56$ | $-94.28 \pm 2.71$ |
| 24 | $(\text { pyr })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $14.33 \pm 0.84{ }^{\text {[b] }}$ | $-107.88 \pm 4.05^{[b]}$ |
| 25 | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $24.10 \pm 0.15$ | $-111.41 \pm 0.66$ |
| 25 | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $22.29 \pm 0.38$ | $-106.62 \pm 1.68$ |
| 25 | (thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $17.55 \pm 0.65$ | $-103.91 \pm 2.90$ |
| 20 | (ind) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $26.20 \pm 0.43^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ | $-113.71 \pm 1.75{ }^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ |
| 20 | (thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $24.28 \pm 0.42^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ | $-112.21 \pm 1.83{ }^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ |
| 20 | $(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $23.33 \pm 0.58^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ | $-105.20 \pm 2.51^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ |
| 20 | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $20.46 \pm 0.94{ }^{\text {[b] }}$ | $-104.71 \pm 4.15^{[b]}$ |
| 26 | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $25.39 \pm 1.68$ | $-81.51 \pm 7.55$ |
| 29 | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $22.75 \pm 0.28$ | $-121.18 \pm 1.28$ |
| 31 | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $35.20 \pm 0.41$ | $-80.20 \pm 1.71$ |
| 34 | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $34.17 \pm 0.79$ | $-84.11 \pm 3.25$ |
| 36 | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $17.97 \pm 0.50$ | $-99.43 \pm 2.34$ |

${ }^{[\text {a] }}$ As indicated by the error limits in $\Delta H^{\neq}$and $\Delta S^{\neq}$, the large number of decimals is per se meaningless, but is needed for reproducing the rate constants in Table 4.1. ${ }^{[b]}$ From ref. [26].

### 4.6 Enamines as ambident nucelophiles

Enamines are ambident nucleophiles, and the second-order rate constants $k_{2}$ in Table 4.1, which are derived from the disappearence of the benzhydryl cation absorptions, may either be due to direct formation of the isolated iminium ions or to the initial formation of eneammonium ions which rearrange to the observed products in a successive reaction.

Electrophilic attack at nitrogen has previously been reported for reactions of enamines with protons ${ }^{[63,75-77]}$ and with alkyl halides. ${ }^{[78]}$ It was shown that protonation at nitrogen initially yields eneammonium ions which successively rearrange to the more stable iminium ions ${ }^{[63,76-}$ ${ }^{77]}$ (Figure 4.1).


Figure 4.1: Protonation of enamines.

To determine the preferred site of electrophilic attack for the combinations listed in Table 4.1, we have investigated the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with the enamine 29 in detail. Because of the steric shielding of the $\beta$-carbon in compound 29 by two methyl groups, in this system the electrophilic attack at nitrogen should be particularly favorable over attack at the $\beta$-carbon.

When enamine 29 was treated with (dpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the consumption of the benzhydrylium ion was complete within 1 s (UV detection) with a second-order rate constant of $2.41 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (Table 4.1). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum taken after 30 s indicated the exclusive formation of the iminium ion $\mathbf{2 9}^{\prime}$ (Scheme 4.6).


Scheme 4.6: Reactions of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with the enamine 29 and N -methylmorpholine.

How can one exclude that the UV-Vis spectroscopically observed reaction ( $\sim 1 \mathrm{~s}$ ) is due to the formation of $\mathbf{4 8}$ while the NMR spectrum shows the structure of the rearranged product 29'? Under the conditions of the UV-Vis experiment just described, $N$-methylmorpholine does not react with $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$to form the ammonium ion 49 (Scheme 4.6), indicating an equilibrium for $N$-attack which is far on the side of the reactants. ${ }^{[79]}$

Since saturated tertiary amines are $10^{2}$ times more basic than the corresponding enamines, ${ }^{[77 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}]}$ it must be concluded that the equilibrium concentration of $\mathbf{4 8}$ must even be smaller than the (nonobservable) equilibrium concentration of the quaternary morpholinium ion 49. As a consequence, only a negligible concentration of the eneammonium 48 ion can be produced from (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and $\mathbf{2 9}$. Despite a potentially high rate constant for the formation of 48, the unfavorable equilibrium constant rules out that the UV-Vis spectroscopically observed reaction is due to N -attack.

The ratio $C$-versus $N$-attack should even be higher with the other enamines of Table 4.1, and one can, therefore, generalize that all rate constants in Table 4.1 refer to the attack of the carbocations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$at the $\beta$-carbon atom of enamines. Since the initial formation of small equilibrium concentrations of eneammonium ions does not have any influence on the rates of consumption of the benzhydrylium ions $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$by $\beta$-carbon attack of the enamines, we will disregard this reversible side reaction in the following discussion.

### 4.7 Nucleophilicities of enamines

When the rate constants $(\log k)$ determined for the reactions of the enamines 20-36 and the pyrrole derivatives 42-44 with $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(Table 4.1) are plotted against the $E$ parameters of the benzhydryl cations (from Figure 3.4), linear correlations are obtained, from which $s$ and $N$ according to eq. 1.3 can be determined (Figure 4.2). Since the slopes $s$ do not differ widely ( $0.79<s<1.03$ ), estimated values of $s$ can be used to derive $N$ parameters for those compounds which have only been studied with respect to a single benzhydryl cation ${ }^{[80]}$ (see Table 4.1).


Figure 4.2: Correlations of the rate constants $\left(\log k, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ for the reactions of enamines 20-35 and pyrroles $\mathbf{4 3}, 44$ with benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$versus their electrophilic reactivities $E$.

### 4.8 Intrinsic barriers for the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with enamines

As indicated in the footnotes of Table 4.1, not all reactions of benzhydrylium ions with enamines proceed quantitatively, and in four cases, equilibrium constants have been determined. While 29 reacts quantitatively with $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and with more electrophilic benzhydrylium ions, equilibrium constants of $3.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ and $4.3 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ have been measured for the combinations of 29 with $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, respectively, in dichloromethane at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

From the linear correlations between rate and equilibrium constants of the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with nucleophiles, ${ }^{[47]}$ one can extrapolate that $\log K$ should be 0 for a benzhydrylium ion with $E=-8.55$ (Figure 4.3). Since no far-ranging extrapolation is needed, the calculated intercept on the abscissa of Figure 4.3 is rather reliable though it is based on only two experimental equilibrium constants.


Figure 4.3: Correlation of $\log K$ versus $E$ for the reactions of benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with 29 in dichloromethane at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\log K=1.72 E+14.67, n=2)$.

In Marcus theory, ${ }^{[81]}$ the intrinsic rate constant is defined as the rate constant of a reaction that does not have a thermodynamic driving force $\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} G^{\circ}=0\right)$. Thus, the rate constant of the reaction of 29 with the hypothetical benzhydrylium ion of $E=-8.55\left(\approx(\mathrm{ind})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right)$which can be calculated as $k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=16.7 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ by eq. 1.3 equals the intrinsic rate constant. The Eyring equation then allows to derive the intrinsic barrier of this reaction as
$\Delta G_{0}{ }^{\neq}=64.9 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Intrinsic barriers of 58 to $67 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ are calculated by the Marcus equation 4.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G^{\neq}=\Delta G_{0}^{\neq}+0.5 \Delta_{\mathrm{r}} G^{\circ}+\left(\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} G^{\circ}\right)^{2} /\left(16 \Delta G_{0}^{\neq}\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the other reactions of benzhydryl cations with enamines for which equilibrium constants have been determined (Table 4.1). As discussed previously, ${ }^{[47]}$ the work term in the Marcus equation (4.1) can be neglected in ion-molecule reactions.

Table 4.3: Calculation of the intrinsic barriers $\Delta G_{0}{ }^{\neq}$from the reaction and activation free enthalpies of the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with enamines in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Enamine | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $K /$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} G^{\circ} /$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G^{\neq} /$ <br> kJ mol |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathrm{M}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G_{0}{ }^{\neq} /$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $4.26 \times 10^{2}$ | -14.76 | 58.27 | 65.44 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $3.72 \times 10^{4}$ | -25.65 | 51.99 | 64.17 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.08 \times 10^{4}$ | -22.63 | 47.60 | 58.37 |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $3.66 \times 10^{4}$ | -25.61 | 54.80 | 66.99 |

The intrinsic barriers $\left(\Delta G_{0}{ }^{\neq}\right.$) in Table 4.3 closely resemble those previously estimated for the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with 2-methyl-1-pentene, ${ }^{[82]}$ and are larger than those observed for the reactions with phosphanes (see chapter 4) and amines. ${ }^{\text {[83] }}$

### 4.9 Structure-reactivity relationships for enamines

The almost parallel lines in Figure 4.2, numerically expressed by the closely similar $s$ parameters in Table 4.1, imply that the relative reactivities of these enamines are almost independent of the nature of the benzhydrylium ions $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(constant selectivity relationship). For that reason, discussions of structure reactivity correlations can be based on the magnitude of $N$ or on relative reactivities towards any specific benzhydrylium ion. We will employ both quantities in the following discussion.

As shown in Table 4.4, the relative reactivities of different types of enamines towards various electrophiles generally decrease in the order pyrrolidine > piperidine > morpholine.
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Table 4.4: Comparison of relative rate constants $k_{\text {rel }}$ for the reactions of enamines with various electrophiles.

${ }^{[a]}$ From ref. [60]. ${ }^{[b]}$ From ref. [61]. ${ }^{[d]}$ From ref. [62]. ${ }^{[d]}$ From ref. [63].

The higher reactivity of pyrrolidine compounds compared to piperidine analogues can be explained by the higher $p$-character of the nitrogen lone-pair in a five-membered ring compared with a six-membered ring which is revealed by a lower first vertical ionization potential $\left(I P_{1}\right)^{[54 a, 84]}$ of pyrrolidino compared to piperidino compounds (Figure 4.4). Replacement of the $4-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group in piperidine by the more electronegative oxygen further increases the ionization potential and consequently reduces nucleophilicity. The increasing degree of pyramidalization of nitrogen from pyrrolidino to piperidino and morpholino has also been confirmed by x-ray crystallography of derivatives of these compounds. ${ }^{\text {[85] }}$


Figure 4.4: Correlation of the nucleophilic reactivities $N$ with the first vertical ionization potentials $I P_{1}$ for cyclic enamines ${ }^{[84]}$ (cyclopentenes: $N=-4.86 I P_{1}+50.54, n=3, r^{2}=$ 0.9397; cyclohexenes: $\left.N=-6.11 I P_{1}+58.44, n=4, r^{2}=0.9772\right)$.

Comparison of compounds $\mathbf{2 5}$ with $\mathbf{3 4}$ or of $\mathbf{2 0}$ with $\mathbf{3 5}$ (Table 4.1) indicates that enamines with a methylphenylamino group are 3 to 5 times less nucleophilic than analogous structures with morpholino moieties. From the comparison of $\mathbf{3 2}$ with $\mathbf{3 3}$ (Table 4.1) one can derive that a dimethylamino group activates the double bond five times better than an N -morpholino group.

Cyclopentanone-derived enamines in general show higher reactivities than compounds derived from cyclohexanone (Table 4.5), and the ratio decreases in the series morpholino > piperidino > pyrrolidino compounds.

Table 4.5: Comparison of relative rate constants $k_{\text {rel }}$ for the reactions of enamines from cyclopentanone and cyclohexanone with electrophiles.

| Electrophile <br> (Reaction Conditions) | Relative Reactivities $k_{\text {rel }}$ of Enamines |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & (\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & (\text { (thq })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & (\text { dma) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $-N$ |  |  |
|  |  | 30 | 1 |
|  |  | 53 | 1 |
|  |  | 43 | 1 |
|  |  | 52 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & (\text { jul })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | 23 | 1 |
|  |  | 32 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & (\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & (\text { thq })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  | 8.5 | 1 |
|  |  | 7.2 | 1 |
|  |  | 6.1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{PhN}_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\text {[] }}$ |  | 12 | 1 |

[^0]As shown in Figure 4.5, analogous dependencies of the nucleophilic reactivities on the ring size are also found for the corresponding 1 -(trimethylsiloxy)cycloalkenes ${ }^{[22,26]}$ and 1 methylcycloalkenes. ${ }^{[26]}$ The linear correlation between $N$ and $\sigma^{+}$of the substituent $\mathrm{R}^{[30]}$ corresponds to that previously reported for proton additions to substituted CC-double bonds. ${ }^{[86]}$


Figure 4.5: Correlation of $N$ with $\sigma^{+}$for cyclic enamines, silyl enol ethers, and alkenes (cyclopentenes: $N=-9.60 \sigma^{+}-1.43, n=6, r^{2}=0.9967$; cyclohexenes: $N=-9.28 \sigma^{+}-2.60, n=$ $\left.5, r^{2}=0.9829\right) .{ }^{[a]} \sigma^{+}$from ref. [26]. ${ }^{[b]}$ The $\sigma^{+}$value of -OMe was used. ${ }^{[\text {c] }} \sigma^{+}$from ref. [30].

Substituents at the $\beta$-carbon atom (site of electrophilic attack) also have a noticeable influence on the reactivity of enamines. Replacement of substituents with electron donating properties like methyl (compound 27) by electron withdrawing substituents like ethoxycarbonyl (compound 32) reduces the reactivity of ${ }_{\beta} \mathrm{C}$-substituted morpholinoethenes by a factor of about 700 (calculated for $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The corresponding phenyl substituted enamine $\mathbf{3 0}$ is in between.

A plot of the $N$ parameters (from Table 4.1) versus the $\sigma_{p}$-values ${ }^{[30]}$ of the $\beta$-substituents of these enamines shows a linear correlation (Figure 4.6). Since the variable substituent is at a position which does not adopt a formal positive charge in the product, the slope of this correlation is considerably smaller than that in Figure 4.5.


Figure 4.6: Correlation of $N$ with $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{[30]}$ for ${ }_{\beta} \mathrm{C}$-substituted $E$-morpholinoethenes ( $N=-5.95 \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ $+11.08, n=3, r^{2}=0.9898$ ).

Comparison of compounds 27 and 28 (Table 4.1) shows that $E, Z$-isomeric enamines differ little in reactivity. An additional methyl group at the $\beta$-carbon atom (29) reduces the reactivity by a factor of $30-40$ because of steric shielding.

In contrast to intuition, $\beta$-( $N$-morpholino)styrene ( $\mathbf{3 0}$ ) reacts about 15 times faster with benzhydrylium ions than $\alpha$-( $N$-morpholino)styrene (31) (Table 4.6). Obviously, the delocalization of the positive charge by the morpholino group is so efficient that the presence of an additional phenyl group at the new carbocation center is not helpful. In the transition state, the destabilization due to disturbing the amino resonance is more effective than the stabilization by the +M -effect of the phenyl group. Similar reactivity ratios were found for $\alpha$ and $\beta$-aminostyrenes in 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions of phenyl azide ${ }^{[61]}$ and Diels-Alder reactions with 3,6-diphenyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine ${ }^{[64]}$ (Table 4.6).

Table 4.6: Relative rate constants for the reactions of ${ }_{\alpha} \mathrm{C}$ - and ${ }_{\beta} \mathrm{C}$-phenyl-substituted enamines with various electrophiles.

| Electrophile | Relative Reactivities $k_{\text {rel }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Reaction Conditions) | of Enamines |


|  | $-\mathrm{NR}_{2}$ | $\stackrel{\mathrm{Ph}}{>} \mathrm{NR}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}-/ /{ }^{\text {NR }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $-\sqrt{0}$ |  |  |
| $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | 1 | 8.9 |
| $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | 1 | 16 |
| $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | 1 | 16 |
| (pfa) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | 1 | 15 |
| $\mathrm{PhN}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $-N$ | 1 | 13 |
|  <br> (dioxane, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) ${ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $-\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ | 1 | 8 |

${ }^{[a]}$ From ref. [61]. ${ }^{[b]}$ From ref. [64].

According to Figure 4.7, increasing basicity of the indoles, as expressed by the $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of their conjugate acids, ${ }^{[87]}$ is associated with increasing nucleophilicity. The slope of the correlation line ( 0.50 ) indicates that roughly $50 \%$ of the changes in $\Delta_{\mathrm{r}} G^{\circ}$ are found in $\Delta G^{\neq}$.


Figure 4.7: Plot of $N$ versus $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{CH}+}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[87]}$ for indoles $\left(N=0.50 \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{\text {CH+ }}+7.76, n=\right.$ $3, r^{2}=0.923$ ).

Comparison of 42-44 indicates that $N$-methylation of pyrrole increases the nucleophilicity by a factor of 20 while $N$-triisopropylsilylation reduces the nucleophilicity by a factor of 23 (calculated for (pfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

### 4.10 Conclusion

As outlined in the introduction, enamines have long been known as strong nucleophiles. With the method of using reference electrophiles ${ }^{[26,80]}$ it has now become possible to compare nucleophilicities of enamines with widely varying reactivity. As shown in Figure 4.8, enamines cover a wide range of nucleophilicity from $N \approx 4$ like typical enol ethers ${ }^{[26]}$ to $N \approx$ 16 like stabilized carbanions in DMSO. ${ }^{[48]}$ For a typical $s$ value of 0.85 , this range corresponds to roughly ten orders of magnitude in rate constants or relative reaction times of 1 minute for $\mathbf{2 1}$ versus 20000 years for $\mathbf{4 1}$. The benefit of this scale for designing syntheses is obvious.


Figure 4.8: Nucleophilicity and slope parameters $N / s$ of enamines, pyrroles and indoles from Table 4.1 compared with other $\pi$-systems and carbanions (parentheses indicate estimated values of $s$ ). While the $N$ parameters of neutral $\pi$-nucleophiles depend little on solvent, nucleophilicity parameters of carbanions refer to the specified solvent. ${ }^{[48]}$

## 5. 5-Methoxyfuroxano[3,4- $d$ ] pyrimidine - a highly reactive neutral electrophile

### 5.1 Introduction

The electron deficieny of aromatic or heteroaromatic rings is significantly increased by annelation of a furoxan ring. There are many examples for the formation of stable anionic $\sigma$ complexes in this series. ${ }^{[90]}$ Nitro substituted benzofuroxanes have been recognized to be strong electrophiles. Since 4,6-dinitrobenzofuroxan (50) was found to react with heteroarenes faster than the p-nitrobenzenediazonium ion and the proton, ${ }^{[91]}$ it has been termed a "superelectrophile". ${ }^{[92]}$ Compound 50 reacts with methanol, ethanthiol, L-cysteine, acetone, cyclopentanone, 1,3-diketones, as well as with aromatic and heteroaromatic compounds even in the absence of a base. The ability of such compounds to react with intracellular amino and thiol functionalities has been considered to be responsible for their antileukemic activity. ${ }^{[93]}$

Much attention has been given to aza- and diazabenzofuroxans (Scheme 5.1). ${ }^{[90 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 94]}$ Recent investigations have shown that 6 -nitro $[2,1,3]$ oxadiazolo[4,5-b]pyridine-1-oxide (51), an azaanalog of $\mathbf{5 0}$, affords an anionic $\sigma$-adduct with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$which is slightly more stable than the corresponding $\sigma$-adduct of $\mathbf{5 0} .{ }^{[95]}$ It was thus indicated that an aza group in the aromatic ring is comparably efficient in promoting $\sigma$-adduct formation as a nitro substituent.

Previously, the formation of $\sigma$-adducts was reported, when 5-methoxy[1,2,5]oxadiazolo[3,4$d]$ pyrimidine 1 -oxide (5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine) (52) was dissolved in primary, secondary, or tertiary alcohols as well as in water. ${ }^{[96]}$ Compound 52 also reacts with carbanions derived from different CH -acids (from $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=20.0$ (acetone) to 5.21 (dimedone)) to yield the corresponding $\sigma$-adducts. ${ }^{[97]}$
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Scheme 5.1: Structures of 4,6-dinitrobenzofuroxan (50), 6-nitro[2,1,3]oxadiazolo[4,5$b$ ]pyridine-1-oxide (51), and 5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine (52).

### 5.2 Synthesis and reaction products

5-Methoxyfuroxano[3,4-d]pyrimidine (52) was synthesized by Dr. G. Remennikov, and he showed, that it reacts with several electron-rich arenes and ethylene derivatives at C-7 to yield 7-substituted 6,7-dihydro-5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4-d]pyrimidines (54). ${ }^{[98]}$ The formation of compounds 54 proceeds through the intermediacy of the zwitterions $\mathbf{5 3}$, which are generated by the attack of nucleophiles at C-7 of 52 (Scheme 5.2).


Scheme 5.2: Reaction of 5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine (52) with nucleophiles.

Since the change of hybridization of C-7 changes the nature of the conjugated $\pi$-system, the reaction described in Scheme 5.2 is associated with a hypsochromic shift in the UV spectrum.

### 5.3 Kinetic measurements

Kinetic measurements of the reactions of 5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4-d]pyrimidine (52) with the basis-set nucleophiles (see Figure 3.1) 1-( $N$-morpholino)cyclohexene (20), 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-4,5-dihydrofuran (55), and 1-( $N$-piperidino)cyclohexene (24) by UV-Vis spectroscopy (procedure and evaluation of rate constants is described in detail in Chapter 2) at $\lambda_{\max }=301 \mathrm{~nm}$ in dichloromethane were performed (Table 5.1). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy was used to follow the kinetics of the reaction of 52 with $N$-methylpyrrole (43) by Dr. G. Remennikov.
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Table 5.1: Second-order rate constants for the reactions of $\mathbf{5 2}$ with the nucleophiles 43, 20, $\mathbf{5 5}$, and 24, and determination of the electrophilicity parameter $E$ of $\mathbf{5 2}$.

| Nucleophile | Solvent | $k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $N^{\text {a] }}$ | $s^{[\text {a] }}$ | $E$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathrm{~d}_{6}-\mathrm{DMSO}$ | $(2.628 \pm 0.128) \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{b]}}$ | 5.85 | 1.03 | -8.36 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $(2.634 \pm 0.045) \times 10^{2}$ | 11.40 | 0.83 | -8.48 |
| $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $(5.907 \pm 0.044) \times 10^{2}$ | 12.56 | 0.70 | -8.60 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $(1.801 \pm 0.037) \times 10^{4}$ | 13.36 | 0.81 | -8.10 |
|  |  |  |  | $E(\mathbf{5 2})=-8.37^{[\mathrm{cc}]}$ |  |

${ }^{[a]}$ Data from ref. [26]. ${ }^{[b]}$ NMR-kinetic measurement. ${ }^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ By minimization of $\Delta^{2}=\Sigma\left(\log k_{i}-s_{i}\right.$ $\left.\left(N_{i}+E\right)\right)^{2}$ as described in ref. [89]. The calculations were actually performed with more decimals of $\log k$, $N$, and $s$ than indicated in the table. The use of $\log k, N$, and $s$ given in the table leads to slightly deviating results.

Equation 1.3 can now be used to calculate $E(\mathbf{5 2})$ from the rate constants given in Table 5.1 and the $N$ - and $s$-parameters of the basis-set nucleophiles $\mathbf{2 0}, \mathbf{2 4}, \mathbf{4 3}$, and $\mathbf{5 5}$. Table 5.1 shows that closely similar values of $E$ are derived from the reactions of $\mathbf{5 2}$ with different nucleophiles, indicating that eq. 1.3 is suitable for describing the reactions under consideration. The good agreement of the $E$-values derived from reactions in dichloromethane (entries 2-4, Table 5.1) with the $E$-value derived from a reaction in DMSO solution (entry 1) cannot a priori be expected since the rates of reactions of neutral nucleophiles with neutral electrophiles yielding zwitterionic intermediates may show considerable solvent dependence. ${ }^{[99,100]}$ Possibly because of the high polarity of the reactant 52 the solvent dependence of the rate constant is small in these reactions.

### 5.4 Discussion

With an electrophilicity parameter of $E=-8.37,5$-methoxyfuroxano $[3,4-d]$ pyrimidine (52) ranks among the strongest noncharged electrophiles, comparable in its reactivity to stabilized carbocations and cationic metal- $\pi$-complexes as shown in Figure 5.1.


Figure 5.1: Comparison of the electrophilicity $E$ of $\mathbf{5 2}$ with that of related charged and noncharged electrophiles.

At typical concentrations $(1 \mathrm{M})$, second-order reactions with $k_{2}=1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ proceed slowly with a half-live of 3 h . As a consequence of the electrophilicity parameter $E(\mathbf{5 2})=$ -8.37 derived above, the furoxanopyrimidine $\mathbf{5 2}$ can be expected to react with nucleophiles of $N>3$ to 4 (see Chapter 3.9). This prediction was experimentally verified by Dr. G. Remennikov, who identified several reaction products (Scheme 5.3).

### 5.5 Conclusion

Anellation of a furoxan ring significantly increases the electron deficiency of the pyrimidine ring with the consequence that noncatalyzed reactions of 5-methoxyfuroxano[3,4$k$ ]pyrimidine (52) with electron-rich arenes and alkenes become possible. Additions of $\pi$ nucleophiles to 52 follow eq. 1.3 and, therefore, allow one to characterize $\mathbf{5 2}$ by an electrophilicty parameter. Since the rate-determining step of the reaction sequence shown in Scheme 5.2 resembles that of nucleophilic aromatic substitutions, it is likely that this important class of reactions can also be described by eq. 1.3. Unpublished results by Phan Thanh Binh corroborate this conclusion.
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Scheme 5.3: Products from the reactions of $\mathbf{5 2}$ with reference nucleophiles.

## 6. Rates and equilibria for the reactions of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites with carbocations

### 6.1 Introduction

In homogeneous organometallic catalysis aromatic tertiary phosphanes, such as triphenylphosphane, are important ligands that find widespread use. ${ }^{[101]}$ These compounds stabilize the low oxidation states of the metal centers and can be used to modify both the electronic and steric properties of the catalysts. Substituents at the ortho-, meta-, or parapositions of the aromatic rings offer a means of tailoring the properties of the ligands and affect both the metal-phosphorous bond lengths and associated angles. ${ }^{[102]}$

Ways of quantifying the electronic and steric properties of phosphanes have extensively been studied since 1970. Tolman's electronic and steric parameters have been the basis for these studies over the past 30 years. ${ }^{[102-104]}$ The improvement in NMR techniques and molecular modelling have introduced new possibilities for elucidating the properties of phosphanes. ${ }^{[105]}$

In organocatalyses, phosphorous compounds also play an important role. Michael reactions can be catalyzed by phosphanes. ${ }^{[106]}$ Phosphoramidites and phosphites proved to be efficient catalysts for the Michael reactions of alkenones and alkynones with malonates, $\alpha$-cyano esters, $\beta$-keto esters, and nitro compounds. ${ }^{[107]}$ In the Baylis-Hillman reaction, phosphanes can be used as effective nucleophilic catalysts. ${ }^{[108-110]}$

In these reactions, phosphorous compounds are added to Michael systems in the first step of the catalytic cycles. Therefore, phosphorous compounds must act as strong nucleophiles. It was the goal of this investigation to quantify the reactivities of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites. This information can then be used to elucidate their potential in organocatalysis.

We have recommended 22 differently substituted benzhydrylium ions as reference electrophiles for quantifying the reactivities of various types of nucleophiles. ${ }^{[26,89]}$ (see Chapter 3). For quantifying the nucleophilicities of various phosphanes and phosphites, kinetic measurements with several benzhydrylium ions (Figure 3.4) as well with the quinone methide ani $(\mathrm{Ph})_{2} \mathrm{QM}^{[48]}$ (Scheme 6.1) were performed.


Scheme 6.1: Structure and electrophilicity of the quinone methide ani $(\mathrm{Ph})_{2} \mathrm{QM}$.

### 6.2 Nucleophiles

The following tertiary phosphanes and phosphites were purchased: $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, ( p $\left.\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}, \quad\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}, \quad(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}, \quad(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}, \quad(i \mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{P}, \quad(c \mathrm{Hex})_{3} \mathrm{P} . \quad$ Tris $(4-$ dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ was synthesized by the lithium induced coupling of 3 equivalents of 4-bromo-N,N-dimethylaniline to phosphorous trichloride (Scheme 6.2). ${ }^{[111,112]}$


Scheme 6.2: Synthesis of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$.

### 6.3 Reaction products

Since the benzhydrylium ions shown in Figure 3.4 differ considerably in their electrophilicities and Lewis acidities, ${ }^{[47]}$ not all combinations with the phosphanes and phosphites defined by eq. 6.1 give stable adducts.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \stackrel{\oplus}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{\ominus}+\mathrm{PR}_{3} \xlongequal[k_{\leftarrow}]{k_{\rightarrow}} \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{PR}_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{\Theta} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

56-68

Stable phosphonium salts 56-68 from benzhydrylium ions and phosphanes or phosphites, which have fully been characterized by MS, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy, are listed in Table 6.1.

Table 6.1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of phosphonium salts from benzhydrylium tetrafluoroborates and phosphorous nucleophiles.

| No. | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | Products | $\begin{aligned} & \delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\right) \\ & \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}-{ }^{+} \mathrm{PR}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR }\right) \\ & \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}^{+} \mathrm{PR}_{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P} \text { NMR }\right) \\ & \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{-}{ }^{+} \mathrm{PR}_{3} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (p-ClC $\left.6 \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 56 (78\%) | 6.45 | 46.7 | 20.33 |
| 2 | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 57 (81\%) | 6.53 | 46.2 | 21.14 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 58 (82\%) | 6.10 | 47.7 | 20.36 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 59 (65\%) | 6.25 | 46.9 | 21.10 |
| 5 | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\text { thq) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 60 (77\%) | 5.64 | 48.8 | 19.38 |
| 6 | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 61 (79\%) | 6.30 | 47.4 | 21.33 |
| 7 | (p-MeOC $\left.{ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 62 (76\%) | 5.32 | ----- | 18.18 |
| 8 | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 63 (98\%) | 5.76 | 48.7 | 19.32 |
| 9 | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ (83\%) | 4.71 | 46.6 | 33.49 |
| 10 | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | (thq) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 65 (83\%) | 4.74 | 45.4 | 33.53 |
| 11 | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 66 (93\%) | 5.00 | 45.2 | 34.07 |
| 12 | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 67 (76\%) | 4.66 | 48.4 | 19.86 |
| 13 | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 68 (50\%) | 5.50 | 45.5 | 37.05 |

An analysis of the chemical shifts of the benzhydryl H and C and of the P -nucleus $\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\right.$ ${ }^{+} \underline{P R}_{3}$ ) shows that in the adducts $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-{ }^{-} \mathrm{PR}_{3}$ the replacement of weakly electrophilic benzhydryl cations by more reactive ones causes an increase of $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ while $\delta$ $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ) decreases (Table 6.1, entries $1 / 2,3 / 4,5 / 6,7 / 8,9 / 10 / 11$ ). Comparison of entries 3 and 8 in Table 6.1 shows that for the same benzhydryl fragment $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and $\delta\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\right)$ decrease while $\delta$ $\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ increases, when introducing electron donating groups in para-position at triaryl phosphanes.

### 6.4 Equilibrium constants

While all reactions of trialkylphosphanes and phosphites with benzhydrylium ions, investigated in this work, proceeded with quantitative formation of phosphonium ions, reversible adduct formation was observed for some combinations of triarylphosphanes. Since benzhydrylium ions are colored, in contrast to the triarylphosphanes and the corresponding adducts (phosphonium salts), the equilibrium constants given in Table 6.2 can be derived
from the UV-Vis absorbances of the benzhydrylium ions in the presence of variable concentrations of phosphanes.

Table 6.2: Equilibrium constants $K, \Delta G^{\mathrm{O}}$-, $\Delta H^{\mathrm{O}}$-, and $\Delta S^{\mathrm{O}}$-values ${ }^{[\mathrm{a]}}$ for the reactions of triarylphosphanes with benzhydrylium tetrafluoroborates in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (eq. 6.1).

| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) /$ | $\Delta G^{\mathrm{O}}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \Delta H^{\mathrm{O}} /$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{0} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |  |
| $\left.\overline{(p-C l C} 6 \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | (thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 6.26 | -4.47 | -34.08 | -101.0 |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $8.52 \times 10^{3}$ | -22.06 |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $7.58 \times 10^{5}$ | -33.00 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.91 \times 10^{1}$ | -7.19 | -41.25 | -116.2 |
|  | (jul) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $5.57 \times 10^{1}$ | -9.80 | -34.57 | -84.51 |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $2.16 \times 10^{3}$ | -18.72 | -48.38 | -101.2 |
|  | (dma) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{5}$ | -28.63 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.38 \times 10^{3}$ | -17.61 |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $2.41 \times 10^{3}$ | -18.98 |  |  |
|  | (thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.69 \times 10^{5}$ | -29.34 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.79 \times 10^{4}$ | -23.86 |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $3.38 \times 10^{4}$ | -25.41 |  |  |
|  | (ind) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $5.45 \times 10^{5}$ | -32.19 |  |  |
|  | (thq) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $1.03 \times 10^{6}$ | -33.75 |  |  |

Table 6.2 shows that the equilibrium constants increase with increasing electrophilicities of the benzhydrylium ions as well as with increasing electron releasing ability of the psubstituents in the triarylphosphanes.

Combinations of weakly electrophilic benzhydrylium ions with $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ gave only small product-concentrations at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Lowering the temperature shifts the equilibrium to the side of adduct and enabled us to determine the equilibrium constants in these cases. Extrapolation of the plots of $\ln K$ versus $1 / T$ (van't Hoff equation) yielded $K$ for $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In all
cases, where the temperature-dependence of the equilibrium constants was investigated, reaction entropies $\Delta S^{\mathrm{O}}$ and enthalpies $\Delta H^{\mathrm{O}}$ were calculated by the Gibbs-equation (see Table 6.2 ).

As shown in Figure 6.1, the free enthalpies $\Delta G^{\mathrm{O}}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the reactions of triarylphosphanes with benzhydrylium ions correlate linearly with $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ of the corresponding para-substituents.


Figure 6.1: Correlation of $\Delta G^{\circ}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ versus $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{[30]}$ for the reactions of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The linear correlations of $\log K$ for the combinations of triarylphosphanes with various benzhydrylium ions with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the corresponding phosphanes in nitromethane show slopes of 1.5-1.6 (Figure 6.2). It is presently not understood why substituent variation in the triaryl phosphanes affects carbon basicity to a greater extent than proton basicity.


Figure 6.2: Correlations of $\log K$ versus $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{[113]}\right)$ for the reactions of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Kane-Maguire determined the kinetics of the reversible additions of a wide range of tertiary phosphanes to the tropylium ring of the cation $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\eta^{7}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)\right]^{+}$in acetone at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{[114]}$ From the rate law $k_{\text {obs }}=k_{1}\left[\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]+k_{-1}$ and the equation $K=k_{1} / k_{-1}$ the equilibrium constants $K$ were calculated. These correlate well with the equilibrium constants $K$ found for the reactions of several benzhydryl cations with the same tertiary phosphanes in methylene chloride (Figure 6.3). Again, substituent variation in the triarylphosphanes affects basicity towards benzhydrylium ions to a greater extent than basicity towards the tropylium complex (slopes: 1.4-1.6).


Figure 6.3: Correlation of $\log K\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right]$versus $\log K\left[\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\eta^{7}-\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)\right]^{+}\right]$for the additions of para-substituted triaryl phosphanes (slope for (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}=1.44$, slope for $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}=1.46$, slope for $\left.(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}=1.58\right)$ to electrophiles.

### 6.5 Kinetics

The kinetics of the reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with benzhydryl cations and quinone methides were generally investigated under pseudo-first-order conditions by monitoring the decay of the benzhydrylium absorbances at $\lambda_{\max }=590-680 \mathrm{~nm}$ after combining the benzhydrylium tetrafluoroborate solutions with 10 to 100 equivalents of $\mathrm{PR}_{3}$ in dichloromethane. Details of performing kinetic measurements and evaluation of rate constants are given in Chapter 2.

In the case of incomplete consumption of the benzhydrylium ions, the rate constants were determined in analogy to the procedure described in Chapter 2.3. In Figure 6.4, the plots of the absorbances at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ versus $t$ are shown for different initial concentrations of ( p $\left.\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$. Higher concentrations of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ increase the reaction rates and the degree of conversion as determined by consumption of the benzhydrylium ion $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$.


Figure 6.4: Plot of the absorbance at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ vs $t$ for the reactions of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with different concentrations of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As shown in Table 6.3, the kinetics of all combinations of benzhydrylium ions with phosphanes and phosphites could be evaluated by one of these ways, and the equilibrium constants $K$ calculated as the ratio $k_{\rightarrow} / k_{\leftarrow}$ closely resemble those derived from the concentrations of reactants and products (Table 6.2).
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Table 6.3: Rate constants $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for the reactions of benzhydrylium tetrafluoroborates with phosphanes and phosphites in dichloromethane.

| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | E | $k_{2} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $N$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2^{\text {CH }}{ }^{+}$ | -8.22 | $7.29 \times 10^{2[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $3.34 \times 10^{3[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $2.09 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |
|  | (dpa) $2^{\text {CH }}{ }^{+}$ | -4.72 | $1.20 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.85 | $4.97 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.14 | $1.17 \times 10^{6}$ | 12.58 | 0.65 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $4.85 \times 10^{2[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | $2.57 \times 10^{3[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.69 | $1.58 \times 10^{4[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $5.21 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $2.93 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | (mor) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.53 | $4.27 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.72 | $1.79 \times 10^{6}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.85 | $8.27 \times 10^{6}$ | 14.33 | 0.65 |
| (p-MeC $\left.{ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $2.71 \times 10^{3[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | (jul) $2^{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | $7.15 \times 10^{3[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $5.66 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $2.43 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $1.27 \times 10^{6}$ |  |  |
|  | (dpa) $2 \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.72 | $8.30 \times 10^{6}$ | 15.44 | 0.64 |
| (p-MeOC $\left.{ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $5.07 \times 10^{3[\text { a] }}$ |  |  |
|  | (jul) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | $1.93 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $1.03 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $4.87 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $2.38 \times 10^{6}$ | 16.17 | 0.62 |
| $\left.\overline{\text { (p-Me }} \mathrm{NCC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\operatorname{ani}(\mathrm{Ph})_{2} \mathrm{QM}$ | -12.18 | $6.11 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $2.43 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | $7.01 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
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Table 6.3: Continued

| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | E | $k_{2} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $N$ | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\right.$ ind) $2 \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.76 | $1.41 \times 10^{6}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $3.29 \times 10^{6}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $1.06 \times 10^{7}$ | 18.39 | 0.64 |
| $\left({ }_{(i \operatorname{Pr}}^{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $2.77 \times 10^{4}$ | 13.37 | $(0.70)^{[b]}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | $2.90 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $2.83 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $1.28 \times 10^{5}$ | 14.64 | 0.68 |
| $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $6.07 \times 10^{3[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | $1.61 \times 10^{4[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $1.08 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $7.68 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $4.68 \times 10^{6}$ | 15.49 | 0.69 |
| $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.72 | 3.55 |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.85 | $2.06 \times 10^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.14 | $5.85 \times 10^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | (fur) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}{ }^{+ \text {[c] }}$ | -1.36 | $2.37 \times 10^{3[a]}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{an})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 0 | $1.22 \times 10^{4}$ | 5.51 | 0.76 |
| $\overline{(n \mathrm{BuO}){ }_{3} \mathrm{P}}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | 1.62 |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 | 4.55 |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ ind) $2 \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.76 | $1.33 \times 10^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | $\left(\right.$ thq) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $3.64 \times 10^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.69 | $5.79 \times 10^{1}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $2.23 \times 10^{2}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $1.34 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | (mor) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.53 | $1.87 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | (dpa) $2^{\text {CH }}{ }^{+}$ | -4.72 | $8.25 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.85 | $3.71 \times 10^{4}$ |  |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.14 | $1.09 \times 10^{5}$ | 10.36 | 0.70 |
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Table 6.4: Eyring activation parameters ${ }^{[a]}$ for the reactions of benzhydryl cations with $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta S^{\neq} / \mathrm{J} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{thq})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $31.18 \pm 1.00$ | $-83.61 \pm 4.87$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $24.67 \pm 0.60$ | $-93.19 \pm 2.90$ |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{lill}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$ | $35.87 \pm 0.75$ | $-71.00 \pm 3.62$ |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $34.74 \pm 1.13$ | $-60.10 \pm 5.26$ |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $26.25 \pm 0.49$ | $-74.86 \pm 2.20$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{lill}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$ | $36.18 \pm 0.44$ | $-55.65 \pm 2.09$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $31.37 \pm 0.47$ | $-63.99 \pm 2.22$ |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$ | $34.84 \pm 0.36$ | $-55.01 \pm 1.71$ |
| $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $22.44 \pm 0.37$ | $-95.81 \pm 1.58$ |
| $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $20.22 \pm 1.32$ | $-95.29 \pm 5.95$ |
| $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\text { fur })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $30.02 \pm 0.25$ | $-77.77 \pm 1.48$ |

${ }^{[a]}$ As indicated by the error limits in $\Delta H^{\neq}$and $\Delta S^{\neq}$, the large number of decimals is per se meaningless, but are needed for the reproduction of the rate constants in Table 6.3.

### 6.6 Solvent dependence of the rate constants

In previous work, we have found that the rates of the reactions of carbocations with uncharged nucleophiles are only slightly affected by solvent polarity. ${ }^{[37,38,115]}$ Figure 6.5 illustrates that the same is true for the reactions of the bis(p-dimethylaminophenyl)carbenium ion $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with triphenylphosphane. While there are poor correlations between these rate constants and the $E_{\mathrm{T}}(30)$-values ${ }^{[100]}$ or dielectricity constants $\varepsilon$, ${ }^{[100]}$ Figure 6.5 shows that the rate constants decrease slightly with increasing Gutmann's donor numbers $D N^{[100]}$ of the solvents.


Figure 6.5: Correlation of the rate constants $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) k_{2}$ of the reactions of $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$ with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ versus $D N^{[100]}$ of the corresponding solvents $\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{2}=\left(-1.198 \times 10^{3}\right) \times D N+\right.$ $\left.\left(4.963 \times 10^{4}\right), r^{2}=0.9804\right)$.

In contrast, the rate constants of the reactions of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$OTf with 2-methyl-1pentene ${ }^{[37]}$ and of $\left(p-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{PhCH}^{+}$OTf with dimethylphenylsilane ${ }^{[15]}$ correlate poorly with the donor numbers of the solvents and increase slightly with the corresponding $E_{\mathrm{T}}$ (30)values. In spite of the existence of these correlations with solvent polarity parameters it should be kept in mind that the solvent effects are minute for all these reactions since charges are neither created nor destroyed in reactions of ions with neutral molecules. Very small solvent effects (nitromethane, acetonitrile, acetone, etc.) have also been reported for the reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with metal-complexes, ${ }^{[116]}$ metal- $\pi$-complexes ${ }^{[12,117]}$ and tropylium ions. ${ }^{[13]}$

### 6.7 Determination of the nucleophilicities of phosphorous compounds

Figure 6.6 shows that the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with tertiary phosphanes and phosphites also follow eq. 1.3. The nucleophilicity parameters $N$ as well as the slope parameters $s$ derived from these correlations are listed in Table 6.3.


Figure 6.6: Correlations of the rate constants $\left(\log k, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ for the reactions of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with the benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$versus their $E$ parameters.

It should be noted that even the rate constant for the reaction of tris(pdimethylaminophenyl)phosphane with the quinone methide ani $(\mathrm{Ph})_{2} \mathrm{QM}(E=-12.18)$ nicely matches the correlation lines demonstrating that the previously determined $E$ parameters for quinone methides ${ }^{[48,118]}$ are also applicable to reactions with this class of nucleophiles, and that charged and uncharged electrophiles may be used side by side for these analyses.

The close similarity of the slopes which are comparable to those of amines and alkoxides indicates that these reactions may also be described by Ritchie's constant selectivity relationship ${ }^{[8,10]}$ as previously noticed by Sweigart and Alavosus. ${ }^{[13]}$ With the $N$ and $s$ parameters derived from Figure 6.6, we can now compare the reactivities of P-nucleophiles with those of carbanions, amines, enamines, silyl ketene acetals, silyl enol ethers, and allyl stannanes (Figure 6.7).


Figure 6.7: Comparison of the nucleophilic reactivities of phosphanes and phosphites with other types of nucleophiles ${ }^{[48,88]}$ (solvent dichloromethane, if not mentioned otherwise).

Since the quaternary phosphonium ions derived from triaryl- and trialkylphosphanes cannot undergo consecutive reactions, fast backward reactions are responsible for the failure to observe reaction products with certain electrophiles even when the rate constants of the phosphane carbocation combinations are predicted to be fast by equation 1.3.

### 6.8 Intrinsic barriers

Rate equilibrium relationships provide important informations about the factors that control organic reactivity. ${ }^{[119,120]}$ However, not many reaction types are known, for which the dependence of rates and equilibria has been determined. ${ }^{[121]}$ Kane-Maguire and Sweigart reported that numerous reactions of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites with metalcoordinated carbocations, for which rate constants have been determined, proceed with incomplete formation of the adducts. ${ }^{[12]}$ This observation prompted me to investigate rate equilibrium relationships for the reactions of phosphorous nucleophiles with benzhydrylium ions, which play a key-role in our systematic approach to polar organic reactivity. ${ }^{[15,17,25]}$

For only one electrophile, (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, as well as for a single nucleophile, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, there are four reaction partners for which rate and equilibrium constants are available. The slopes of the corresponding $\Delta G^{\ddagger} / \Delta G^{\mathrm{O}}$ correlations in Figures 6.8 and 6.9 ( 0.42 and 0.48 ) indicate that variation of the electrophile as well as variation of the nucleophile affects the activation free enthalpies about half as much as the reaction free enthalpies.


Figure 6.8: Correlation of $\Delta G^{\neq}$with $\Delta G^{0}$ for the reactions of para substituted triarylphosphanes with (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, \Delta G^{\neq}=0.416 \times \Delta G^{0}+57.4, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9986 ).


Figure 6.9: Correlation of $\Delta G^{\neq}$with $\Delta G^{\mathrm{O}}$ for the reactions of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, \Delta G^{\neq}=0.482 \times \Delta G^{0}+58.8, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9413\right)$.

The intercepts of the correlations in Figure 6.8 and 6.9 are similar ( $57-59 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) and correspond to the activation free enthalpy at $\Delta G^{\mathrm{O}}=0$, which has been termed the intrinsic barrier $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq}$by Marcus. ${ }^{[81]}$ While the intrinsic barrier has initially been assumed to adopt a characteristic (constant) value for a reaction series, ${ }^{[81]}$ it has later been recognized that intrinsic barriers may vary also within reaction series. ${ }^{[122]}$

Since the last term in the Marcus equation (eq. 4.1) is usually negligible, linear $\Delta G^{\neq} / \Delta G^{0}$ correlations with slopes of $\alpha \approx 0.5$, as shown by Figures 6.8 and 6.9 imply that the intrinsic barriers for the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with phosphanes are almost constant. This conclusion is in accord with a recent theoretical analysis of the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with nucleophiles, which related the variability of intrinsic barriers with the magnitude of the slope parameters $s$ in eq. 1.3. ${ }^{[47]}$ It was shown that linear $\log k$ vs $E$ correlations with $s>$ 0.67 imply a decrease of the intrinsic barriers with increasing exothermicity, while $s<0.67$ indicates an increase of the intrinsic barriers with increasing exothermicity. ${ }^{[47]}$ Consequently,
the $s$-parameters close to 0.67 , as listed in Table 6.3 are in accord with constant intrinsic barriers.

These findings are in clear contrast to the situation in reactions of benzhydrylium ions with CH hydride donors ( $s \approx 1$ ), where the intrinsic barriers decrease when exothermicity is increased by variation of the hydride acceptors, while the intrinsic barriers increase when the exothermicity is increased by variation of the hydride donors. ${ }^{[123]}$

With almost constant intrinsic barriers ( $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq} \approx 58 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) for the reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with benzhydrylium ions, one can now use Marcus' theory to calculate $\Delta G^{\neq}$for the reactions of phosphanes with benzhydrylium ions from the reaction free enthalpies reported in Table 6.2 and the intrinsic barrier of $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq} \approx 58 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ derived from Figures 6.8 and 6.9. Table 6.5 shows that the observed activation free enthalpies (from Table 6.4) generally agree within $2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ with those calculated from eq. 4.1.

Table 6.5: Comparison of experimental activation free enthalpies with those calculated from the Marcus equation (4.1) for $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq} \approx 58 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ for the reactions of para substituted triarylphosphanes with $\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $\Delta G^{\mathrm{O}} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G_{\text {exp }}^{\neq} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\Delta G_{\text {calc }}^{\neq} / \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\text { (thq) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.5 | 55.7 | 55.8 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\right.$ (lil) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.2 | 56.7 | 54.5 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.8 | 52.6 | 53.2 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\text { thq })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -18.7 | 49.5 | 49.0 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -28.6 | 45.3 | 44.6 |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\text { (thq) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -29.3 | 45.1 | 44.3 |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $(\text { (thq) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -33.8 | 43.6 | 42.4 |

### 6.9 Quantitative analysis of ligand effects

Figure 6.10 shows a good linear correlation between $N$ and Hammett's $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ constants. It is, therefore, possible to employ Hammett's substituent constants for a reliable prediction of $N$ values of further triarylphosphanes. Electronic effects of the para-substituents in triaryl phosphanes directly affect their nucleophilicities.


Figure 6.10: Correlation of $N$ with $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{[30]}$ for para substituted triarylphosphanes ( $\mathrm{n}=5, N=-$ $\left.6.757 \times \sigma_{p}+\left(1.426 \times 10^{1}\right), r^{2}=0.9939\right)$.

In analogy to the correlation between nucleophilicity and $\sigma_{p}$ shown in Figure 6.10, there is also a linear correlation between the nucleophilicity parameters $N$ of triarylphosphanes and their $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values in nitromethane (Figure 6.11).


Figure 6.11: Correlation of $N$ with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{[113]}\right)$ for para-substituted triarylphosphanes $\left(\mathrm{n}=4, N=1.014 \times \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}+\left(1.154 \times 10^{1}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9999\right)$.

The half-wave oxidation potentials $E_{1 / 2}$ of tertiary phosphorous compounds were measured by electrochemical oxidation of these compounds. ${ }^{[124]}$ Tertiary phosphorous compounds are oxidized irrereversibly and consume less than one electron per molecule. ${ }^{[125]}$ Removing one electron by anodic oxidation results in formation of the cation radicals $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+\bullet}$ which then enter into fast chemical reactions with nucleophilic components of the solution.

Figure 6.12 shows a good correlation of the nucleophilicities $N$ of six tertiary phosphanes and phosphites with their half-wave oxidation potentials $E_{1 / 2}\left(N=-6.411 \times \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}+20.205, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ $0.9815)$ towards the reference electrode $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(c=0.1 \mathrm{M})$ in acetonitrile.

Multiplication of the observed slope with an averaged value of $s \approx 0.65$, for the employed phosphorous compounds results in slope of -4.17 for the correlation of $\log k$ versus $E_{1 / 2}$. This value is much smaller than the slope, which was found for the correlation between the $E$ parameters of benzhydrylium ions and their reduction potentials in acetonitrile $(E=14.091 \times$ $\left.E_{\text {red }}^{\mathrm{O}}-0.279\right) .{ }^{[126]}$


Figure 6.12: Correlation of $N$ with $\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}{ }^{[127]}$ for tertiary phosphanes and phosphites ( $\mathrm{n}=6, N=$ $\left.-6.411 \times \mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}+\left(2.021 \times 10^{1}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9815\right)$.

The correlation in Figure 6.12 shows that the nucleophilicity $N$ increases when oxidation potentials of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites decrease. It is remarkable, that $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}=1.64\right)$ does not fit into the correlation, shown in Figure 6.12.

Giering suggested the QALE procedure to describe ligand effects in phosphorous(III) compounds by three electronic and one steric parameter (QALE = quantitative analysis of ligand effects). ${ }^{[128]}$ The electronic parameters are the $\sigma$ donor capacity (described by the parameter $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}$ ), the $E_{\text {ar }}$ effect (secondary electronic effect), and the $\pi$ electron acceptor capacity $\left(\pi_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$. The relevant steric parameter is Tolman's cone angle $\theta$.

QALE analysis of the nucleophilicity parameters $N$, listed in Table 6.3, gave equation 6.2, which expresses $N$ by the four parameters $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}, \theta, E_{\mathrm{ar}}$, and $\pi_{\mathrm{p}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=-0.48588 \chi_{\mathrm{d}}-0.06565 \theta+1.5293 E_{\text {ar }}-0.7921 \pi_{\mathrm{p}}+26.291 \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The four stereoelectronic parameters in eq. 6.2 contribute differently to the magnitude of the $N$-value of the phosphorous(III) compounds. The largest contribution comes from $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}$ which describes the $\sigma$ electron capacity. The small $\chi_{d}$ values of the trialkylphosphanes imply a high $\sigma$ electron donor releasing ability which increases nucleophilicity. A large cone angle $\theta$ is associated with a decrease in the $N$ value of the phosphorous(III) compound. One can derive that the steric effect of the compound with the the largest cone angle $\left[\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]$ compared with the smallest cone angle $\left[(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}\right]$ accounts for a difference of 3.9 in $N$. The secondary electronic effect $E_{\text {ar }}$ increases the nucleophilicity of triarylphosphanes by 4.1 units in $N$. The large values for the $\pi$ electron capacity ( $\pi$ acidity) of the two phosphites account for the lowering of their $N$ values by 2-3 units.

Table 6.6: Stereoelectronic parameters ${ }^{[128]}$ of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites, comparison of $N_{\text {exp }}$ with $N_{\text {calc }}$ calculated by eq. 6.2.

| $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\chi_{\mathrm{d}}$ | $\theta$ | $E_{\text {ar }}$ | $\pi_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $N_{\text {exp }}$ | $N_{\text {calc }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 16.8 | 145 | 2.7 | 0 | 12.58 | 12.74 |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 13.25 | 145 | 2.7 | 0 | 14.33 | 14.46 |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 11.5 | 145 | 2.7 | 0 | 15.44 | 15.31 |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 10.5 | 145 | 2.7 | 0 | 16.17 | 15.80 |
| $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 5.25 | 145 | 2.7 | 0 | 18.39 | 18.35 |
| $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 5.25 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 15.49 | 14.81 |
| $(i \mathrm{Pr})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 3.45 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 13.37 | 14.11 |
| $\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 1.4 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 14.64 | 14.45 |
| $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 23.6 | 128 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 5.51 | 5.16 |
| $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | 15.9 | 110 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 10.36 | 11.19 |

Though the available data set is unsufficient for rigorously testing the applicability of the QALE model for these purposes, Table 6.6 shows that the QALE analysis (eq. 6.2) reproduces the $N$ parameters with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.5$ units. For that reason one may assume that Giering's parameters can be employed to estimate $N$ parameters of further phosphanes and phosphites.

### 6.10 Reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with other electrophiles

It has been demonstrated that eq. 1.3 can be used for predicting rate constants for the reactions of nucleophiles with various types of carbocations and metal- $\pi$-complexes, though the quality of these correlations is not of equal quality as that of the reactions with the benzhydrylium references. In order to examine the applicability of the nucleophilicity parameters $N$ determined in this work for predicting reactivities toward other types of electrophiles, we have calculated the rate constants for the reactions of phosphanes with electrophiles of known $E$ parameters and compared them with all available data reported in the literature (Table 6.7). Kinetic data from literature, which were not determined at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ have been adjusted to this temperature by reported Eyring activation parameters or estimated entropies of activation.

Table 6.7: Comparison of calculated (eq. 1.3) and experimental rate constants for the reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with electrophiles at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Electrophile | $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | E | $k_{\text {exp }} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ <br> ( $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) from lit. | $\begin{aligned} & k_{\text {exp }}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{\text {calc }}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-9.19^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $2.15 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[b]}$ | $2.22 \times 10^{2}$ | $2.19 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-9.19{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $4.20 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[c]}$ | $4.20 \times 10^{2}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-8.94{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $3.60 \times 10^{-3}(20)^{[d]}$ | $3.60 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.47 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-8.94{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{1}(20)^{[\text {[] }]}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{1}$ | 9.86 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-8.94{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $1.90 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[f]}$ | $2.51 \times 10^{3}$ | $3.19 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeO}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-8.94{ }^{\left[{ }^{[a]}\right.}$ | $8.00 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[8]}$ | $7.26 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.45 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $3,6-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$-xanthylium ${ }^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-8.25{ }^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | $6.8(25)^{[\mathrm{ij]}}$ | 5.13 | $3.00 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 3,6-( $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ )-xanthylium ${ }^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-8.25{ }^{[\mathrm{lb]}}$ | $7.00 \times 10^{4}(25)^{[i]}$ | $6.18 \times 10^{4}$ | $9.90 \times 10^{4}$ |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.93{ }^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ | $4.2(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ | 3.14 | $5.02 \times 10^{1}$ |
|  | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.93{ }^{[\mathrm{bl]}}$ | $5.60 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[1]}$ | $4.74 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.65 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.78{ }^{[\text {a] }}$ | $3.50 \times 10^{-2}(20)^{[\mathrm{m}]}$ | $3.50 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.88 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.78{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[n]}$ | $1.42 \times 10^{2}$ | $6.40 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.78{ }^{[\text {a] }}$ | $1.60 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[0]}$ | $1.31 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.81 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.78{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $3.40 \times 10^{5}(20)^{[\mathrm{p}]}$ | $3.40 \times 10^{5}$ | $2.09 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | (p-MeC66 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-7.78{ }^{[\text {[a] }}$ | $4.10 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[q]}$ | $4.54 \times 10^{4}$ | $7.99 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\left(4-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-6.24{ }^{[\mathrm{lb]}}$ | $6.30 \times 10^{1}(25)^{[r]}$ | $4.94 \times 10^{1}$ | $7.66 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $\left(4-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $-6.24{ }^{[\mathrm{m}]}$ | $6.00 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[5]}$ | $5.08 \times 10^{3}$ | $1.81 \times 10^{5}$ |

[^2]for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. ${ }^{\text {[e] }}$ From ref. [12] for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[f]}$ Calculated from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=26 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-91 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. ${ }^{[g]}$ Calculated from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=24 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-89 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. ${ }^{\text {[h] }}$ From ref. [88]. ${ }^{[i]}$ Calculated from ref. [13] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[j]}$ Calculated from ref. [13] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[\mathrm{K}]}$ Calculated from ref. [13] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[1]}$ Calculated from ref. [13] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[\mathrm{m}]}$ From ref. [12] for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2} .{ }^{[n]}$ Calculated from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=50 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-33 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. ${ }^{[0]}$ Calculated from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=34 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-50 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. ${ }^{\text {[p] }}$ From ref. [12] for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[q]}$ Calculated from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=$ $21 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-84 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. ${ }^{[\mathrm{rr]}}$ Calculated from ref. [13] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[\text {[] }}$ Calculated from ref. [13] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in acetone.

As shown in Table 6.7, the rate constants for the additions of phosphanes and phosphites to metal- $\pi$-complexes, xanthylium-, tritylium-, and tropylium ions reported in the literature agree with a standard deviation of factor 6.7 with those calculated by eq. 1.3 from the $N$-, $s$ (this work), and $E$-values (determined previously).

In the Staudinger reaction covalent azides react with trivalent phosphorous compounds to give nitrogen and iminophosphoranes (eq. 6.3) which are widely used in the synthesis of amides, ${ }^{[129,130]}$ amines, ${ }^{[131]}$ and a variety of compounds containing carbon-to-nitrogen double bonds. ${ }^{[132]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{N}_{3}+\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rate constants of the reactions of para-substituted phenyl azides with substituted triphenylphosphanes were determined by J. E. Leffler in benzene at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{[133]}$ Figure 6.13 shows that the rates of the reactions of phenyl azide with triaryl phosphanes correlate linearly with the $N$ parameters of $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{XC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$.
R. Romeo and coworkers studied the kinetics of chloride substitution in the Pt-complex shown in Scheme 6.3 , by a series of phosphanes in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{[134]}$ This substitutions take place in a single step, according to the reaction in Scheme 6.3.


Scheme 6.3: Chloride substitution in the cyclometallated Pt-complex.

The second-order rate constants, observed for these substitution reactions of chloride by parasubstituted triaryl phosphanes, correlate well with the $N$ parameters of the triaryl phosphanes (Figure 6.13).
W. E. McEwen ${ }^{[135]}$ investigated the rates of the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reactions of benzyl chloride with a variety of tertiary phosphanes in benzene-methanol (3:2) at $31.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 6.4).


Scheme 6.4: Reaction of tertiary phosphanes with benzyl chloride.

The rate constants $(\log k)$ again correlate linearly with $N$, a necessary consequence of the linear correlations of $(\log k)$ for these three reactions as well as of $N$ with Hammett's parameters $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$. It would be of interest whether the rates of the reactions of trialkyl phosphanes or of phosphites with these electrophiles also follow the correlations depicted in Figure 6.13.


Figure 6.13: Plots of $\log k$ versus $N$ for the reactions of triaryl phosphanes with various electrophiles.

### 6.11 Conclusion

Phosphanes and phosphites are another class of compounds, the nucleophilicity of which can quantitatively be described by eq. 1.3. By our method of using benzhydrylium ions as electrophiles, it has become possible to directly compare the nucleophilicities of compounds as different as tris(4-dimethylamino)phosphane ( $N=18.39$ ) and triphenylphosphite ( $N=$ 5.51 ), which corresponds to a rate ratio of $1: 10^{9}$ (for $s=0.70$ ) or $1 \mathrm{~min}: 2000$ years at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Since comparison with literature data shows that the $N / s$ parameters reported in this work can also be employed for calculating rate constants with other types of electrophiles, one can assume that the $N$ and $s$ parameters reported in this work will reliably predict the rates of combination with all other electrophiles of reported $E$ parameters. Since the intrinsic barrier has been found to be constant ( $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq} \approx 58 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) for the reactions with benzhydrylium ions, combinations of eq. 1.3 and 4.1 may even be employed for a rough estimate of the reaction free enthalpy for the reactions of $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ with electrophiles.

## 7. Electrophilicity parameters for metal- $\pi$-complexes

### 7.1 Introduction

By far most of our knowledge of the electrophilic reactivities of metal- $\pi$-complexes comes from the excellent pioneering work of Kane-Maguire and Sweigart, most of which has been published from the mid 1970s to the mid 1980s. ${ }^{[136]}$ Though different types of nucleophiles have been investigated by these authors, phosphanes and phosphites were the most extensively studied class of nucleophiles, and Kane-Maguire and Sweigart have derived a scale of relative electrophilic reactivities of metal- $\pi$-complexes on the basis of their reactivities towards phosphorous nucleophiles. ${ }^{[12]}$

Consequent continuation of the development of our reactivity scales as described in refs. $[48,89]$ requires the measurement of rate constants for the reactions of these electrophiles with the reference-nucleophiles, defined in Chapter 3 for determining the $E$ parameters of metal- $\pi$ complexes. This process would be time-consuming and would not make use of the excellent data set provided by Kane-Maguire, Sweigart, and coworkers. Since recent investigations of the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with phosphanes and phosphites (see Chapter 6) revealed these compounds to be particularly well-behaved nucleophiles, we have decided to deviate from our general procedure and to employ the $N$ and $s$ parameters of phosphanes and phosphites as well as the extensive literature data for deriving the electrophilicity parameters of metal- $\pi$-complexes.

Kane-Maguire, Sweigart, and coworkers studied the nucleophilic additions of various phosphanes, amines, anionic nucleophiles, and aromatic nucleophiles to various metal complexes. ${ }^{[12]}$ These synthetically useful complexes ${ }^{[137-139]}$ contain the transition-metal-center ( $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Re}, \mathrm{Ru}, \mathrm{Os}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{W}$ ), some ligands ( $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, I, NO), and $\pi$-hydrocarbons as ligands $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}, \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)$. The complexes are either positively charged or neutral.

There exists a large number of rate constants for the additions of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites to the $\pi$-hydrocarbon ligands of these metal- $\pi$-complexes ${ }^{[12]}$ (Scheme 7.1).


Scheme 7.1: Typical reactions of tertiary phosphorous nucleophiles with the $\pi$-hydrocarbon ligands of transition metal complexes.

### 7.2 Adaption of rate constants to standard conditions

The rate constants for the additions of phosphanes and phosphites to metal- $\pi$-complexes, found in literature, ${ }^{[12]}$ are listed in Table 7.1. These kinetic investigations were often performed in different solvents (acetone, nitromethane, acetonitrile) and at different temperatures $\left(0,20,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

For linking the kinetic data, provided by Kane-Maguire, Sweigart and coworkers with our kinetic data, some rate constants have been recalculated, using the following procedure:

- Solvent effects are minute for the reactions of metal- $\pi$-complexes with phosphanes and phosphites (see Table 7.1), and are therefore neglected. This is in accord with our observation that the rates of the reactions of benzhydrylium ions with phosphanes (Chapter 6), $\pi$-nucleophiles (Chapter 4), and hydride donors are not strongly affected by solvents.
- Rate constants which were not measured at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ must be adjusted to this temperature. When possible, the rate constants are recalculated by using activation parameters. If activation parameters are not available, estimated values of activation entropies $\Delta S^{\neq}$(Table 7.1, column 7, values in parentheses are estimated) are employed for adjustment of the rate constants to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because of the small values of $\Delta H^{\neq}$for these reactions, the temperature dependence of the rate constants is relatively small, and the errors introduced by the temperature corrections are negligible.

In Table 7.1 the originally published rate constants, specified by temperature and solvent, for the additions of metal- $\pi$-complexes to phosphanes and phosphites are given in column 4 , with Eyring activation parameters in columns 5 and 6. The method, which was used for recalculation of the rate constants to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is shown in column 7. The finally obtained second-order rate constants for reactions at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are listed in column 8 of Table 7.1.

Table 7.1: Rate constants and Eyring activation parameters for the additions of phosphanes and phosphites to metal- $\pi$-complexes, and calculated $E$-Parameters for the metal- $\pi$-complexes.

| Metal- $\pi$-complex | Phosphane or phosphite | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \left(T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\neq} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Method for recalc. ${ }^{\text {b] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1[\mathrm{c}]} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $3.40 \times 10^{5}(20)^{[f]}$ |  |  |  | $3.40 \times 10^{5}$ | -7.47 |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $4.10 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[g]}$ | 21 | -84 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $4.54 \times 10^{4}$ | -8.16 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.60 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[g]}$ | 34 | -50 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.31 \times 10^{4}$ | -8.00 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{g}]}$ | 50 | -33 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.42 \times 10^{2}$ | -7.28 |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3.50 \times 10^{-2}(20)^{[g]}$ |  |  |  | $3.50 \times 10^{-2}$ | -7.43 | -7.63 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-7.78{ }^{[r]}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $1.20 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ | 40 | -68 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.09 | -11.09 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $8.00 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[\mathrm{g]}}$ | 24 | -89 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $7.26 \times 10^{3}$ | -9.41 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.90 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[\mathrm{g}]}$ | 26 | -91 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $2.51 \times 10^{3}$ | -9.10 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.35 \times 10^{1}(20)$ |  |  |  | $1.35 \times 10^{1}$ | -8.75 |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3.60 \times 10^{-3}(20)^{[\mathrm{g}]}$ |  |  |  | $3.60 \times 10^{-3}$ | -8.73 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO}))_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.54 \times 10^{4}(20)$ |  |  |  | $1.54 \times 10^{4}$ | -9.42 | -9.05 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-8.94{ }^{[r]}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.80(20)^{[\mathrm{i]}}$ | 32 | -130 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | 1.97 | -15.06 | -15.06 |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Cp})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $3.10 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ | 20 |  |  | $3.10 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.88 | -11.88 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(6-\mathrm{PhC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.00 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $8.05 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.28 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3.00 \times 10^{-1}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $2.10 \times 10^{-1}$ | -11.33 | -11.30 |

Table 7.1: Continued

| Metal- $\pi$-complex | Phosphane or phosphite | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \left(T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\not} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Method for recalc. ${ }^{[b]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1[\mathrm{c}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{d}]} \\ & (\text { calc.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{e}]} \\ & \text { (aver.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(6-\mathrm{CNC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $3.30 \times 10^{2}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $2.61 \times 10^{2}$ | -10.61 | -10.61 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(6-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $1.30 \times 10^{1}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | 9.72 | -14.58 |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $6.90(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | 5.10 | -14.33 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $2.00(25)^{[h]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | 1.45 | -14.08 | -14.32 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(6-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $2.10(25)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | 1.52 | -15.23 | -15.23 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $4.50 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $3.72 \times 10^{3}$ | -10.32 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $8.80 \times 10^{1}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $6.80 \times 10^{1}$ | -11.51 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $8.50 \times 10^{-1}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $6.07 \times 10^{-1}$ | -10.67 | -10.80 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $2.15 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{g}]}$ | 41 | -60 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $2.22 \times 10^{2}$ | -10.72 |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $4.20 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  |  | $4.20 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.34 | -11.03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $-9.19{ }^{[r]}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $2.00 \times 10^{-2}(20)^{[i]}$ |  |  |  | $2.00 \times 10^{-2}$ | -17.95 | -17.95 |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Cp})\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.50(20)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  |  | 1.50 | -15.23 | -15.23 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.10 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $8.87 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.42 |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $5.90 \times 10^{2}(25)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $4.71 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.26 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $2.10 \times 10^{2}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $1.65 \times 10^{2}$ | -10.92 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO}){ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3.50(25)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | 2.56 | -9.78 | -10.79 |

Table 7.1: Continued

| Metal- $\pi$-complex | Phosphane or phosphite | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \left(T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq}$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\not} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Method for recalc. ${ }^{[b]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1[\mathrm{c}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{d}]} \\ & \text { (calc.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{e}]} \\ & \text { (aver.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO}) 3_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $7.70 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[j]}$ | 31 | -84 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $7.50 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.32 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $2.00 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $1.63 \times 10^{3}$ | -10.84 | -11.08 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.50 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $1.22 \times 10^{3}$ | -11.02 | -11.02 |
| $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $1.46 \times 10^{3}$ | -10.90 | -10.90 |
| $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $7.50 \times 10^{2}(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $6.01 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.46 | -11.46 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $3.20 \times 10^{5}(20)^{[1]}$ | 18 | -80 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $2.51 \times 10^{5}$ | -6.02 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO}){ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $1.55 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $1.23 \times 10^{3}$ | -5.94 | $-5.98$ |
| $\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $8.40 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[1]}$ | 30 | -67 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $8.73 \times 10^{3}$ | -8.27 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $7.00 \times 10^{1}(25)^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $5.28 \times 10^{1}$ | -7.90 | -8.07 |
| $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $1.50 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[1]}$ | 37 | -59 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.29 \times 10^{3}$ | -9.54 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $2.40 \times 10^{1}(25)^{[\mathrm{k]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-60)$ | $1.71 \times 10^{1}$ | -8.60 | -9.04 |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+}$ | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $5.30 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[1]}$ | 21 | -84 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $5.54 \times 10^{4}$ | -5.28 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $7.00 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $5.71 \times 10^{3}$ | -4.99 |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $3.1(25)^{[\mathrm{m}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | 2.22 | -5.06 | $-5.10$ |
| $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $2.10 \times 10^{4}(25)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $1.78 \times 10^{4}$ | -7.79 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.20 \times 10^{2}(25)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-90)$ | $9.32 \times 10^{1}$ | -7.55 | -7.66 |

Table 7.1: Continued

| Metal- $\pi$-complex | Phosphane or phosphite | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \left(T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq} /$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\neq} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Method for recalc. ${ }^{\text {b] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1[\mathrm{cc}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline E^{[\mathrm{d}]} \\ & \text { (calc.) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{ec}]} \\ & \text { (aver.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cp})\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+}}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $2.20 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $1.76 \times 10^{3}$ | -10.79 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $5.00 \times 10^{-1}(25)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $3.46 \times 10^{-1}$ | -11.02 | -10.90 |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $4.10 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[n]}$ | 25 | -71 | $\Delta H^{\ddagger}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $4.20 \times 10^{4}$ | -8.79 |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $8.60 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | 29 | -71 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $8.13 \times 10^{3}$ | -9.33 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $8.90 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ |  |  |  | $8.90 \times 10^{2}$ | -9.79 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO}){ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $8.5(20)^{[\mathrm{h]}}$ | 41 | -88 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | 7.66 | -9.10 | -9.23 |
| $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $7.50 \times 10^{3}(0)^{[\mathrm{n}]}$ | 19 | -100 | $\Delta H^{\ddagger}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.50 \times 10^{4}$ | -9.44 | -9.44 |
| $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $3.90 \times 10^{3}(0)^{[0]}$ | 40 | -31 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.10 \times 10^{4}$ | -9.64 | -9.64 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $4.40 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[\mathrm{m]}}$ | 24 | -73 | $\Delta H^{ \pm}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $4.97 \times 10^{4}$ | -7.10 |  |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $4.00 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[\mathrm{m]}}$ | 25 | -92 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $3.36 \times 10^{3}$ | -7.16 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $5.10 \times 10^{2}(25)^{[0]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $3.98 \times 10^{2}$ | -6.65 | -6.95 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})(\mathrm{NO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.20 \times 10^{5}(25)^{[0]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $1.03 \times 10^{5}$ | -8.23 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $1.70 \times 10^{3}(25)^{[0]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | $1.35 \times 10^{3}$ | -9.51 |  |
|  | $(n \mathrm{BuO}){ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $9.80(25)^{[0]}$ |  |  | $\Delta S^{\neq}=(-80)$ | 7.14 | -9.14 | -8.94 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cp})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.20 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[\mathrm{p]}}$ | 42 | -63 | $\Delta H^{\neq}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.03 \times 10^{2}$ | -11.24 |  |
|  | $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $6.00 \times 10^{-4}(20)^{[\mathrm{pp}]}$ |  |  |  | $6.00 \times 10^{-4}$ | -9.75 | -10.38 |

Table 7.1: Continued

| Metal- $\pi$-complex | Phosphane or phosphite | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \left(T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta H^{\neq} /$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S^{\neq} / \\ & \mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Method for recalc. ${ }^{[b]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1[\mathrm{c}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{d}]} \\ & (\text { calc. }) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E^{[\mathrm{e}]} \\ & \text { (aver.) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cp})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}\right)^{+}}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.40 \times 10^{2}(20)^{[p]}$ |  |  |  | $1.40 \times 10^{2}$ | -12.38 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | 3.80 (20) ${ }^{[\mathrm{p}]}$ |  |  |  | 3.80 | -13.44 | -12.88 |
| $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{Cp})\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)^{+}$ | $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $4.80 \times 10^{4}(20)^{[q]}$ | 14 |  |  | $4.80 \times 10^{4}$ | -8.71 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $1.20 \times 10^{3}(20)^{[q]}$ | 21 | -110 | $\Delta H^{\ddagger}, \Delta S^{\neq}$ | $1.99 \times 10^{3}$ | -9.26 | -8.96 |

${ }^{[a]}$ Actually published rate constants. ${ }^{[b]}$ Method for recalculation. ${ }^{[c]}$ Published rate constants adjusted to $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from published activation parameters or estimated entropies of activation. ${ }^{[d]}$ Calculated by eq. 1.3 using the $k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ values from column 8 , and the $N$, and $s$ values of the phosphanes and phosphites from Chapter $6 .{ }^{[e]} E$ parameters were calculated by minimization of $\Delta^{2}$. ${ }^{[f]}$ From ref. [140]. ${ }^{\text {[g] }}$ From ref. [141]. ${ }^{\text {[h] }}$ From ref. [142]. ${ }^{\text {[i] }}$ From ref. [143]. ${ }^{[j]}$ From ref. [144]. ${ }^{[k]}$ From ref. [145]. ${ }^{[1]}$ From ref. [146]. ${ }^{[m]}$ From ref. [147]. ${ }^{[n]}$ From ref. [148]. ${ }^{[0]}$ From ref. [149]. ${ }^{\text {[p] }}$ From ref. [150]. ${ }^{[q]}$ From ref. [151]. ${ }^{[r]}$ From ref. [26].

### 7.3 Determination of the $\boldsymbol{E}$ parameters of metal- $\pi$-complexes

From the rate constants for the additions of phosphanes and phosphites to metal- $\pi$-complexes adjusted to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 7.1), and the $N$ and $s$ parameters of the phosphorous nucleophiles (see Chapter 6), the individual $E$ parameters of the metal- $\pi$-complexes were calculated by minimizing $\Delta^{2}$ (eq. 3.1) in accord with the methods for extending the basis set, described in Chapter 3. Table 7.1 lists the $E$ parameters of 32 metal- $\pi$-complexes, derived by the procedure described above. In cases where $E$ parameters can be derived from reactions with reference nucleophiles, these data are also given in Table 7.1


Figure 7.1: Plot of $(\log k) / s$ versus $N$ for the reactions of metal- $\pi$-complexes with phosphanes and phosphites.

Figure 7.1 shows that the correlations for the reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with metal- $\pi$-complexes are of lower quality than the corresponding correlations for the reactions of P-nucleophiles with benzhydrylium ions. We do not think that this is due to the use of
different solvents or to the errors introduced by correcting for the different reaction temperatures. Since both influences are relatively small, it is assumed that the deviations are due to the different environments of the centers of electrophilicity in benzhydrylium ions and some of the metal- $\pi$-complexes.

The electrophilicities of the metal- $\pi$-complexes are in the range of $-18<E<-5$ as indicated by Figure 7.2 and are comparable with the electrophilicities of donor-substituted benzhydryl cations and stabilized quinone methides.


Figure 7.2: Comparison of the electrophilicities $E$ of metal- $\pi$-complexes, quinone methides and benzhydryl cations.

Since the tertiary phosphanes and phosphites used for the derivation of the $E$ parameters have closely similar $s$ values (according to eq. 1.3), it is not surprising that the reactivity order expressed by $E$ in Figure 7.2 is almost the same as that derived by Kane-Maguire, Sweigart, and coworkers using Ritchie's constant selectivity approach. The main advantage of defining the reactivity parameter $E$ for these compounds is the fact that it can be combined with the nucleophilicity parameters $N$ and $s$ for predicting their potential for combinations with nucleophiles.

### 7.4 Checking the reliability of the metal- $\pi$-complexes $E$ parameters

A shown in Table 7.1, the electrophilicities of the three metal- $\pi$-complexes $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{+}$, $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$have previously been characterized by kinetic measurements with reference nucleophiles (electron-rich $\pi$-systems). ${ }^{[26]}$

The comparison in Table 7.2 shows that both approaches give almost identical $E$ parameters for the cyclohexadienylium complexes (the first two entries). In the third case the deviation is much bigger. Possibly steric effects in the cycloheptadienylium complexes are responsible for the finding that it appears to be less electrophilic toward phosphanes than toward the less bulky $\pi$-nucleophiles.

Table 7.2: Comparison of the $E$ parameters of metal- $\pi$-complexes, calculated from the rates of the reactions with tertiary phosphorous compounds and reference nucleophiles.

| Metal-complex | $E$ from ref. [26] | $E$ calc. from Table 7.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+}$ | -7.78 | $-7.63 \pm 0.35$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+}$ | -8.94 | $-9.05 \pm 0.30$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{+}$ | -9.19 | $-11.03 \pm 0.31$ |

Table 7.3 compares the rates for the reactions of metal- $\pi$-complexes with different nucleophiles. The observed rate constants were either measured previously in this group, or taken from literature (see footnotes in Table 7.3).

Table 7.3: Comparison of observed $k_{2}$ (obs.) and calculated $k_{2}$ (calc.) rate constants for the reactions of metal- $\pi$-complexes with other types of nucleophiles in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Nucleophile | $N$ | $s$ | metal- $\pi$-complex | E | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\hline k_{2} \text { (obs.) }\right) \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k_{2}(\text { calc. }) / \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $k_{\text {obs }} / k_{\text {calc }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $11.40^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $0.83{ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.78 \\ & -8.94 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.24 \times 10^{1[a]} \\ & 9.42^{[a]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.01 \times 10^{3} \\ & 1.10 \times 10^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.072 \\ & 0.086 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $13.36{ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $0.81{ }^{[\mathrm{b]}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cp})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7.78 \\ & -8.94 \\ & -12.88 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.72 \times 10^{2} \text { [a] } \\ & 9.09 \times 10^{1[a]} \\ & 4.86^{[c]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.31 \times 10^{4} \\ & 3.80 \times 10^{3} \\ & 2.45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.029 \\ & 0.024 \\ & 1.98 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $13.41{ }^{\text {[d] }}$ | $0.82{ }^{[d]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | -7.78 -8.94 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \times 10^{3} \text { [a] } \\ & 2.88 \times 10^{2} \text { [a] } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.14 \times 10^{4} \\ & 4.63 \times 10^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.055 \\ & 0.062 \end{aligned}$ |
|  <br> 23 | $15.06{ }^{[d]}$ | $0.82{ }^{[d]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | -7.78 -8.94 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.41 \times 10^{3[a]} \\ & 2.04 \times 10^{3 \text { [a] }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.32 \times 10^{5} \\ & 7.24 \times 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.008 \\ & 0.003 \end{aligned}$ |

Table 7.3: Continued

| Nucleophile | $N$ | $s$ | metal- $\pi$-complex | E | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k_{2}(\mathrm{obs} .) / \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline k_{2}(\text { calc. }) / \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $k_{\text {obs }} / k_{\text {calc }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $9.00^{[b]}$ | $0.98{ }^{[b]}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{Cp})(\mathrm{CO})_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHMe}\right)^{+}$ | -12.88 | $5.20 \times 10^{-2}$ [c] | $1.58 \times 10^{-4}$ | 329 |
| $\left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}\right]_{69} \end{array}\right.$ | $16.96{ }^{\text {[e] }}$ | $0.67{ }^{\text {[e] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(2-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | -7.78 -8.94 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.96 \times 10^{5[\mathrm{f}]} \\ & 9.15 \times 10^{4[\mathrm{~g}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.41 \times 10^{6} \\ & 2.36 \times 10^{5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.28 \\ & 0.39 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $13.28{ }^{\text {[h] }}$ | $(0.64)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | -7.78 -9.19 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.96 \times 10^{3[\mathrm{i}]} \\ & 2.56 \times 10^{2[\mathrm{j}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.31 \times 10^{3} \\ & 4.15 \times 10^{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 0.62 \end{aligned}$ |
|  <br> pMeP | $14.01{ }^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | $(0.64)^{[\mathrm{h}]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(6-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathrm{NO})\left(6-\mathrm{PhC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -14.32 \\ & -11.30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.56 \times 10^{1[\mathrm{k}]} \\ & 1.93 \times 10^{1[1]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \times 10^{-1} \\ & 5.43 \times 10^{1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 129 \\ & 0.36 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $4.63{ }^{\text {[d] }}$ | $(1.00)^{[d]}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)^{+} \\ & \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.50 \times 10^{-1[\mathrm{~m}]} \\ & 4.93 \times 10^{-1[\mathrm{n}]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.08 \times 10^{-4} \\ & 3.39 \times 10^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 353 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $5.85{ }^{[\text {b] }}$ | $1.03{ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)^{+}$ | -5.10 | $9.14{ }^{[0]}$ | 5.92 | 1.5 |

Table 7.3: Continued

| Nucleophile | $N$ | $S$ | metal- $\pi$-complex | $E$ | $k_{2}(\mathrm{obs}) /$. <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $k_{2}(\mathrm{calc}) /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $k_{\text {obs }} / k_{\text {calc }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{[a]}$ Solvent: acetone, from ref. [152]. ${ }^{[b]}$ From ref. [26]. ${ }^{[c]}$ From ref. [153]. ${ }^{[d]}$ See Chapter 4. ${ }^{[d]}$ From ref. [88]. ${ }^{[f]}$ Calc. from ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[g]}$ Calc. from ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[\mathrm{h]}]}$ From Chapter 8. ${ }^{[\mathrm{[i]}}$ Calc. from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=37 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-45 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[\mathrm{jl}}$ From ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=26 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-110 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[\mathrm{k}]}$ From ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ 。 ${ }^{[1]}$ From ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ 。 ${ }^{[\mathrm{m}]}$ From ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} .{ }^{[\mathrm{nn}]}$ From ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=47 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-96 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[0]}$ From ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[\mathrm{pp}]}$ From ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=$ $43.5 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-110 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[q]}$ From ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=46 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-120 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[r]}$ From ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[5]}$ From ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=56 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-99 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$. ${ }^{[\text {t] }}$ From ref. [12] with estimated $\Delta S^{\neq}=-100 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ $K^{-1} .{ }^{[1]}$ From ref. [12].

Table 7.3 shows a standard deviation of factor 25.7 between observed rate constants and those calculated by the three-parameter equation 1.3. This is quite remarkable in view of the fact that electrophiles of this compilation have been calibrated with respect to phosphanes while the different nucleophiles in this compilation have been calibrated with respect to benzhydrylium ions.

Though it is found that enamines always react more slowly with metal- $\pi$-complexes than predicted by eq. 1.3, the deviations between calculated and observed rate constants are in both directions. Since they never exceed $10^{3}$ in a theoretical reactivity range of more than $10^{30}$, one can conclude that the $E$ parameters derived in this work are useful for predicting the synthetic potential of metal- $\pi$-complexes.

## 8. Nucleophilic reactivities of pyridine and its derivatives

### 8.1 Introduction

The preparation of esters and amides by the reaction of acid chlorides, and -anhydrides with amines and alcohols has been known since the beginning of the 19th century. Einhorn described the advantages of the presence of pyridine in reactions of acid chlorides with hydroxy-compounds. ${ }^{[154]}$ The acetylation of hydroxylic compounds under mild conditions is often achieved with a mixture of acetic anhydride and pyridine. In 1901 Verley and Bölsing ${ }^{[155]}$ developed a procedure for the quantitative analysis of terpene alcohols in etheric oils, which was later used with success in the chemistry of carbohydrates by Emil Fischer and Bergmann. ${ }^{[156]}$ This method often failed in the acetylation of sterically hindered alcohols. Therefore new catalysts, based on the pyridine system, were searched. In 1967, Litvinenko and Kirichenko ${ }^{[157]}$ discovered that the benzoylation of $m$-chloroaniline occurs $10^{4}$ times faster when using (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) instead of pyridine. In 1969 Steglich and Höfle ${ }^{[158]}$ reported on the high catalytic efficiency of (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) and (4-pyrrolidino) pyridine ( pPyrP ) as acylation catalysts.

Today, (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) is frequently used as a catalyst in many chemical reactions ${ }^{[159]}$ and is commonly called "Steglich-catalyst". ${ }^{[160]}$

The base catalyzed intramolecular acyl shift in 5-acyloxyoxazoles (71) has been studied to compare the catalytic activities of bases (Scheme 8.1). ${ }^{[161]}$ This rearrangement occurs via the formation of the ion pair 72.


Scheme 8.1: Base catalyzed intramolecular acyl shift from 5-acyloxyoxazole (71) to oxazolone (73).

A linear correlation between the logarithmic rate constants of these base catalyzed acyl shifts and $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the bases was reported for para-substituted pyridine derivatives. ${ }^{[162]}$ This relationship indicates that the catalytic activity increases with the basicity of the catalyst. As described in Chapter 4 and 6 linear correlations of the nucleophilicities $N$ with the basicities are found for $\pi$-nucleophiles (e. g. enamines) as well for n-nucleophiles (e. g. tertiary phosphanes and phosphites). We, therefore, expect that the nucleophilicities of substituted pyridines also correlate with their basicities.

For a long time (4-pyrrolidino)pyridine ( pPyrP ) was the catalyst with highest activity in acylation reactions. The lower electrophilicities of $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(E=-10.04)$ and $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(E=-$ 9.45), compared with the pyrrolidino substituted benzhydrylium ion (pyr) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(E=-7.69)$ suggested, however, that pyridines annelated by analogous nitrogen heterocycles might be even more basic and nucleophilic than (4-pyrrolidino)pyridine ( pPyrP ).

### 8.2 New pyridine derivatives

The nucleophilic reactivities of the 10 pyridine derivatives, shown in Scheme 8.2, most of which are commercially available, have been investigated.

pCIP

pHP

pMeP


H-THNaph

pMeOP

pAP

Me-THNaph




Py-THNaph

Scheme 8.2: Structures and abbreviations of para-substituted pyridine derivatives.
M. Heinrich ${ }^{[163]}$ obtained 5,6,9,10-tetrahydro-4H,8H-pyrido[3,2,1-ij]naphthyridine (PyTHNaph) from 4-aminopyridine (pAP), by a modified literature procedure ${ }^{[164]}$ (Scheme 8.3).



Scheme 8.3: Synthesis of Py-THNaph.
H. Klisa synthesized the pyridine derivatives H-THNaph and Me-THNaph ${ }^{[165]}$ (Scheme 8.4). Starting with Boc-protected 4 -aminopyridine (74), the 6 -membered ring was built up by ortho-lithiation (75) and reaction with 1-chloro-3-iodopropane. Removal of the protecting group in 76 by TFA led to compound H-THNaph. Reduction of 76 yielded Me-THNaph (Scheme 8.4).


Scheme 8.4: Synthesis of the pyridine derivatives H-THNaph and Me-THNaph.

### 8.3 Determination of the nucleophilicities of pyridine derivatives

For determining the nucleophilicities of pyridine derivatives, the rates of their additions to benzhydryl cations (reference electrophiles, see Chapter 3) were measured. The reactions of pyridine derivatives with benzhydryl tetrafluoroborates $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$produce pyridinium tetrafluoroborates (Scheme 8.5).


Scheme 8.5: Addition of benzhydryl salts to para-substituted pyridine derivatives.

Products 77-79 of the reactions of $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$, $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with (4dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy (see Table 8.1). Combinations of highly stabilized benzhydryl cations with pyridine derivatives of low basicity were reversible, which prevented the isolation of the reaction products.

Table 8.1: Yields and chemical shifts $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$ of pyridinium tetrafluoroborates 77-79 obtained from DMAP and $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(see Scheme 8.5).

| $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | Product | $\left(4-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH} \mathrm{Ar}_{2}\right)^{+}$ |  | $\left(4-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CHAr}_{2}\right)^{+}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\delta$ ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ) | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\right)$ | $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\right)$ | $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\right)$ |
| $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 77 (58\%) | 6.46 | 74.3 | 3.15 | 39.3 |
| $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 78 (68\%) | 6.29 | 73.7 | 2.96-3.16 ${ }^{[\mathrm{a]}}$ | 39.3 |
| $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | 79 (72\%) | $6.57{ }^{[b]}$ | $73.9{ }^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $3.18{ }^{\text {[b] }}$ | $40.0{ }^{\text {[b] }}$ |

${ }^{[a]}$ Signal is covered by signals of the benzhydryl substituents. ${ }^{[b]}$ Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR shifts in Table 8.1 do not correlate with the electrophilicities of the benzhydrylium ions.

The rates of the reactions of benzhydryl cations $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with the pyridines were followed by UV-Vis spectroscopy in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Details for the procedures are explained in Chapter 2. The second-order rate constants are listed in Table 8.2. In the case of reversible reactions,
equilibrium constants were calculated directly from the benzhydrylium absorptions before and after addition of the pyridines (see Chapter 2).

Because of reversible reactions with small degrees of conversion, it was impossible to derive rate constants for the combinations of weak benzhydryl cations, e. g. (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$or $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, with pyridine derivatives containing no or weak donor groups (e. g. $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{MeO}$ ). More electrophilic benzhydryl cations reacted to a higher degree of conversion, but at the same time, the reactions became too fast for kinetic observations. A compromise between a high degree of conversion and sufficiently low reaction rates was needed.

Table 8.2: Second order rate constants $k_{2}$, equilibrium constants $K$, and intrinsic barriers $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq}$ for the additions of pyridine derivatives to benzhydryl cations in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

|  | Pyridine derivative | $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | $E^{\text {[a] }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \\ & \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ & / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta G_{0}^{\neq[\mathrm{b}]} / \\ \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & (s=0.64)^{[c]} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | pClP | $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -3.14 | $5.15 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  | 12.06 |
| 2 | pHP | (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.72 | $3.03 \times 10^{5}$ | $2.23 \times 10^{4}$ | 52.49 | 13.28 |
| 3 | pMeP | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.72 | $8.81 \times 10^{5}$ | $5.07 \times 10^{5}$ | 53.19 | 14.01 |
| 4 | pMeOP | $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -4.72 | $8.98 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  | 14.02 |
| 5 | pAP | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $3.02 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  | 15.58 |
| 6 | DMAP | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $6.45 \times 10^{3[d]}$ | $5.70 \times 10^{3}$ | 60.47 |  |
| 7 |  | (jul) $2 \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -9.45 |  | $5.85 \times 10^{3}$ | 57.80 |  |
| 8 |  | (ind) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.76 | $4.89 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.71 \times 10^{5}$ | 59.23 |  |
| 9 |  | (thq) $2 \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $1.41 \times 10^{5}$ | $2.81 \times 10^{5}$ | 57.14 |  |
| 10 |  | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $6.56 \times 10^{5}$ | $4.31 \times 10^{7}$ | 58.55 |  |
| 11 |  | $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -5.89 | $2.83 \times 10^{6}$ |  |  | 16.14 |
| 12 | pPyrP | $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -7.02 | $9.27 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  | 16.34 |
| 13 | H-THNaph | (iil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $4.97 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  | 15.82 |
| 14 | Me-THNaph | $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -10.04 | $4.63 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  | 15.77 |
| 15 | Py-THNaph | (thq) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ | -8.22 | $2.45 \times 10^{5}$ |  |  | 16.64 |

[^3]In the case of DMAP, the rates for the reactions with several benzhydrylium ions have been determined. When the rate constants $(\log k)$ are plotted against the $E$ parameters of the benzhydrylium ions, a linear correlation is obtained, from which $s$ and $N$ according to eq. 1.3 can be determined (Figure 8.1).

Estimated values of $s$ are used to derive $N$ parameters for the other pyridine derivatives, which have only been studied with respect to a single benzhydryl cation (see Table 8.2).


Figure 8.1: Correlation of the rate constants $\log k$ for the reactions of (4dimethylamino) pyridine (DMAP) with benzhydryl cations in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Figure 8.2 shows that the nucleophilicities of the pyridine derivatives cover a range from $N \approx$ 12 for the chloro-substituted compound pClP to $N \approx 17$ for Py-THNaph. Pyridines, which contain donor groups as para-substituents, show higher reactivity than the other compounds. pPyrP is 1.4 times more reactive than DMAP, while the bicyclic pyridine derivatives H THNaph and Me-THNaph are about 0.8 times as reactive than DMAP. The tricyclic compound Py-THNaph is the strongest nucleophile in the pyridine series (1.7 times more reactive than DMAP).

The relative electron donating effect of the substituents which reduces the electrophilicity of benzhydrylium ions in the series $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}>(\mathrm{pyr})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}>(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$is also found in the pyridine series. In contrast, annelation of a tetrahydroquinoline ring, which has a strong effect in the benzhydrylium series, increases the nucleophilicity of pyridine less than a pdimethylamino group.


Figure 8.2: Nucleophilicity scale of para-substituted pyridine derivatives $(s=0.64)$.

Remarkably, the methoxy group does not increase nucleophilicity much more than the methyl group (Table 8.2, entries 3 and 4).

### 8.4 Rate-equilibrium relationships

Combinations of highly stabilized benzhydryl cations and non or weakly donor-substituted pyridine derivatives do not give adducts quantitatively. This situation allowed the determination of equilibrium constants for some of these combinations (see Table 8.2). Entries 6 and 7, or 8 and 9 in Table 8.2 indicate, that the equilibrium constants $K$ for the additions of $(\mathrm{lil})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, or (ind) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$and $(\text {thq })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$to DMAP are nearly the same, despite different reactivities of the employed benzhydryl cations. In order to verify this observation and to exclude that errors are made during the determination of the equilibrium constants, another spectroscopic method was employed. Equal amounts of (ili) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$, and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) were mixed in a nmr tube $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)$. Comparing the integral heights of the methine-protons (chemical shifts are listed in Table 8.1) of both adducts $\mathbf{7 7}$ and $\mathbf{7 8}$ proved a product ratio of 53:47 \% for the employed benzhydrylium ions ( lil$)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$(Scheme 8.6).


Scheme 8.6: NMR-experiment to determine the relative DMAP affinity of $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$and $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

Figure 8.3 illustrates a plot of $\log k$ versus $\log K$ for combinations of benzhydrylium ions with substituted pyridines in methylene chloride at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The quality of the correlation is poor.

Figure 8.3 shows that pyridine ( pHP ) and the weakly donor substituted 4-methylpyridine ( pMeP ) react faster than expected from their equilibrium constants. DMAP reacts more slowly than expected from the observed equilibrium constants.


Figure 8.3: Plot of $\log k$ versus $\log K$ for the reactions of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with pyridines $(\mathrm{n}=2, \log$ $k=0.314 \times \log K+3.996)$, and DMAP with $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, \log k=0.467 \times \log K+2.337, \mathrm{r}^{2}\right.$ $=0.9166)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Two correlation lines are drawn in Figure 8.3. For the upper line, the pyridine derivative is altered, while for the lower line the benzhydrylium ion is altered. Both correlation lines have different slopes, indicating that the intrinsic barrier changes when altering the nucleophile or altering the electrophile. From the intercept on ordinate $\left(\Delta G^{0}=0 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ the intrinsic barrier can be calculated. For the reactions of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$with pyridines $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq} \approx 49 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and for the reactions of DMAP with benzhydryl cations $\Delta G_{0}^{\neq} \approx 59 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ is found. Both values are in good accordance with the intrinsic barriers, calculated for the individual electrophilenucleophile combinations by the Marcus equation 4.1 in Table 8.2 (column 7). For less donorsubstituted pyridine derivatives the intrinsic barrier is reduced dramatically $\left(\approx 9 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$.

The change of the intrinsic barrier accounts for the observation, that it was difficult to measure rate constants for the reactions of pyridine derivatives of low nucleophilicity. For these compounds the reactions were thermodynamically very unfavorable. When switching to more reactive benzhydryl cations, the reactions became thermodynamically more favorable,
but the reaction rates became too high to be measured with our methods. Because of this behaviour, we did not succeed to determine rate constants for combinations of less donor substituted pyridine derivatives with more than one benzhydrylium ion.

### 8.5 Comparison of the nucleophilicities of pyridine derivatives with other properties

The moderate quality of the correlation between the $N$ parameters of the pyridines and Hammett's $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$-parameters (Figure 8.4) can be explained by the fact that the nucleophilic site is the lone pair of the pyridine nitrogen which is perpendicular to the $\pi$-system. For that reason, the substituent constant $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}$ which describes a combination of mesomeric and inductive effects fails to give a good correlation with $N$. This is particularly seen in the comparison of methyl and methoxy. Both pyridines, pMeP and pMeOP , are nucleophiles of comparable nucleophilicity, because the +M effect of the p-methoxy group, which is responsible for its more negative $\sigma_{p}$-value cannot operate in the perpendicular lone pair at nitrogen.


Figure 8.4: Correlation of $N$ with $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}^{[30]}$ for para-substituted pyridine derivatives ( $\mathrm{n}=6, N=$ $\left.4.484 \times \sigma_{p}+1.314 \times 10^{1}, r^{2}=0.9756\right)$.

The basicities of para-substituted pyridine derivatives correlate linearly with their nucleophilicities (Figure 8.5), similar to the situation found for other classes of n-nucleophiles (e. g. phosphanes and phosphites, see Chapter 6). Similar correlations of $N$ with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}$, determined in acetonitrile ${ }^{[166]}$ and THF $^{[166]}$ are found, but not added to Figure 8.5 because there exists only few values.


Figure 8.5: Correlation of $N$ with $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}^{[167]}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ for para-substituted pyridine derivatives ( $\mathrm{n}=$ $\left.4, N=0.741 \times \mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}+9.144, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9931\right)$.

### 8.6 Reactions of pyridines with other electrophiles

It has been demonstrated that eq. 1.3 can be used for predicting rate constants for the reactions of pyridine derivatives with benzhydrylium ions (see Chapter 8.3). In order to examine the applicability of the nucleophilicity parameters $N$ determined in this work for reactions with other electrophiles, we have compared them with kinetic data from the literature.

The quaternization of pyridine and its derivatives was first reported by Menschutkin ${ }^{[168]}$ in the $N$-methylation of substituted pyridine derivatives ${ }^{[169]}$ (Scheme 8.7).


Scheme 8.7: Quaternization of pyridine derivatives in the Menschutkin reaction. ${ }^{[170]}$

Schaper determined the rates of the methylations of various ortho-, meta-, and parasubstituted pyridine derivatives with methyl iodide ${ }^{[171]}$ and developed quantitative structurereactivity relationships (multiparameter equations). For para-substituted pyridine derivatives there exists a linear relationship (Figure 8.6) between the logarithmic rate constants for the reactions in nitromethane at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and their nucleophicities $N$, despite different mechanisms (addition to benzhydryl cations but substitution of iodide in methyl iodide).


Figure 8.6: Correlation of the logarithmic rate constants of the reactions of methyl iodide with para-substituted pyridines versus their $N$ parameters ( $\mathrm{n}=4, \log k_{\mathrm{rel}}=0.629 \times N-8.330$, $r^{2}=0.9881$ ).

Arnett ${ }^{[121 b]}$ investigated the mechanism of quaternization of a series of pyridines (mostly 3-, and 4 -substituted) with several methylating and ethylating reagents in several solvents. The reactions with methyl iodide in acetonitrile were reversible, so that the effect of substituents on free energy, enthalpy, and entropy of activation for the forward and reverse reactions and on the equilibrium constants could be determined. The relationship between thermodynamic and activation parameters was examined, and a gross disparity is found between free energy and enthalpy behaviour compared with that of the entropies. It was concluded that the reactions proceed via a loose transition state, i. e. that the formation of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is not far advanced, while the bond rupture between the transferring alkyl group and the leaving group has proceeded to a large extend.

### 8.7 Catalytic activities of pyridine derivatives in acylation reactions

Pyridine derivatives are good catalysts in base catalyzed reactions, as described at the beginning of this chapter. Litvinenko and Kirichenko ${ }^{[157]}$ discovered that the benzoylation of 3-chloroaniline occurs $10^{4}$ times faster when using (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) instead of pyridine ( pHP ). The high catalytic activity of DMAP is only slightly exceeded by (4-pyrrolidino)pyridine ( pPyrP ) which is more effective by a factor of 2.3 , in the acetylation of 1-ethynylcyclohexanol with acetic anhydride. ${ }^{[162]}$ M. Heinrich used the same model reaction to determine a 6 times higher catalytic activity of 5,6,9,10-tetrahydro- $4 \mathrm{H}, 8 \mathrm{H}$ -pyrido[3,2,1-ij]naphthyridine (Py-THNaph) than (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) by NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{[163]}$

### 8.8 Determination of the catalytic activities

The catalytic activities of para-substituted pyridine derivatives in the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride were investigated in methylene chloride at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 8.8). The reactions were carried out with 1 equivalent of acetic anhydride, 1.1 equivalents of cyclohexanol, 1.1 equivalents of triethylamine, and 0.1 equivalents of the pyridine catalyst (for exceptions see Table 8.3). These molar ratios correspond to normal synthetic conditions.


Scheme 8.8: Base catalyzed acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride.

The reactions were followed by online IR-spectroscopy (Figure 8.7) using a ReactIR ${ }^{\text {TM }} 1000$ (ASI Applied Systems, Inc., 8223 Cloverleaf Drive, Suite 120, Millersville, MD 21108, U.S.A.). Reactions were monitored using a $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ DiComp probe fitted to an HCT detector, which was inserted into the reaction solution. Acquisitions were recorded on a IBM compatible personal computer using ReactIR ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ software (V 2.2). Measurements were made in two-necked glass bottles under exclusion of moisture in dry methylene chloride. The temperature was maintained constant within $\pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by using a circulating bath cryostat.


Figure 8.7: IR-spectra of the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The second-order rate constants $k_{2}$ were obtained from the gradients of the linear plots of the right part of eq. 8.1 versus $t$ (second-order rate law). Equation 8.1 contains the acetic anhydride concentration $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}$ as the only time-dependent variable.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2} t=\frac{1}{\Delta} \ln \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}\left(\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{t}+\Delta\right)}{\left(\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}+\Delta\right)\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{t}} \quad \text { with: } \Delta=[c \mathrm{HexOH}]_{0}-\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rates of the acetylations were determined by following the decrease of the absorption band of acetic anhydride at $1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. This band was selected because it is very strong and
not covered by other signals (Figure 8.7). The evaluation of other bands produces nearly the same results, as tested in some cases.

In order to study the mechanism, the acetylations of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride (Scheme 8.8) in the presence of DMAP, were performed with different concentrations of the components (Table 8.3).

Table 8.3: Concentrations and rate constants for the reactions of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $c \mathrm{HexOH}$ in presence of DMAP and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Scheme 8.8) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~V}=1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

| No. | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{0} /\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{HexOH}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{DMAP}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0 | $[\mathrm{a}]$ | $[\mathrm{a}]$ |
| 2 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.447 \times 10^{-3}$ | 83 | $4.258 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 3 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 89 | $6.921 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 4 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.585 \times 10^{-2}$ | 91 | $1.360 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 5 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.293 \times 10^{-2}$ | 92 | $3.380 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 6 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.441 | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 83 | $6.873 \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{~b}]}$ |
| 7 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | 2.162 | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 89 | $6.850 \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{~b}]}$ |
| 8 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | 2.883 | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 85 | $6.937 \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{~b}]}$ |
| 9 | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0 | $5.293 \times 10^{-2}$ | $[\mathrm{c}]$ | $[\mathrm{c}]$ |

[^4]No reaction is observed within 3 h , when combining acetic anhydride, triethylamine, and cyclohexanol without DMAP (Table 8.3, entry 1). Varying the concentration of the catalyst in the range of 2-11\% (Table 8.3, entries 2-5) indicates that the reaction is first-order in the concentration of the catalyst, as shown by the linear plot of $k_{2}$ versus [DMAP] in Figure 8.8.


Figure 8.8: Plot of $k_{2}$ versus [DMAP] for the reactions of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(c=4.772 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ with $c \mathrm{HexOH}\left(c=5.045 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\left(c=5.350 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in presence of variable concentrations of DMAP $\left(\mathrm{n}=4, k=\left(6.350 \times 10^{-1}\right) \times[\mathrm{DMAP}]\right.$ $\left.\left(1.500 \times 10^{-3}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9915\right)$.

Increasing the concentration of cyclohexanol over the concentration of acetic anhydride (3-6 equivalents), changes the reaction from second-order to pseudo-first-order conditions (Table 8.3, entries 6-8). The plots of $\ln \left(\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}-\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\text {end }} /\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\mathrm{t}}-\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\text {end }}\right)$ versus $t$ give straight lines with slopes equal the pseudo-first-order rate constants $k_{1 \Psi}$. In the case of low concentrations of cyclohexanol (Table 8.3, entry 6) the initial slope (until $20 \%$ conversion) was used for obtaining $k_{1 \Psi}$.


Figure 8.9: Plot of $k_{1 \Psi}$ versus $[c \mathrm{HexOH}]$ for the reactions of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(c=4.772 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ with variable concentrations of $c \mathrm{HexOH}$ in the presence of DMAP $\left(c=1.255 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(c=5.350 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{n}=3, k=\left(7.006 \times 10^{-3}\right) \times[c \mathrm{HexOH}]\right.$ $\left.-\left(2.453 \times 10^{-4}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9997\right)$.

A comparison of the second-order rate constants $k_{2}$, determined under pseudo-first-order conditions (Table 8.3, entries 6-8) with the rate constant $k_{2}$, which was determined under second-order conditions (Table 8.3, entry 3), indicates, that they are similar.

According to the course of the reaction, shown in Scheme 8.8, at least one equivalent of a strong base is necessary, which must be more basic than the catalyst. The use of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=\right.$ 10.65) prevents protonation DMAP ( $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}=9.70$ ) by acetic acid, which is formed during the reaction. The acetylation of cyclohexanol without $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ is very slow and does not proceed with total conversion of the reactants (Table 8.3, entry 9).

It can be concluded, that the base catalyzed acetylations of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride, in the presence of DMAP, are first-order in the concentration of the catalyst (Figure 8.8) and first-order in the concentration of the alcohol (Figure 8.9). Figure 8.8 shows a plot of $k_{2}$ versus [DMAP]. The slope of this correlation line $\left(6.350 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$
corresponds to the DMAP-concentration independent rate constant, and may be considered as a third-order rate constant.

The linear dependence of the reaction rates on the concentrations of cyclohexanol indicates, that the alcohol must be involved in the rate determinig step of the reaction. The following mechanism in Scheme 8.9 is in accord with the proposals in ref. [172].


Scheme 8.9: Proposed mechanism for the base catalyzed acetylation of cyclohexanol.

The reaction starts with a fast attack of the catalyst at acetic anhydride, yielding N -acetyl pyridinium acetate. In a subsequent, slower reaction, the acetylpyridinium ion reacts with cyclohexanol and forms cyclohexyl acetate and triethylammonium acetate. Higher concentrations of the catalyst result in the formation of higher concentrations of the reactive intermediate, which increase the rate of the reaction.

### 8.9 Comparison of the catalytic activities of pyridine derivatives

The base-catalyzed acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride (Scheme 8.8) was used to determine the catalytic activities of several pyridines. All reactions were performed according to the procedure, described in Chapter 8.8. Table 8.4 compares the rates, of the acetylations with the rates for the additions to benzhydryl cations.

Table 8.4: Rate constants $k_{2}$ for the base-catalyzed acetylations of $c \mathrm{HexOH}$ with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, relative rate constants $k_{2}$ (rel.), and relative rate constants for the reactions with (dpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$.

| Catalyst | $\begin{aligned} & \hline N \\ & (\mathrm{~s}=0.64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & k_{2} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\ & \text { acetylation }^{[\mathrm{a}]} \end{aligned}$ | $k_{2}$ (rel.) acetylation | $\begin{aligned} & \left.\hline k_{2} \text { (rel. }\right)^{[b]} \text { with } \\ & (\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pHP | 13.28 | $8.816 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1 | 1 |
| pMeP | 14.01 | $1.306 \times 10^{-5}$ | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| pAP | 15.58 | $1.055 \times 10^{-4}$ | 12.0 | 29.6 |
| DMAP | 16.14 | $3.380 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3833.9 | 67.2 |
| pPyrP | 16.34 | $4.554 \times 10^{-2}$ | 5165.6 | 90.8 |
| Py-THNaph | 16.64 |  | $(\approx 22000)^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ | 140.4 |

${ }^{[a]}$ With concentrations: $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=4.772 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1},[c \mathrm{HexOH}]=5.045 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, $\left[E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]=5.350 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$, [catalyst $]=5.290 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}(11 \%)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\text {. }}{ }^{[b]}$ Rates which were not measured with this cation are calculated from $E, N$, and $s$ by eq. 1.3. ${ }^{[\mathrm{cc}]}$ Calculated from ref. [163].

The relative rate constants $k_{2}$ (rel.) for the base catalyzed acetylations of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride indicate that DMAP, as catalyst, is $10^{3}$ times more reactive than pyridine, while its catalytic activity is only slightly (about 1.3 times) exceeded by pPyrP, according to the results of Steglich. ${ }^{[162]}$ M. Heinrich showed that the new tricyclic pyridine derivative PyTNNaph has a $5.8^{[163]}$ times higher catalytic activity than DMAP. 4-aminopyridine (pAP) increases the rates of acetylations only slightly, compared with pyridine ( pHP ), in agreement with observations of Hassner. ${ }^{[173]}$

The relative rate constants $k_{\text {obs }}$ (rel.) for the additions of pyridine derivatives to (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+}$ indicate that the reactivity order is the same as found for the base catalyzed acetylations. For weakly donor substituted compounds ( $\mathrm{pHP}, \mathrm{pMeP}, \mathrm{pAP}$ ), the increase of the rates is higher for the additions to benzhydryl cations than for the catalyzed acetylations. Vice versa, for pyridines with good donors (DMAP, pPyrP, Py-THNaph) the rates of acetylations increase much more than the rates of the additions to benzhydryl cations (Table 8.4).

Table 8.4 shows, that the catalytic activities of pyridines is related to their nucleophilicities, but this relation is not simple and therefore it seems to be problematic to use $N$ for predicting the catalytic efficiencies.

## 9. Conclusion and outlook

The introduction of reference compounds as described in Chapter 3 proved to be very useful for the determination of nucleophilicity and electrophilicity parameters as defined by eq. 1.3

This was shown by adding various new classes of nucleophiles, like enamines (Chapter 4), phosphanes and phosphites (Chapter 6), and pyridines (Chapter 8) as well as by adding new electrophiles (Chapter 5).

Chapter 7 exemplifies that these data can be used for linking kinetic data for electrophile nucleophile combinations from the literature with our reactivity scales. It was shown that the $E$ parameters of 32 metal- $\pi$-complexes obtained by correlation can be used for predicting their reactivities with other nucleophiles. One can, therefore expect a rapid growth of our reactivity scales.

Besides further extension of the data set by new compounds, it now becomes essential to search for the physical basis of the reactivity parameters $E$ and $N$. Quantum chemical calculations as well as correlations with other kinetic and thermodynamic data may contribute to this understanding.

The reversible additions of pyridines (Chapter 8) and of tertiary triarylphosphanes (Chapter 6) to benzhydryl cations allows us to determine intrinsic rate constants for these reactions which are a key to the understanding of organic reactivity. They furthermore provide rate constants for the reverse reactions which can be employed for a systematic evaluation of the nucleofugality of leaving groups.

Since the rates of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ type reactions depend on the nucleophilicity of the entering group as well as on the nucleofugality of the leaving group, it now appears possible also to arrive at a new systematic treatment of bimolecular nucleophilic substitutions.

## 10. Experimental part

### 10.1 General conditions

Analytical data: NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 200 ( 200 MHz ), Bruker ARX 300 ( 300 MHz ) or Varian VXR $400 \mathrm{~S}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$. Chemical shifts are reported as $\delta$ values in ppm relative to tetramethylsilane ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 0.00, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 0.0$ ) or relative to the deuterated solvent peak: ${ }^{[174]} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 7.24, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 77.0\right), \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 5.32, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 53.5\right), \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 1.93\right.$, $\left.\delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 1.3,117.7\right),\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{H}}: 2.49, \delta_{\mathrm{C}}: 39.7\right)$. Coupling constants are reported in Hz . For the characterization of the observed signal multiplicities the following abbreviations were applied: s (singulet), d (dublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), m (multiplet), as well as br (broad). Signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-COSY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NOESY, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{HETCOR}$, and gHSQC experiments. Infrared spectra were recorded from $4000-400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ on a Perkin-Elmer 1420 Infrared Spectrometer or Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 1000. Samples were measured either as a film between sodium chloride plates or as potassium bromide tablets. The absorption bands are reported in wave numbers $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$. UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Beckman DK-2a or Beckman UV 5240. Mass spectra were measured with a Finnigan MAT 95 Q. Elemental analysis were carried out in the "Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium des Departments für Organische Chemie der LMU München". Melting points were determined on a Büchi B540 and are uncorrected. Distillations of small amounts were performed with a "Büchi Kugelrohrdestillationsapparatur (KGR-50)". In these cases boiling points refer to the oven temperature.

Chromatography: Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using aluminium plates coated with $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Merck 60, F-254) or $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Merck 60, F-254). The chromatograms were viewed under UV light. Column chromatography was performed using $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} 60$ (Merck) or $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (Fluka, type 507 C neutral or type 5016 A basic).

Solvents: Dichloromethane (Merck, puriss.) was vigorously stirred over concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to remove traces of olefins ( 72 h ), then washed with water, $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution, and water. After drying over $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ the solvent was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Chloroform (Merck, p. A., stabilized with 0.6-1 \% ethanol) was stirred twice with concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ for 12 h and washed with water and $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution. The solvent was dried over
$\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, then over $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, and stored over $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Prior to use, the solvent was filtered over basic alumina (Merck, activity grade 1). Nitromethane (Merck, zur Synthese) was purified by column chromatography through neutral alumina (Merck, activity grade 1). Acetonitrile (Acros, HPLC-grade) was first distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. It was then refluxed at least 3 days over diphenylketene, from which it was always freshly distilled prior to use. Tetrahydrofuran and diethylether were dried over KOH , stored over sodium, and freshly distilled from sodium prior to use.

Chemicals: The following chemicals were purchased: cyclopentanone (Merck, >99 \%), morpholine (Merck, >98 \%), p-toluenesulfonic acid (Merck, >98 \%), $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ (Acros, $93 \%$ ), (4pyrrolidino)pyridine (Acros, $98 \%$ ), 4,4'-difluorobenzophenone (Acros, $99 \%$ ), diphenylamine (Acros, $99 \%$ ), potassium tert.-butoxide (Merck, >98 \%), tetrafluoroboric acid-diethyl ether complex (Fluka, $54 \%$ in diethyl ether), tetrahydro-pyran-2-one (Merck, >99 \%), chlorotrimethylsilane (Merck, >99 \%), celite (Acros, type 521), tributylphosphine (Fluka, >95 $\%$ ), tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine (Fluka, >98.5 \%), triphenylphosphine (Merck, >99 \%), tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine (Lancaster, $97 \%$ ), tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine (Lancaster, $98 \%$ ), phosphorous acid tributyl ester (Fluka, >95 \%), phosphorous acid triphenylester (Acros, 99+ \%), tricyclohexylphosphine (Acros, $97 \%$ ), triisopropylphosphine (Fluka, $90 \%$ ), diisopropylamine (Lancaster $99 \%$ ), lithium-granules (Acros), nbutyllithium (Lancaster, 1.6 M in hexane), cyclohexanone (Fluka, >99.5 \%), pyrrolidine (Merck, >99 \%), $N$-methylaniline (Lancaster, $98 \%$ ), bentonit K-10 (Acros, montmorillonite K-10), acetophenone (Acros, $99 \%$ ), isobutyraldehyde (Acros, 99+ \%), 3-methyl-2-butanone (Acros, $98 \%$ ), titanium tetra chloride (Lancaster, 98+ \%), phenylacetaldehyde (Aldrich, 90+ \%), propynoic acid ethyl ester (Aldrich, $99 \%$ ), indole (Acros, 99+ \%), $N$-methylmorpholine (Acros, $99 \%$ ), allylbromide (Lancaster, 98+ \%), benzoic acid (Lancaster, $99 \%$ ), 4-chloropyridine-hydrochloride (Fluka, >99 \%), pyridine (Fluka, >99.8 \%), 4-methylpyridine (Aldrich, $99 \%$ ), 4-methoxypyridine (Aldrich, $97 \%$ ), 4-aminopyridine (Merck, >98 \%), (4dimethylamino)pyridine (Merck, >99 \%), acetic anhydride (Fluka, >98.0 \%), cyclohexanol (Lancaster, $99 \%$ ), ( $N$-triisopropylsilyl)pyrrole (Aldrich, $95 \%$ ), 1-methylindole (Lancaster, 98+ \%), 1,2-dimethylindole (Aldrich, $99 \%$ ), pyrrole (Lancaster, $98 \%$ ), (4bromophenyl)dimethylamine (Lancaster, 98+ \%), phoshorous trichloride (Merck, >99 \%), 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (Fluka, >98.0 \%), 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclohexene (Fluka, $>97 \%$ ), 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (Fluka, >98 \%), 2,6-di-tert.-butylpyridine (Aldrich, $97 \%$ ), 1-methoxy-2-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)propene (Fluka, > $90 \%$ ),
allyltriphenylstannane (Aldrich, 97 \%), and allyltributylstannane (Lancaster, 97 \%). The following chemicals were taken from the working group supply: 1-phenoxy-1(trimethylsiloxy)ethene, ${ }^{[175]}$ tributyl(2-methylallyl)stannane, ${ }^{[38]}$ allyltriphenylstannane, ${ }^{[38]}$ and trimethyl(2-methylallyl)silane. ${ }^{[38]}$

### 10.2 Reference scales for the characterization of cationic electrophiles and neutral nucleophiles

### 10.2.1 Synthesis of benzhydryl cations

Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methanone (2): Potassium tert.-butoxide ( $8.14 \mathrm{~g}, 72.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 80 mL ). Successively solutions of diphenylamine (14.7 $\mathrm{g}, 87.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 80 mL ) and of 4,4'-difluorobenzophenone ( $6.33 \mathrm{~g}, 29.0$ mmol ) in dimethyl sulfoxide ( 50 mL ) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at ambient temperature and for 3 h at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before it was allowed to cool to ambient temperature again. The solution was then poured on crushed ice $(1 \mathrm{~L})$ and extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 300 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with water $(5 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried and filtered. Evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a green and viscous residue which was crystallized from ethanol. Another crystallization delivered bis(4diphenylaminophenyl)methanone (9) ( $5.49 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \%$ ) as a yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp} 187-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from acetone; ref. [176]: $\left.189{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=6.96-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, 7.63-7.74 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) according to ref. [177]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=119.9$, 124.4, 125.8, 129.4 (4 d, Ar), 130.6 (s, Ar), 131.6 (d, Ar), 146.7, 151.4 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 193.9 (s, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ) according to ref. [177]; MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 516 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100$ ), 348 (7) 272 (24), 258 (14), 243 (12), 167 (8).
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Bis(bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl)ether (4) and bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methanol (3): A suspension of bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methanone (2) (5.00 g, 9.68 mmol ), sodium borohydride ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 5.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and potassium hydroxide ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in
ethanol ( 5 mL ) was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Further portions of sodium borohydride were added after $3 \mathrm{~h}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 5.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $6 \mathrm{~h}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 2.64 \mathrm{mmol})$, and stirring was continued over night at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After cooling, the mixture was hydrolysed with ice-water $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$. A yellow solid precipitated which was filtered and washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Recrystallization from petrol ether ( $70-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) gave bis(bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl)ether (4) (3.25g, $66 \%$ ) as a colorless solid, mp 133-134 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=5.36\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.99-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 56 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=79.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 122.8,123.3,124.3$, 128.4, 129.2 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 136.1, 147.0, 147.7 ( $3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar);} \mathrm{MS} \mathrm{(70} \mathrm{eV)}, \mathrm{m/z} \mathrm{( } \mathrm{\%):} 518$ (87), 502 $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}$(100), 334 (10), 272 (32), 243 (24), 167 (23), 77 (11); and bis(4diphenylaminophenyl)methanol (3) (1.33g, 27 \%) as a colorless solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta=2.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 5.73\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.97-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArH})$ according to ref. [176]); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=75.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 123.8$, 123.6, 127.5, 128.9, 129.2 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 137.8, 147.2, 147.7 (3 s, Ar).
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$\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium-tetrafluoroborate $(\mathbf{d p a})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}^{+} \quad \mathbf{B F}_{\mathbf{4}}{ }^{-}$: Dropwise addition of a solution of tetrafluoroboric acid-diethyl ether complex ( $0.45 \mathrm{ml}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) to a solution of bis(bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl)ether (4) (1.78 $\mathrm{g}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 50 mL ) and stirring for another 30 min gave rise to the formation of a green precipitate. The mixture was filtered, and the solid residue was washed with diethyl ether ( 50 mL ) and dried in vacuo to give bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyliumtetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a dark-green powder. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 300 MHz ): $\delta=6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.05-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{ArH}), 8.25\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=118.8,123.8,126.7$, 128.1, 130.1 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 140.5, 142.8, 157.3 (3 s, Ar), 164.8 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ); MS (FAB, 70 eV ): m/z
(\%): 501 (100) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 330$ (12), 254 (12), 167 (15), 154 (19), 136 (15); IR (KBr): $v=3435$, $3061,1549,1468,1339,1162,703,497 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }=674 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max }=96\right.$ $\left.000\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{mmol}\right]\right)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (588.44): C 75.52, H 4.97, N 4.76. Found: C 75.49, H 4.97, N 4.74.

BKE006

(dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$

Using the same procedure, bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methanol (3) can be converted into $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$.
$\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium
tetrafluoroborate
$(\mathbf{m f a})_{2} \mathbf{C H}^{+} \mathbf{B F}_{4}$ : $\quad$ To a solution of $\operatorname{bis}(\operatorname{bis}(4-(N$-methyl- $N$-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)ether ( $\mathbf{8 a}$ ) ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 75 mL ) was slowly added a solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ complex ( $0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 30 mL ). After the addition, stirring was continued for 30 min . Then the blue precipitate was collected by filtration under nitrogen, washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo to furnish bis(4-( $N$ -methyl- $N$-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (mfa) $\mathbf{C H}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$ $(1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}), 4.41\left(\mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.8\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 7.15,8.01\left(2 \times \mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}\right.$ system with $\left.J_{\mathrm{AB}}=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \times 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=41.5(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}), 53.7\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=33 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 116.3 (d, Ar), 125.8 ( sq, $J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 127.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 142.0 (d, Ar), 159.3 (s, Ar), 167.1 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ); MS (FAB, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 389 (100) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 289$ (7), 154 (18), 137 (36), 109 (19); IR (KBr): $v=3433,1564,1502,1388,1151,1085,980,829,754$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right): \lambda_{\max }=593 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max }=138000\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{mmol}\right]\right)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BF}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (476.17): C 47.93, H 4.02, N 5.88. Found: C 47.97, H 3.96, N 5.85.
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$(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$
$\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-\left(\right.\right.$ phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}^{+}$
$\mathbf{B F}_{4}$ : To a solution of $\operatorname{bis}(4-(N$-phenyl- $N$-(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methanol ( $\mathbf{8 b}$ )
$(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 2.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether ( 100 mL ) was slowly added a solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HBF}_{4}$ complex ( $0.38 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in diethyl ether ( 30 mL ). After the addition, stirring was continued for 30 min . Then the blue precipitate was collected by filtration under nitrogen, washed with diethyl ether, and dried in vacuo to furnish bis(4-( $N$-phenyl- $N-(2,2,2-$ trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium-tetrafluoroborate (pfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=4.62\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 6.75-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=54.8\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=32.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 127.2, 127.8, 130.0, 130.7, $131.3(5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}), 125.3$ ( $\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=231 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 121.5, 141.8, 159.2 ( $3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 168.0 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ); MS (FAB, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 513 (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 430$ (8), 264 (18), 165 (10), 109 (12); IR (KBr): $v=3436,1555,1382,1365,1133,1144,1084,880$, $736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV/Vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ : $\lambda_{\max }=601 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\varepsilon_{\max }=125000\left[\mathrm{~cm}^{2} / \mathrm{mmol}\right]\right)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{BF}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (600.31): C 58.02, H 3.86, N 4.67. Found: C 58.13, H 3.78, N 4.59.
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$(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$

### 10.2.2 Synthesis of silyl ketene acetals

2-(Trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9): In a carefully dried, nitrogen-flushed two necked round-bottom flask equipped with a dropping funnel and reflux condensor, a solution of $n \mathrm{BuLi}\left(1.6 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in hexane) ( $250 \mathrm{~mL}, 400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added within 1 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a suspension of diisopropylamine ( $40.5 \mathrm{~g}, 400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in absolute THF ( 450 mL ). After stiring for 0.5 h , a suspension of tetrahydro-pyran-2-one $(36.0 \mathrm{~g}, 360 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL THF was added within 1 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stiring for 0.25 h chlorotrimethylsilane ( $73.9 \mathrm{~g}, 680 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added rapidly at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction-mixture was allowed to warm up to roomtemperature within 3 h . The reaction-mixture was concentrated in vacuo up to 100 mL , absolute pentane ( 100 mL ) was added, and the solution was filtered off (using Celite) solidcompounds. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue is purified by distillation (2 times) to give a colorless oil ( $49.1 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ ); b.p. $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 11$ mbar. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H})$, $3.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H})$ according to ref. [178]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.5 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=-0.2$ (q, C-1), 19.7, 22.4 (2 t, C-4, C-5), 66.9 (t, C-6), 73.71 (d, C-3), 154.4 (s, C-2).
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### 10.2.3 Reactions of nucleophiles with benzhydryl salts

General: All reactions were performed under exclusion of moisture in an atmosphere of dry nitrogen in carefully dried Schlenk glassware using a magnetic stirrer. Dichloromethane was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ prior to use, other solvents were dried as described prior in this chapter. The conditions of the reactions were not optimized for high yields.

Procedure A: The nucleophile is added to a stirred solution of the carbenium salt $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at the specified temperature. After fading of the blue color, the reaction mixture is filtered through $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ on a short column. The solvent is evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which is purified by recrystallization or column chromatography.

Procedure B: The nucleophile is added to a stirred solution of the carbenium salt $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at the specified temperature under nitrogen. After fading of the color, the reaction mixture is filtered through $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ on a short column. The solvent is evaporated and the residue is dissolved in hexane. This solution is filtered through $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (hexane) and all stannyl containing substances are washed from the column with an large amount of hexane ( 300 ml ). The product was washed from the column with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the solvent was evaporated. The products were characterized as described.

4,4-Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)-1-butene (12a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of (dpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(480 \mathrm{mg}, 0.816 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allyltributylstannane (12) $(300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 320 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.966 mmol ) at ambient temperature following Procedure B. Recrystallization of residue gave colorless crystals ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%$ ); mp $138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ pentane $) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.74\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.87\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C} H\right), 4.88-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.60-5.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.87-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=40.3$ (t, C-3), 50.1 (d, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 116.1$ (t, C-1), 122.5, 124.0, 124.0, 128.6, 129.1 (5 d, Ar),
137.0 (d, C-2), 138.9, 145.7, 147.8 (3 s, Ar); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 542 (14) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 502$ (100), 251 (15); HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : 542.2722. Found: 542.2723.
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## 12a

4,4-Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-1-butene (12b) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(336 \mathrm{mg}, 0.706 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allyltributylstannane (12) ( $220 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.710 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at ambient temperature following Procedure B; colorless powder ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}), 3.72\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.85-5.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 5.60-5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.60-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=39.0 / 39.1$ ( $2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 40.2 (t, C-3), 49.2 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 54.5 (tq, $J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $115.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1), 112.8,128.5(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}), 125.6$ ( $\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 137.3 (d, C-2), 134.9, 147.0 (2 s, Ar); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 430 (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 389$ (100), 305 (10).
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12b

4,4-Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-1-butene (12c) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(0.296 \mathrm{~g}, 0.493 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allyltributylstannane (12) $(160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 171 \mathrm{mg}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol})$ at ambient temperature following Procedure B ; almost colorless powder ( $208 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.76$ (dd, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6.9$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.92\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.23\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, 4.93-5.06 (m, 2 H, 1-H2), 5.65-5.78 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.90-7.27$ (m, $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=40.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3), 49.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 53.9\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=33.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, 116.3 (t, C-1), 121.0, 121.5, 122.5, 128.9, 129.4 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 125.2 ( $\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 136.8 (d, C-2), 139.1, 145.6, 147.5 (3 s, Ar); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 554 (3) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 513$ (100).
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12c

4,4-Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)-2-methyl-1-butene (13a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(482 \mathrm{mg}, 0.819 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethyl(2-methylallyl)silane (13) $(160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 118 \mathrm{mg}, 0.920 \mathrm{mmol})$ at ambient temperature following Procedure A . Recrystallization of the green residue gave almost colorless crystals ( $343 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ); mp 81$83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ pentane $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{Me}), 2.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.05\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.60,4.71\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.92-7.24$ (m, $28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.7$ (q, 2-Me), 44.3 (t, C-3), 48.3 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 112.5 (t, C-1), 122.5, 124.0, 124.0, 128.6, 129.7 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 139.3 (s, Ar), 143.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-$ 2), 145.7, 147.9 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 556 (9) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 501$ (100), 251 (11); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (556.75): C 88.45, H 6.52, N 5.03. Found: C 88.19, H 6.50, N 4.97.
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13a

## 4,4-Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-2-methyl-1-butene (13b) was

 obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(295 \mathrm{mg}, 0.620 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethyl(2methylallyl)silane (13) ( $110 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 81.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.632 \mathrm{mmol})$ at ambient temperature following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the brownish residue gave almost colorless crystals (210 $\mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ); mp $73-74{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.67$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{Me}$ ), $2.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}), 3.74\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times\right.$ $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $4.03\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.61,4.68\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.60-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ) ${ }^{13}{ }^{1} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.5$ ( $\mathrm{q}, 2-\mathrm{Me}$ ), 39.1 (q, NMe), $44.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3), 47.2$$\left(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.6\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=32.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 112.3(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1), 112.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}), 125.6\left(\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $282.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 128.5 (d, Ar), 135.1 (s, Ar), 143.8 (s, C-2), 146.9 (s, Ar); MS (EI, 70 $\mathrm{eV}): m / z(\%): 444$ (5) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 389$ (100), 321 (26), 305 (19), 302 (18); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (444.46): C 62.15, H 5.90, N 6.30. Found: C 61.85, H 5.93, N 6.27.
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13b

## 4,4-Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-2-methyl-1-butene (13c) was

 obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(375 \mathrm{mg}, 0.625 \mathrm{mmol})$ and trimethyl(2methylallyl)silane (13) ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 96.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.748 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at ambient temperature following Procedure A; green powder ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2-$ $\mathrm{Me}), 2.72\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.04-4.30\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right.$ and $\left.2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 4.60$, $4.70\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 6.87-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=22.5$ (q, 2-Me), $44.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3), 48.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 53.9\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=33.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 112.6(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1)$, $120.8,121.5,122.4$ ( $3 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 125.2 ( $\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 128.9, 129.4 (2 d, Ar), 139.4 (s, Ar), 143.3 (s, C-2), 145.5, 147.5 (2 s, Ar); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 568 (3) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$, 513 (100).The same product 13c was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(369 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.615 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tributyl(2-methylallyl)stannane (14) ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 242 \mathrm{mg}, 0.701 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at -90 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure B. Recrystallization of the pale blue residue gave an almost colorless solid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ ). The spectral data are identical with those of the same compound obtained from the reaction of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$and trimethyl(2-methylallyl)silane (13) (see preceding experiment).
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13c

3,3-Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-1-phenyl-1-propanone (15a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(293 \mathrm{mg}, 0.488 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-phenyl-1(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (15) ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.585 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the pale residue gave an almost colorless solid ( $170 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.68\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.24\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times\right.$ $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $4.74\left(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.88-7.93\left(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}\right.$, ArH and 1-Ph); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=44.7\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 45.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-2), 53.9\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=33.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 121.2, 121.3, 122.7 ( $3 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and 1-Ph), 125.2 (sq, $J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 128.0, 128.6, $128.8,129.5,133.1$ ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and 1-Ph), 137.1, 138.5, 145.8, 147.3 ( $4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and 1-Ph), 198.1 (s, C-1); MS (EI, 70 eV ), $m / z(\%): 632$ (19) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 513$ (100).
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15a

2-(Bis(4-diphenylamino)phenyl)methyl)cyclopentanone (16a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad(248 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.422 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1-$ (trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (16) ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 88.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.563 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the greenish residue gave almost colorless crystals ( 200 mg , $81 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 91-92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ Pentane $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.68-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-$ H, 4- $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 1.92-2.02 (m, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.14-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H})$, $4.53\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.92-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Signal assignments are based on gHSQC experiments; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.5(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 27.3(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3), 38.4(\mathrm{t}$,

C-5), 48.9 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 53.4 (d, C-2), 122.6/122.7, 123.4/123.8, 124.1/124.2, 129.1/129.2, 129.1/129.8 ( $5 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 136.6/137.8, 145.9/146.0, 147.7/147.8 (3 $\times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 219.3 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 584 (19) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 501$ (100), 251 (10), 167 (11), 77 (13); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (584.76): C 86.27, H 6.21, N 4.79 . Found: C 86.08, H 6.20, N 4.81.
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16a

2-(Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)cyclopentanone (16b) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(355 \mathrm{mg}, 0.746 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 (trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (16) ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 114 \mathrm{mg}, 0.730 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. The pale red residue gave after recrystallization (from EtOH) an almost colorless solid ( $242 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.60-2.35\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 4-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.78-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 2.94 / 2.97(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}), 3.69-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $4.48\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.60-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.4$ (t, C-4), 27.1 (t, C-3), 38.3 (t, C-5), 38.9/39.0 ( $2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 48.1 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $53.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-2), 54.3\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=30.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 112.4 / 112.5,128.8 / 129.4(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar})$, $125.5\left(\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 132.6/133.5, 146.9/147.0 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 219.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 472 (19) [M ${ }^{+}$], 389 (100), 321 (13), 305 (14).
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16b

2-(Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)cyclohexanone (17a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(315 \mathrm{mg}, 0.662 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 (trimethylsiloxy)cyclohexene (17) ( $130 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.675 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at ambient temperature following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the yellow residue gave colorless crystals (226
$\mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ); mp 113-115 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.23-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 4-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 3-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.20-2.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 2.89 / 2.94(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}), 3.15-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.55-7.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=23.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 29.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5), 33.02(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3)$, 38.8/38.9 (2 q, NMe), 42.0 (t, C-6), 48.9 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 54.4 (tq, $J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 55.2 (d, C-2), 112.6/112.7, 128.2/128.8 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $125.5\left(\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$, 133.1/133.9, 146.8/147.0 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 212.8 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 486 (8) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right]$, 389 (100); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (486.50): C 61.72, H 5.80, N 5.76. Found: C 61.58, H 5.94, N 5.80.
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Phenyl 3,3-bis(p-diphenylaminophenyl)propionate (18a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(316 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.537 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1 -phenoxy-1(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (18) ( $200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 197 \mathrm{mg}, 0.946 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the redish residue gave a pale solid ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 46$ $\%$ ); mp 84-86 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ pentane $) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.2-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.54\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C} H\right), 6.79-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 33 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and OPh$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=41.3$ (t, C-2), 46.4 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 121.4, 122.7, 124.0, 124.1, 125.8, 128.5, 129.2, 129.3 ( $8 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and OPh ), 137.2, 146.4, 147.7, 150.6 ( $4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and OPh ), 170.5 (s, C-1); MS (EI, 70 eV ): $m / z(\%): 636$ (44) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 501$ (100); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (636.79): C 84.88, H 5.70, N 4.40. Found: C 84.70, H 5.68, N 4.37.

BKE012


18a

## Phenyl 3,3-bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)propionate (18b) was

 obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(410 \mathrm{mg}, 0.861 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-phenoxy-1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (18) ( $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 177 \mathrm{mg}, 0.850 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A; yellow powder ( $285 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=$ $2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}), 3.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 4.50\left(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C} H\right), 6.60-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and OPh$) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=39.0 / 39.1(2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe})$, $41.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-2)$, $45.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.2\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=\right.$ $32.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 112.8, 121.5 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and OPh ), $125.6\left(\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=283.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} C \mathrm{~F}_{3}\right.$ ), 125.6, 128.3, 129.2 ( 3 d , Ar and OPh), 133.2, 147.2, 150.6 ( $3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar)}$,170.5 (s, C-1); MS (EI, $70 \mathrm{eV}): m / z$ (\%): 524 (26) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 389$ (100); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (524.51): C 61.83, H 5.00, N 5.34. Found: C 61.59, H 5.00, N 5.36.BKE032


18b

Phenyl 3,3-bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)propionate (18c) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(0.395 \mathrm{~g}, 0.658 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-phenoxy-1(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (18) $(160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.758 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A; yellow powder ( $282 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$ $3.22\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.23\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 4.57(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.77-7.28 (m, $23 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ and OPh ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=41.1(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{C}-2), 46.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 53.8\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=33.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), $121.1,121.4,121.5,122.8(4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and OPh ), $125.2\left(\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 125.8,128.7,129.3,129.5(4 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ and OPh), 137.2, 146.1, 147.3, 150.5 (4 s, Ar), 170.3 (s, C-1); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 648 (31) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 513$ (100).

BKE021


18c

Methyl 3,3-bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpropionate (19a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(421 \mathrm{mg}, 0.716 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 1-methoxy-2-methyl-1(trimethylsiloxy)propene (19) ( $170 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 146 \mathrm{mg}, 0.838 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at ambient temperature following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the light yellow residue gave a solid (308 mg, $71 \%$ ); mp $177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ pentane) $){ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2-$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), 3.52 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 4.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.93-7.21 (m, $28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=24.2$ (q, 2- $\mathrm{Me}_{2}$ ), 47.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 51.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{OMe}$ ), 58.3 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 122.6, 123.1, 124.1, 129.1, 130.4 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 135.4, 146.0, 147.7 (3 s, Ar), 177.9 (s, C-1); MS (EI, 70 $\mathrm{eV}): m / z$ (\%): 602 (4) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 501$ (100), 251 (12), 167 (2); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (602.78): C 83.69, H 6.35, N 4.65. Found: C 83.56, H 6.12, N 4.64.

BKE013


19a

Methyl 3,3-bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-2,2-dimethylpropionate (19b) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(427 \mathrm{mg}, 0.711 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1-$ methoxy-2-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)propene (19) ( $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.789 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A; colorless powder (310 mg, $71 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OMe}), 4.25\left(\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times\right.$ $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $4.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.85-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $=24.2\left(\mathrm{q}, 2-\mathrm{Me}_{2}\right), 46.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2), 51.6(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{OMe}), 53.8\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=33.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 58.0(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 120.1, 121.8, 122.9, 129.5, 130.7 (5 d, Ar), 125.2 (sq, $J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ),
135.4, 145.9, 147.2 (3 s, Ar), 177.9 (s, C-1); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 614 (1) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 513$ (100).

BKE023


19b

3-(Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methyl)tetrahydropyran-2-one (9a) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 2.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro$4 H$-pyran (9) ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 469 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at ambient temperature following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the yellow residue gave colorless crystals (790 $\mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ); mp $95-98{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.48-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.54-2.70 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.78-2.98 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.10-3.32 (m, $\left.5 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.14-4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.62,6.70,6.73,6.83(4 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \times 1 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.8(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 23.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5), 23.1 / 23.2\left(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 23.7/23.8 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 28.6/28.7 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $43.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3), 47.3 / 47.4\left(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 50.9\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, 55.1/55.2 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 67.4 (t, C-6), 118.8/118.9 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 121.2/121.9, 125.2/126.3 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$, Ar), 128.5/128.6 (2 s, Ar), 132.9/134.4 (2 s, Ar), 148.1, 148.2 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 174.0 (s, C-2); MS (EI, 70 eV ): $m / z(\%): 428$ (11) [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 329$ (100).

BKE017


## 9a

3-(Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methyl)tetrahydropyran-2-one (9b) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(469 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4 H pyran (9) $(200 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 188 \mathrm{mg}, 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at ambient temperature following Procedure A; colorless powder ( $415 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=$
1.45-2.10 (m, $12 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.66-2.72 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.04-3.35 (m, 9 H , $\left.3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.15-4.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.65,6.57(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.2 / 22.3\left(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 23.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 27.6 / 27.7\left(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 29.6(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5)$, 43.9 (d, C-3), $49.8\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 50.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.7(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-6), 121.2 / 121.3$ (2 s, Ar), 126.2/127.1 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 129.6/131.2, 141.2/141.2 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 174.1 (s, C-2); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 456 (15) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 357$ (100).

BKE016
 9b

3-(Bis(N-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methyl)tetrahydropyran-2-one (9c) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\text { thq })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(588 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2 -(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) ( $280 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 263 \mathrm{mg}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 100 mL ) at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the orange residue gave colorless crystals ( $394 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ); mp $84-86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\right.$ Pentane). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=$ $1.54-2.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.62-2.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{NMe}), 3.08-3.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.14-4.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.42-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=22.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 22.4 / 22.5\left(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 22.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5)$, 27.8/27.9 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 39.0/39.1 ( $2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 43.9 (d, C-3), 49.6 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 51.2/51.2 ( 2 t , $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 67.7 (t, C-6), 110.7/110.8 (2 d, Ar), 122.5/122.6 (2 s, Ar), 125.9/127.1, 128.6/129.2 (2 $\times 2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}), 129.9 / 131.4,145.0 / 145.1(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}), 173.9(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-2) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{EI}, 70 \mathrm{eV}): m / z(\%)$ : 404 (8) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 305$ (100); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (404.55): C 77.19, H 7.97, N 6.92. Found: C 76.90, H 8.05, N 6.88.

BKE026


9c

3-(Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl)tetrahydropyran-2-one (9d) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(924 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro- 4 H -pyran (9) (500 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 469 \mathrm{mg}, 2.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the yellow residue gave almost colorless crystals ( 680 mg , $71 \%$ ); mp 95-98 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.60-2.00\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), $2.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right.$ ), 3.20-3.35 (m, 1 H, 3-H), 4.03-4.28 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 4.47 (d, J= $\left.7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.55-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.7(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-$ 4), 22.6 (t, C-5), 40.2 (q, $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), 43.6 (d, C-3), 49.3 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 67.5 (t, C-6), 112.2/112.3, 128.1/129.1 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 129.9/131.4, 148.6/148.7 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 173.5 (s, C-2); MS (EI, 70 $\mathrm{eV}), m / z(\%): 352$ (9) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 253$ (100), 237 (12).

BKE018
 9d

3-(Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl)tetrahydropyran-2-one (9e) was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(310 \mathrm{mg}, 0.527 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) ( $120 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 113 \mathrm{mg}, 0.655 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A. Recrystallization of the pale blue residue gave almost colorless crystals ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ); mp $111-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.45-$ $2.05\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.18-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.45\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.96-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4)$, 23.0 (t, C-5), 43.6 (d, C-3), 50.3 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 67.6 (t, C-6), 122.6/122.7, 123.5/123.7,
124.1/124.2, 129.1/129.2, 128.6, 129.4 ( $5 \times 2$ d, Ar), 135.7/137.3, 145.9/146.2, 147.6/147.7 (3 $\times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}), 173.4$ (s, C-2); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 600 (10) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 501$ (100); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (600.76): C 83.97, H 6.04, N 4.66. Found: C 83.83, H 6.03, N 4.63.

BKE014
 9e

3-(Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)tetrahydropyran-2-one
was obtained from the tetrafluoroborate salt of $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}(398 \mathrm{mg}, 0.836 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 141 \mathrm{mg}, 0.818 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 100 mL ) at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ following Procedure A; almost colorless solid ( $203 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (300 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.46-1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$ ), $2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}), 3.22-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 3.66-3.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 4.12-4.34(m,2 H, 6-H2), $4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.62-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.8(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 22.9$ (t, C-5), 38.9/39.0 ( $2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}$ ), 43.5 (d, C-3), $49.5\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.3\left(\mathrm{tq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=32.3 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 67.6 (t, C-6), $112.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}), 125.5\left(\mathrm{sq}, J_{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}}=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 128.4 / 129.4$ (2 d, Ar), 131.7/133.1, 146.9/147.1 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 173.6 (s, C-2); MS (EI, 70 eV ), m/z (\%): 488 (8) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 389$ (100).

BKE031


9f

### 10.2.4 Concentrations and rate constants of the individual kinetic runs

Table 10.1: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-b i s(2,2,2-$ trifluoroethyl)aminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (bfa) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and allyltrimethylsilane (10) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=600 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\hline \text { No. } & \begin{array}{c}{[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}{[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Conv. / } \\ \%\end{array}\right)$
$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.772 \pm 0.045 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.2: Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and allyltriphenylstannane (11) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{M})$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $040720-04$ | $1.571 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.442 \times 10^{-4}$ | 54 | --- | 20.0 | $2.221 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $040720-01$ | $1.375 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.320 \times 10^{-3}$ | 96 | --- | 20.0 | $2.226 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $040720-03$ | $1.466 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.814 \times 10^{-3}$ | 192 | --- | 20.0 | $2.309 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $040720-02$ | $2.101 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.839 \times 10^{-3}$ | 230 | --- | 20.0 | $2.215 \times 10^{-1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.243 \pm 0.039) \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.3: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(p h e n y l(2,2,2-t r i f l u o r o e t h y l) a m i n o)$ phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and allyltriphenylstannane (11) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120720-01$ | $2.105 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.168 \times 10^{-3}$ | 55 | 97 | 20.0 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| $120720-05$ | $2.337 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.946 \times 10^{-3}$ | 83 | 98 | 20.0 | 1.102 |
| $120720-04$ | $1.979 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.196 \times 10^{-3}$ | 111 | 99 | 20.0 | 1.087 |
| $120720-03$ | $1.196 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.659 \times 10^{-3}$ | 139 | 96 | 20.0 | 1.056 |
| $120720-02$ | $1.349 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.495 \times 10^{-3}$ | 185 | 96 | 20.0 | 1.030 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.070 \pm 0.025 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.4: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and allyltributylstannane (12) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100720-09$ | $2.668 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.574 \times 10^{-4}$ | 32 | 95 | 20.0 | 6.393 |
| $100720-10$ | $2.549 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.024 \times 10^{-3}$ | 40 | 99 | 20.0 | 6.374 |
| $100720-07$ | $2.668 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.715 \times 10^{-3}$ | 64 | 97 | 20.0 | 6.351 |
| $100720-08$ | $2.388 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.919 \times 10^{-3}$ | 80 | 97 | 20.0 | 6.419 |
| $100720-11$ | $2.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.008 \times 10^{-3}$ | 129 | 100 | 20.0 | 6.474 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=6.402 \pm 0.042 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.5: $\operatorname{Bis}(4$-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and allyltributylstannane (12) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\hline \text { No. } & \begin{array}{c}{[E]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}{[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Conv. / } \\ \%\end{array}\right)$

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.838 \pm 0.051) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.6: Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and allyltributylstannane (12) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=600 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.[N u c]_{0} /[E]\right]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 010820.PA3 | $2.417 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.613 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 78 | 20.0 | $1.088 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 010820.PA1 | $2.263 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.766 \times 10^{-4}$ | 30 | 83 | 20.0 | $1.184 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 010820.PA2 | $2.405 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.079 \times 10^{-3}$ | 45 | 73 | 20.0 | $1.125 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 010820.PA0 | $2.899 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.167 \times 10^{-3}$ | 75 | 46 | 20.0 | $1.135 \times 10^{2}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.133 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.7: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (2methylallyl)trimethylsilane (13) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc}\hline \text { No. } & \begin{array}{c}{[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}{[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}}\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Conv. / } \\ \%\end{array}\right)$

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.126 \pm 0.156) \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.8: Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (mfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (2-methylally)trimethylsilane (13) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left.[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E]\right]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030720-13$ | $2.187 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.138 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | --- | 20.0 | 2.879 |
| $030720-12$ | $2.672 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.500 \times 10^{-3}$ | 56 | --- | 20.0 | 2.851 |
| $030720-15$ | $2.905 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.283 \times 10^{-3}$ | 79 | --- | 20.0 | 2.881 |
| $030720-11$ | $1.964 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.205 \times 10^{-3}$ | 112 | --- | 20.0 | 2.956 |
| $030720-16$ | $1.514 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.785 \times 10^{-3}$ | 118 | --- | 20.0 | 3.104 |
| $030720-14$ | $1.380 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.323 \times 10^{-3}$ | 168 | --- | 20.0 | 3.147 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.970 \pm 0.115 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

 (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (2-methylallyl)trimethylsilane (13) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $170720-03$ | $1.990 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.991 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 98 | 20.0 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| $170720-04$ | $1.396 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.358 \times 10^{-4}$ | 53 | 97 | 20.0 | $1.353 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $170720-02$ | $2.092 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.470 \times 10^{-3}$ | 70 | 98 | 20.0 | $1.342 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $170720-05$ | $1.269 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.114 \times 10^{-3}$ | 88 | 97 | 20.0 | $1.365 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $170720-01$ | $1.106 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.296 \times 10^{-3}$ | 117 | 98 | 20.0 | $1.348 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.347 \pm 0.012) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

 $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tributyl(2-methylallyl)stannane (14) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $110720-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.494 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.230 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $1.794 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $110720-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.494 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.459 \times 10^{-4}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $1.792 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $110720-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.494 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.689 \times 10^{-4}$ | 67 | 20.0 | $1.797 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $110720-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.494 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.919 \times 10^{-4}$ | 90 | 20.0 | $1.810 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $110720-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.494 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.148 \times 10^{-4}$ | 112 | 20.0 | $1.818 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.802 \pm 0.010) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

 (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tributyl(2-methylallyl)stannane (14) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $130720-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.643 \times 10^{-4}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $6.827 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $130720-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.287 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 20.0 | $6.865 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $130720-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.930 \times 10^{-4}$ | 37 | 20.0 | $6.968 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $130720-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.574 \times 10^{-4}$ | 49 | 20.0 | $6.990 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $130720-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.217 \times 10^{-4}$ | 61 | 20.0 | $7.007 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.931 \pm 0.072) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.12: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(p h e n y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino) phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) 2 $_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1-phenyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (15) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $240720-01$ | $1.721 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.157 \times 10^{-3}$ | 125 | 98 | -61.8 | $1.351 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240720-02$ | $1.519 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.379 \times 10^{-3}$ | 157 | 98 | -59.7 | $1.420 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240720-03$ | $1.322 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.657 \times 10^{-3}$ | 125 | 98 | -47.8 | $3.124 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240720-04$ | $1.656 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.453 \times 10^{-3}$ | 88 | 99 | -39.0 | $5.651 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240720-05$ | $1.881 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.179 \times 10^{-3}$ | 63 | 98 | -29.4 | $9.211 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240720-06$ | $1.437 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.402 \times 10^{-4}$ | 38 | 99 | -19.6 | $1.542 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $240720-07$ | $1.521 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.813 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 98 | -10.6 | $2.358 \times 10^{2}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=24.283 \pm 0.357 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-105.949 \pm 1.533 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9989$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.418 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=26.228 \pm 0.360 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=17.475 \pm 0.186$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9991$

Table 10.13: Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (16) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 060620.PA0 | $4.562 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.606 \times 10^{-3}$ | 123 | 88 | -71.4 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 060620.PA1 | $4.985 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.900 \times 10^{-3}$ | 98 | 88 | -62.1 | $8.391 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 060620.PA2 | $4.176 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.565 \times 10^{-3}$ | 64 | 87 | -52.3 | 1.661 |
| 060620.PA3 | $4.495 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.524 \times 10^{-3}$ | 123 | 81 | -41.9 | 3.244 |
| 060620.PA4 | $4.240 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.647 \times 10^{-3}$ | 82 | 87 | -30.9 | 5.963 |
| 060620.PA5 | $4.662 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.864 \times 10^{-3}$ | 61 | 80 | -20.7 | 9.764 |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=24.337 \pm 0.220 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-128.004 \pm 0.979 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9997$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.800 \pm 0.158) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.14: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene (16) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}(J \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $050720-01$ | $1.996 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.181 \times 10^{-3}$ | 109 | --- | -70.9 | 2.179 |
| $050720-02$ | $1.447 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.976 \times 10^{-3}$ | 137 | --- | -61.2 | 4.661 |
| $050720-03$ | $1.743 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.904 \times 10^{-3}$ | 109 | --- | -51.0 | 9.260 |
| $050720-04$ | $1.799 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.572 \times 10^{-3}$ | 87 | --- | -41.2 | $1.720 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $050720-05$ | $1.791 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.370 \times 10^{-3}$ | 77 | --- | -29.8 | $3.311 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $050720-06$ | $1.939 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.059 \times 10^{-3}$ | 55 | --- | -19.6 | $5.525 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $050720-07$ | $1.667 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.465 \times 10^{-4}$ | 33 | --- | -10.5 | $8.654 \times 10^{1}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=24.922 \pm 0.124 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-111.825 \pm 0.540 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9999$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.194 \pm 0.044) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

## Arrhenius parameters:

$E_{\mathrm{a}}=26.834 \pm 0.100 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=16.752 \pm 0.053$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9999$

Table 10.15: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (mfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclohexene (17) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. $/$ \% | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 070720-05 | $1.378 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.159 \times 10^{-4}$ | 37 | --- | 20.0 | $2.181 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 070720-04 | $2.001 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.049 \times 10^{-3}$ | 52 | --- | 20.0 | $2.085 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 070720-02 | $1.566 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.173 \times 10^{-3}$ | 75 | --- | 20.0 | $2.149 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 070720-03 | $1.219 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.133 \times 10^{-4}$ | 75 | --- | 20.0 | $2.227 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 070720-01 | $1.198 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.122 \times 10^{-3}$ | 94 | --- | 20.0 | $2.199 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.168 \pm 0.049) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.16: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 1-phenoxy-1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (18) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. $/$ \% | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 310520.PA4 | $5.844 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.411 \times 10^{-2}$ | 161 | 82 | -69.8 | 6.437 |
| 310520.PA5 | $5.880 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.515 \times 10^{-2}$ | 257 | 70 | -61.0 | $1.139 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 310520.PA9 | $8.365 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.617 \times 10^{-2}$ | 193 | 62 | -50.7 | $2.172 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 310520.PA7 | $7.686 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.520 \times 10^{-3}$ | 124 | 38 | -39.7 | $4.059 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 310520.PA8 | $8.090 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.514 \times 10^{-3}$ | 81 | 49 | -30.7 | $6.544 \times 10^{1}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=22.517 \pm 0.146 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-115.632 \pm 0.658 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9999$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=24.360 \pm 0.169 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=16.256 \pm 0.092$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9999$

$$
k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.421 \pm 0.104) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.17: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 1-phenoxy-1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (18) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $060720-\mathrm{F}$ | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.388 \times 10^{-4}$ | 30 | 20.0 | $4.097 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $060720-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.775 \times 10^{-4}$ | 60 | 20.0 | $4.139 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $060720-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.163 \times 10^{-4}$ | 90 | 20.0 | $4.155 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $060720-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.550 \times 10^{-4}$ | 120 | 20.0 | $4.198 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $060720-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.194 \times 10^{-3}$ | 150 | 20.0 | $4.203 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.158 \pm 0.039) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.18: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(p h e n y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 1-phenoxy-1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethene (18) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 130720-A | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.742 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $1.626 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 130720-B | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.484 \times 10^{-4}$ | 41 | 20.0 | $1.709 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 130720-C | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.225 \times 10^{-4}$ | 61 | 20.0 | $1.733 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 130720-D | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.097 \times 10^{-3}$ | 82 | 20.0 | $1.770 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 130720-E | $1.339 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.371 \times 10^{-3}$ | 102 | 20.0 | $1.778 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.723 \pm 0.055) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.19: Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) 2 $_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1-methoxy-2-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)propene (19) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100820-\mathrm{F}$ | $7.783 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.728 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $1.607 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $100820-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.783 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.456 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $1.638 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $100820-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.783 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.184 \times 10^{-4}$ | 67 | 20.0 | $1.671 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $100820-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.783 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.911 \times 10^{-4}$ | 89 | 20.0 | $1.695 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $100820-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.783 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.639 \times 10^{-4}$ | 111 | 20.0 | $1.701 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.662 \pm 0.036) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.20: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-$ (phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 1-methoxy-2-methyl-1-(trimethylsiloxy)propene (19) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 601 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $090820-\mathrm{F}$ | $6.663 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.479 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $4.722 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $090820-\mathrm{B}$ | $6.663 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.958 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $4.771 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $090820-\mathrm{C}$ | $6.663 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.437 \times 10^{-4}$ | 67 | 20.0 | $4.814 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $090820-\mathrm{D}$ | $6.663 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.916 \times 10^{-4}$ | 89 | 20.0 | $4.808 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $090820-\mathrm{E}$ | $6.663 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.394 \times 10^{-4}$ | 111 | 20.0 | $4.871 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.797 \pm 0.049) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.21: Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 270620.PA2 | $5.821 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.394 \times 10^{-3}$ | 58 | 83 | 20.0 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 270620.PA1 | $5.422 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.323 \times 10^{-3}$ | 117 | 80 | 20.0 | 2.851 |
| 270620.PA0 | $7.276 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.788 \times 10^{-3}$ | 93 | 84 | 20.0 | 2.887 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.844 \pm 0.038
$$

Table 10.22: $\operatorname{Bis}(\mathrm{julolidin}-9-\mathrm{yl}) m e t h y l i u m ~ t e t r a f l u o r o b o r a t e ~(j u l))_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220620.PA4 | $4.233 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.536 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 81 | 20.0 | $1.012 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 220620.PA3 | $5.132 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.321 \times 10^{-3}$ | 26 | 74 | 20.0 | $1.119 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 220620.PA1 | $5.580 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.872 \times 10^{-3}$ | 51 | 80 | 20.0 | $1.112 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 220620.PA2 | $4.809 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.713 \times 10^{-3}$ | 77 | 50 | 20.0 | $1.099 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 220620.PA0 | $5.387 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.546 \times 10^{-3}$ | 103 | 63 | 20.0 | $1.204 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.109 \pm 0.061) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.23: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left.[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E]_{0}\right]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260620.PA0 | $3.805 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.951 \times 10^{-3}$ | 78 | 75 | -51.2 | 1.741 |
| 260620.PA1 | $4.042 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.567 \times 10^{-3}$ | 39 | 82 | -40.8 | 3.841 |
| 260620.PA2 | $3.783 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.934 \times 10^{-3}$ | 78 | 83 | -30.9 | 8.495 |
| 260620.PA3 | $4.243 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.632 \times 10^{-3}$ | 62 | 84 | -21.2 | $1.454 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 260620.PA4 | $3.846 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.088 \times 10^{-3}$ | 54 | 70 | -11.0 | $2.277 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 260620.PA6 | $3.569 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.661 \times 10^{-3}$ | 47 | 80 | -0.2 | $4.256 \times 10^{1}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=29.175 \pm 0.969 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-105.943 \pm 3.948 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9956$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=31.217 \pm 0.949 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=17.524 \pm 0.465$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9963$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.132 \pm 0.086) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.24: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $190620-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.362 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.268 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $1.274 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $190620-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.362 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.535 \times 10^{-4}$ | 47 | 20.0 | $1.298 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $190620-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.362 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.803 \times 10^{-4}$ | 71 | 20.0 | $1.319 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $190620-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.362 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.070 \times 10^{-4}$ | 95 | 20.0 | $1.335 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $190620-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.362 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.338 \times 10^{-4}$ | 118 | 20.0 | $1.335 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.312 \pm 0.023) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.25: Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $200620-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.411 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.127 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $1.071 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $200620-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.411 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.254 \times 10^{-4}$ | 42 | 20.0 | $1.115 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $200620-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.411 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.381 \times 10^{-4}$ | 63 | 20.0 | $1.134 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 200620-D | $5.411 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.508 \times 10^{-4}$ | 83 | 20.0 | $1.129 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $200620-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.411 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.636 \times 10^{-4}$ | 104 | 20.0 | $1.137 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.117 \pm 0.024) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.26: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-5,6-dihydro-4H-pyran (9) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290620-A | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.913 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $6.237 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $290620-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.869 \times 10^{-4}$ | 36 | 20.0 | $6.392 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 290620-C | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.826 \times 10^{-4}$ | 48 | 20.0 | $6.583 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 290620-D | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.782 \times 10^{-4}$ | 60 | 20.0 | $6.328 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 290620-E | $7.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.739 \times 10^{-4}$ | 72 | 20.0 | $6.461 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.400 \pm 0.118) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.27: Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1( $N$-morpholino)cyclohexene (20) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $210620-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.819 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.268 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $3.576 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $210620-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.819 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.535 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $3.193 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $210620-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.819 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.803 \times 10^{-4}$ | 65 | 20.0 | $3.210 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $210620-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.819 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.070 \times 10^{-4}$ | 87 | 20.0 | $3.453 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $210620-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.819 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.338 \times 10^{-4}$ | 109 | 20.0 | $3.473 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.381 \pm 0.153) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

### 10.3 Structure-nucleophilicity relationships for enamines

### 10.3.1 Enamine synthesis by condensation of ketones or aldehydes with amines

General procedure: In a round-bottom flask equipped with a Dean-Stark water separator and reflux condenser a mixture of the ketone or aldehyde, amine, catalyst and dry toluene was refluxed until formation of the water had ceased. After filtration, toluene was removed from
the filtrate under vacuum. In order to remove traces of secondary amines, the crude products were distilled over $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ under high vacuum to give the corresponding enamine. The products were identified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.

1-(N-Pyrrolidino)cyclopentene ${ }^{[65]}$ (21): A mixture of cyclopentanone ( $42.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), pyrrolidine ( $42.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene-p-sulfonic acid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.581 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in toluene ( 100 mL ) for 5 h at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $28.8 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ ); b.p. $73{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.6 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.83-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-\mathrm{H}$, $2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 7-\mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=23.1,25.1,30.7,32.9(4 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-7), 48.8$ (t, C-6), 92.1 (d, C-2), 149.4 (s, C-1) in agreement with data published in ref. [179].
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1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclohexene ${ }^{[65]}$ (22): A mixture of cyclohexanone ( $49.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), pyrrolidine ( $42.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene- $p$-sulfonic acid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.581 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in toluene ( 100 mL ) for 5 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $36.3 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ); b.p. $79-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.6 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.53-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-$ $\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 6-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 8-\mathrm{H}), 2.98-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.9,23.3,24.4,24.5,27.5$ ( $5 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{C}-8$ ), 47.3 (t, C-7), 93.5 (d, C-2), 143.3 (s, C-1) in agreement with data published in ref. [180].
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1-( $N$-Piperidino)cyclopentene ${ }^{[65]}$ (23): A mixture of cyclopentanone ( $42.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), piperidine ( $51.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene-p-sulfonic acid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in toluene ( 100 mL ) for 5 h at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $49.8 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ); b.p. 83 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.6 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.49-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}$, $4 \times 7-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 8-\mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ :
$\delta=22.6,24.4,25.4,30.3,31.8(5 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-7, \mathrm{C}-8), 49.4(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-6), 97.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-2)$, 152.1 (s, C-1) in agreement with data published in ref. [181].


23

1-( $N$-Piperidino)cyclohexene ${ }^{[65]}$ (24): A mixture of cyclohexanone (49.1 g, 0.500 mol ), piperidine ( $51.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene-p-sulfonic acid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.872 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 4 h at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil $(48.3 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$; b.p. $88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.2 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.48-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-\mathrm{H}$, $2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 6-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 9-\mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 4.66\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J=3.9\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ ) in agreement with data published in ref. [182]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $=22.8,23.4,24.5,24.6,25.9,27.6(6 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6, \mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-9), 48.9(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-7), 99.9(\mathrm{~d}$, $\mathrm{C}-2$ ), 146.1 (s, C-1) in agreement with data published in ref. [183].
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1-(N-Morpholino)cyclopentene ${ }^{[65]} \mathbf{( 2 5 ) : ~ A ~ m i x t u r e ~ o f ~ c y c l o p e n t a n o n e ~ ( ~} 42.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), morpholine ( $52.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene- $p$-sulfonic acid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 4 h at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil $(47.5 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$; b.p. $82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.5 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.85-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 2$ $\times 5-\mathrm{H}), 2.85-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H})$ in agreement with data published in ref. [184]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.2,30.0,31.1$ ( $3 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3$, C-4, C-5), 48.8 (t, C-6), 66.4 (t, C-7), 97.9 (d, C-2), 151.4 (s, C-1) in agreement with data published in ref. [185].
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1-( $\boldsymbol{N}$-Morpholino)cyclohexene ${ }^{[65]} \mathbf{( 2 0 ) : ~ A ~ m i x t u r e ~ o f ~ c y c l o h e x a n o n e ~ ( 4 9 . 1 ~ g , ~} 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), morpholine ( $52.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene- $p$-sulfonic acid ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.872 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was
refluxed in toluene ( 100 mL ) for 4 h at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $53.5 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ); b.p. $94-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.2 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.53-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-$ $\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 6-\mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 4.66\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1\right.$ $\mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ ) in agreement with data published in ref. [186]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=$ 22.6, 23.1, 24.2, 26.7 ( 4 t, C-3, C-4, C-5, C-6), 48.3 (t, C-7), 66.8 (t, C-8), 100.1 (d, C-2), 145.2 (s, C-1) in agreement with data published in ref. [187].
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4-(2-Methyl-1-propenyl)morpholine ${ }^{[188]}$ (29): 2-Methylpropanal (71.1 g, 1.00 mol ) and morpholine ( $87.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were slowly mixed and refluxed for 4 h at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath). Distillation under reduced pressure yields a colorless oil ( $107.3 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ); b.p. $61-62{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10$ mbar. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.62 / 1.68(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 3 \mathrm{H}, 3 \times 1-\mathrm{H}, 3 \times 3-\mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.61$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H})$ in agreement with data published in ref. [189]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=15.4 / 17.3$ ( $2 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-1, \mathrm{C}-3$ ), $53.0(\mathrm{t}$, $\mathrm{C}-5), 66.9$ (t, C-6), 123.3 (s, C-2), 135.1 (d, C-4) in agreement with data published in ref. [189].
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4-[(trans)-2-Phenylvinyl]morpholine ${ }^{[190]}$ (30): Phenylacetaldehyde ( $80.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.666 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and morpholine ( $116.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.331 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) were slowly (within 0.5 h ) mixed and refluxed for 5 h at 120 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath). Distillation under reduced pressure yields a colorless oil (b.p. $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 3.3 \times 10^{-2}$ mbar) which solidifies after several hours. Recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ gives a pale yellow solid ( $57.1 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ ); m.p. $76-77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.92-2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H})$, 3.67-3.70 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 5.39\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}\right)$, 6.99-7.23 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times 1-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 2-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H})$ in agreement with data published in ref. [191]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=48.9$ (t, C-7), 66.3 (t, C-8), 101.3 (d, C-5), 124.2 (d, C-3), 124.3 (d, C-1), 128.5 (d, C-2), 138.7 (s, C-4), 139.6 (d, C-6) in agreement with data published
in ref. [191]. The (trans)-configuration is derived from the large coupling constant of the vinylic protons (14.2 Hz).
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4-(1-Phenylvinyl)morpholine ${ }^{[192]}$ (31): A mixture of acetophenone ( $60.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.500 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), morpholine ( $52.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.600 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and toluene-p-sulfonic acid ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in toluene ( 100 mL ) for 10 h at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $52.1 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%$ ); b.p. $84-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.3 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.81-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H})$, 3.74-3.77 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.19/4.32 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.23-7.47 (m, $5 \mathrm{H}, 1 \times 1-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 2-\mathrm{H}, 2$ $\times 3-\mathrm{H})$ in agreement with data published in ref. [193]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=49.8$ (t, C-7), 66.9 (t, C-8), 91.0 (t, C-6), 127.8, 128.0, 128.2 (3 d, Ar), 139.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 157.1 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ) in agreement with data published in ref. [194].
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(1-Cyclopentenyl)methylphenylamine ${ }^{[195]}$ (34): A mixture of cyclopentanone ( $84.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00$ mol), $N$-methylaniline ( $112.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.050 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and K-10 montmorillonite clay ( 8.0 g ) was refluxed in toluene $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 36 h at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $52.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ); b.p. $98-99^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.2 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.45-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-$ $\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.71\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right) 6.95-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 9-\mathrm{H}, 1 \times$ $10-\mathrm{H})$.

BKE041

(1-Cyclohexenyl)methylphenylamine ${ }^{[196]} \mathbf{( 3 5 ) : ~ A ~ m i x t u r e ~ o f ~ c y c l o h e x a n o n e ~ ( ~} 50.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.509$ mol), $N$-methylaniline ( $57.9 \mathrm{~g}, 0.540 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and K-10 montmorillonite clay ( 4.0 g ) was refluxed in toluene $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 36 h at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath) to give a colorless oil ( $42.0 \mathrm{~g}, 44 \%$ ); b.p. 113-114 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1.4 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.57-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $4-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 5.38\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right), 6.78-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 9-\mathrm{H}, 2$ $\times 10-\mathrm{H}, 1 \times 11-\mathrm{H})$ in agreement with data published in ref. [197]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=22.4,23.2,24.8,26.3(4 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6$ ), 39.3 (q, C-7), 116.3 (d, C-2), 117.5, 118.9, 128.8 ( $3 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 144.0 (s, Ar), 149.0 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ) in agreement with data published in ref. [198].
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### 10.3.2 Enamine synthesis by base-catalyzed isomerization of allylamines

4-Allylmorpholine: ${ }^{[66]}$ In a carefully dried and with nitrogen flushed two-necked roundbottom flask equipped with a dropping funnel and reflux condensor, 3-bromo-1-propene $(139.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.156 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added dropwise to a mixture of morpholine ( $201.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.307 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and dry toluene ( 250 mL ) within 1 h using a water bath for cooling. The reaction mixture was then refluxed for 2 h (bath: $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After cooling, the reaction mixture was added to a solution of 150 mL of conc. HCl in 100 mL water and extracted with dil. $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. After addition of $\mathrm{NaOH}(200 \mathrm{~g})$ in 500 mL of water the separated amine-phase was extracted with toluene ( 3 $\times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), drying with KOH , evaporation of the solvent in vacuo and distillation in high vacuo gave a colorless oil ( $57.8 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ ); b.p. $156-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \boldsymbol{\delta}=$ 2.43-2.46 (m, 4 H, 4-H), $2.99\left(\mathrm{dt},{ }^{3} J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.70-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 5-$ H), 5.14-5.24 (m, 2 H, 1-H), 5.78-5.90 (m, 1 H, 2-H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=53.6$ (t, C-4), $62.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3), 67.0(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-5), 118.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-1), 134.6$ (d, C-2).
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4-[(cis,trans)-1-propenyl]morpholine ${ }^{[66]}$ (28, 27): In a round-bottom flask, 4allylmorpholine ( $40.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.315 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added to a solution of potassium- $t$-butoxide $(6.7 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.06 \mathrm{~mol})$ and absolute dimethyl sulfoxide ( 60 mL ). After stirring at room temperature for 36 h, the reaction mixture was distilled to yield $\mathbf{2 8 / 2 7}=89 / 11$ (from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR integrals of 3-H) together with small amounts of DMSO ( $12.3 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%$ ). (cis)-28: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta=1.68\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.74-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, $5-\mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 5.53\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{4} J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}\right)$ in agreement with data published in ref. [66]; (trans)-27: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.76\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, $\left.{ }^{4} J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.74-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $2-\mathrm{H}), 6.00\left(\mathrm{bd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}\right)$ in agreement with data published in ref. [66].


89 \%


11 \%
(cis)-28
(trans)-27

The isomer mixture ( $12.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.097 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was combined with benzoic acid $(90.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.001$ $\mathrm{mol})$. After stirring at room temperature for $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{CaH}_{2}(500 \mathrm{mg})$ was added. Distillation gave a colorless oil ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%$ ), b.p. $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{mbar}$ containing only (trans)-27 ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR).

### 10.3.3 Enamine synthesis by addition of amine to alkyne

Ethyl-(trans)-3-morpholine-4-ylacrylate ${ }^{[199]}$ (32): In a carefully dried, nitrogen-flushed twonecked round-bottom flask equipped with a dropping funnel and reflux condensor, morpholine ( $8.6 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added within 30 min to a solution of ethyl propiolate $(9.7 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.10 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 30 min stirring at ambient temperature the reaction mixture was refluxed for 1 h at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath). After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was distilled under high vacuum to give a colorless oil ( $16.3 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$; b.p. $\left.130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 4.0 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{mbar}\right)$ which solidifies after several hours. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.26\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.19-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H})$, $4.14\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.70\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}\right), 7.36\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=14.7$ (q, C-1), 48.7 (t, C-6), $59.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-2), 66.3(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-7)$,
86.2 (d, C-4), 151.8 (d, C-5), 169.5 (s, C-3). The large coupling constant of the vinyl protons $(13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) indicate (trans)-configuration.
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### 10.3.4 Reactions of enamines, pyrroles, or indoles with benzhydrylium salts

General: The reactions of enamines with benzhydrylium salts $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$were performed under exclusion of moisture in an atmosphere of dry nitrogen in carefully dried Schlenk glassware. Dichloromethane was freshly distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ before use, as described prior.

Procedure A: A solution of the freshly distilled or recrystallized enamine was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the benzhydrylium salt in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. After fading of the color (in cases of reversible reactions after 2 h at the latest) the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was washed with dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried several hours in vacuo ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{mbar}$ ).

Procedure B: The crude product obtained by Procedure A was dissolved in dilute HCl and stirred for 30 min . The solution was then neutralized by treatment with dilute NaOH . Extraction with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, drying of the combined organic layers with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtration, and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a product which was purified by recrystallization.

Procedure C: A solution of the benzhydryl salt in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 10 equiv. of the freshly distilled or recrystallized pyrrole or indole in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) (An excess of the nucleophile is necessary for trapping the protons which are released during the electrophilic substitution. Reactions with equimolar amounts of the reactants usually do not proceed quantitatively and lead to the formation of side products, e.g., disubstituted arenes). After fading of the color, the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography.
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-(Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methyl)cyclopentylidene)pyrrolidinium tetrafluoroborate (21a) was obtained from 1-( $N$-pyrrolidino)cyclopentene (21) ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ as an orange solid $(144 \mathrm{mg}, 62 \%)$ following Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.50-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-$ $4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.42,6.64(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.2(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4)$, $22.0\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.6 / 24.8\left(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $27.7\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 30.0,34.4(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{C}-5)$, $50.5\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 50.9, 53.7 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ and C-2), 55.0/55.2 (2 t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 121.7 (s, Ar), 126.6/126.9 (2 d, Ar), 128.7 (s, Ar), 141.9/142.1 (2 s, Ar), 197.0 (s, C-1).


21a

2-(Bis( $\boldsymbol{N}$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methyl)cyclopentanone (21b) was obtained from 1-( $N$-pyrrolidino)cyclopentene (21) ( $137 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$ ( $392 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) following Procedure B. Crystallization ( EtOH ) of the crude product gave a brown solid ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.65-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, 5$ $\times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.59-2.86 (m, $\left.11 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2 \times \mathrm{NMe}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.13-3.18\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{3} J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.42-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=20.6$ (t, C-4), 22.5/22.6 (2 t, CH 2 ), 27.1 (t, C-3), 27.8/27.9 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 38.6 (t, C-5), 39.1/39.2 (2 q, NMe), 48.2 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 51.30/51.35 (2 t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 53.7 (d, C-2), 110.6/110.8 (2 d, Ar), 122.4/122.7 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 126.5/127.7, 129.1/129.6 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}$, Ar), 130.9/132.0 (2 s, Ar), 145.0 (s, Ar), 220.4 (s, C-1); MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 388 (4) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 305$ (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right], 269$ (52), 207 (12).

NHB 19U


21b

2-(Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl)cyclohexanone ${ }^{[26]}$ (22b) was obtained from 1-( $N$ pyrrolidino)cyclohexene (22) ( $151 \mathrm{mg}, 0.998 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (dma) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 mL ) following Procedure B. Recrystallization (EtOH) furnished 22b as a light-brown solid ( $229 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) which showed identical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra as the corresponding sample that was obtained from 1-(morpholino)cyclohexene and $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in ref. [26].

NHB23U

## $\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-(Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methyl)cyclopentylidene)piperidinium tetrafluoroborate (23a)

 was obtained from 1-( $N$-piperidino)cyclopentene (23) ( $54 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$ $(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.360 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a dark orange solid ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) following Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.30-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.87(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-3.11(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 3.27-3.90 (m, 3 H ), $4.22\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.41,6.55(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$; MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 504 (1), 464 (2), 442 (12), 438 (10), 373 (4), 357 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right]$.

23a

2-(Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl)cyclopentanone ${ }^{[22]}$ (23b) was obtained from 1-( N piperidino)cyclopentene (23) (153 mg, 1.01 mmol$)$ and (dma) 2 $^{(2+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ following Procedure B. Crystallization (EtOH) of the crude product gave a light brown solid ( $166 \mathrm{mg}, 49 \%$ ) which showed identical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra as the corresponding
sample that was obtained from 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclopentene and (dma) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in ref. [22].

NHB27U

2-(Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methyl)cyclopentanone (25b) was obtained from 1-( $N$-morpholino)cyclopentene (25) ( $0.216 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(510 \mathrm{mg}, 1.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as described in Procedure B. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.66-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and 4$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 1.99-2.10 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.15-2.27 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and $5-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ), 2.56-2.67 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.72-2.95 (m, $9 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ and $4 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.12-3.23 (m, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.42\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=4.3\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.51,6.62,6.73,6.85(4 \mathrm{~s}, 4 \times 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $=20.43(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-4), 23.19 / 23.25,23.82,23.87\left(2 \times 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 27.22(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-3)$, 28.67/28.76(2 t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 38.37 (t, C-5), 47.48/47.56 (2 t, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 49.49 ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 53.81 (d, C-2), 55.20/55.29 (2 $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 118.65/118.78 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 121.96/122.58, 125.82/126.75 ( $2 \times 2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 128.28/128.55, $133.76 / 134.90(2 \times 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}), 148.05$ (s, Ar), 220.08 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-COSY experiments; MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 412 (14) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 329$ (100), 165 (12).

BKE002


25b

2-(Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl)cyclopentenylidene)morpholinium tetrafluoro-
borate (25a) was obtained from 1-( $N$-morpholino)cyclopentene (25) ( $0.168 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(380 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ following Procedure A: pale green powder ( 498 $\mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.89-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ and 4-H), 2.70-2.79 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 / 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.86-2.95 (m, partially superimposed, $1 \mathrm{H}, 1 / 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.86, $2.90(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \times 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), $3.00-3.29\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 3.60-4.04\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ ), 6.59-6.69 (m, 4 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $7.02-7.05,7.15-7.18(2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \times 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=19.85$ (t, C-4), 29.57 (t, C-3), 33.13 (t, C-5), 40.34/40.39 ( 2 q, NMe $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ), 50.74, 51.09 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$ ), $54.23 / 54.89,64.69 / 65.10\left(2 \times 2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 112.68 / 112.77$ ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 127.74/128.69 (2 s, Ar), 128.91/129.40 (2 d, Ar), 149.52/149.88 (2 s, Ar), 200.32 (s, C-1), signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-COSY experiments.

$N$-(3,3-Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-2,2-dimethylpropylidene)morpholinium tetrafluoroborate (29a) was generated by mixing ( $N$-morpholino)isobutene (29) $(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was transferred into an NMR tube and analyzed without isolation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=1.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.35,3.67,3.90,4.00\left(4 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}, 4 \times 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, morpholino- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.25\left(\mathrm{q}, J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 4.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.82-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, $8.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}^{+}=\mathrm{CH}\right)$.

BKE055


29a

3,3-Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-2,2-dimethylpropanal (29b) was obtained from ( N morpholino)isobutene (29) ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (dma) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{-}(379 \mathrm{mg}, 0.942$ mmol ) following Procedure B. Crystallization from ethanol gave 29b as beige needles (170 $\mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). M.p. $102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethanol); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.12\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 2.89 (s, $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), 3.99 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.60-6.66, 7.08-7.13 ( $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \times 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 9.69 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.5\left(\mathrm{q}, 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right), 49.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}-$ 2), 56.9 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 112.3 (d, Ar), 129.3 (s, Ar), 130.2 (d, Ar), 149.0 (s, Ar), 207.0 (d, C-1); MS (EI, 70 eV ): m/z (\%): 324 (2) [M $\left.{ }^{+}\right], 254$ (34), 253 (100), 237 (14), 126 (20); elemental analysis calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (324.47): C 77.74, H 8.70, N 8.63 ; found: C 77.74, H 8.87, N 8.73.


3,3-Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)-2-phenylpropanal (27b) was obtained from (trans)- $\beta$-( $N$ morpholino)styrene (27) ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (dpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(589 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ following Procedure B. Crystallization of the crude product gave a pale green solid ( 432 mg , $70 \%$ ). M.p. $130-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n\right.$-pentane); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=4.30\left(\mathrm{dd},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=\right.$ $\left.11.7 \mathrm{~Hz},{ }^{3} J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.56\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{C} H\right), 6.74-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 33 \mathrm{H}$, ArH ), $9.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=51.3\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right)$, 63.9 (d, C-2), 122.6, 122.9, 123.9, 124.0, 124.1, 127.6, 128.9, 129.0, 129.2, 129.2, 129.4, 129.6 (12 d, Ar), 134.9, 136.0, 136.1, 146.0, 146.6, 147.8 ( $6 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 199.3 (d, C-1).

NHB21U


27b
$\boldsymbol{N}$-(3,3-Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)-1-phenylpropylidene)morpholinium tetrafluoroborate (31a) was obtained from $\alpha$-( $N$-morpholino)styrene (31) ( 51 mg , $0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.272 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a pale violet solid ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ) following Procedure A. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.55-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}$, morpholino$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 2-\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 4.17\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 6.73-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=44.1$ (t, C-2), $47.0\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{tq}, J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=30.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 53.5, 56.1, 65.9, 66.4 (4 t, morpholino- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 119.7, 123.1, 124.0 (3 d, Ar), 127.0 (s, Ar), 127.4, 128.5, 129.6, 129.7, 132.7 (5 d, Ar), 131.8, 134.6, 146.8 (3 s, Ar), 188.1 (s, C1), the signal for $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ could not be identified.


31a

3,3-Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)-1-phenylpropan-1-one (31b) was obtained from $\alpha$-( $N$ morpholino)styrene (31) ( $189 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(589 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ following Procedure B. Crystallization of the crude product gave a pale green solid ( 284 mg , $46 \%)$. M.p. $96-97{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n\right.$-pentane) ; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.67\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.2\right.$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 4.71\left(\mathrm{t},{ }^{3} \mathrm{~J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.95-7.93$ (m, $33 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=45.07$ (t, C-2), 45.09 (d, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 122.6, 124.0, 124.1, 128.1, 128.6, 129.1, 133.0 (7 d, Ar), 137.2, 138.5, 146.0, 147.8 (4 s, Ar), 198.5 (s, C-1); MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): $620(22)\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 501$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right], 251$ (10); elemental analysis calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (620.8): C 87.07, H 5.84, N 4.51; found C 87.26, H 6.00, N 4.42.

NHB35U


31b

N-(2-(Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl)cyclopentylidene)methylphenylammonium
tetrafluoroborate (34a) was obtained from 1-(methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) (72 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(142 \mathrm{mg}, 0.417 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a green solid ( $197 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) following Procedure A. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.4(\mathrm{t})$, $29.8(\mathrm{t}), 36.0(\mathrm{t}), 40.4(\mathrm{q}$, $\mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), 40.6 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$ ), 48.8, 51.3, 52.1 ( 2 d and $1 \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$, and $\mathrm{N}^{+} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 112.6, 112.9 (2 d, Ar), 117.4 (s, Ar), 122.9, 123.4, 129.1, 129.4, 130.7 ( 5 d, Ar), 143.4, 149.6, 150.0 (3 s, Ar), 205.5 (s, C-1); MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 426 (2) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 253$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right], 237$ (11), 174 (13).


34a

2-(Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl)cyclohexanone (35b) ${ }^{[26]}$ was obtained from 1(methylphenylamino)cyclohexene (35) ( $187 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (dpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(589 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ following Procedure B. Crystallization $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n\right.$-pentane) of the crude product gave 35b as a pale brown solid ( $207 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) which showed identical ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra as the corresponding sample that was obtained from 1-(trimethylsiloxy)cyclohexene 17 and (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in ref. [26].

NHB31U

2-(Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)pyrrole (42c) was obtained from pyrrole (42) ( $179 \mathrm{mg}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( pfa$)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.267 \mathrm{mmol})$ following Procedure C. Column chromatography (silica gel/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) gave a colorless solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 32$ $\%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=4.19\left(\mathrm{q},{ }^{3} J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), $5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), $5.71-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.73(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=$ $49.1\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.0\left(\mathrm{tq}, J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=33 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right) 107.8,108.3,117.1,120.8,121.7,123.0$ ( $6 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $125.2\left(\mathrm{sq}, J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=287 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 129.5, 129.8 (2 d, Ar), 133.8, 137.2, 146.1, 147.3 ( $4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{COSY}$ experiments.

BKE067


42c

3-(Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)pyrrole (44c): A solution of $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.317 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of $N$-(triisopropylsilyl)pyrrole (44) (181 mg, 0.814 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 30 mL ). After

5 min a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF ( $c=1 \mathrm{M}, 1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was subsequently washed with 0.2 M hydrochloric acid ( 50 mL ) and water ( 50 mL ). Separation of the organic layer, drying over $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, and evaporation of the solvent in vacuo gave a brownish oil ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ). Purification by column chromatography (silica gel/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) gave 44c ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{4 2 c}(17 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \%)$. Characterization of $\mathbf{4 4 c}$ : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=4.27\left(\mathrm{q}, J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), 5.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ ), 6.05 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.36 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.75 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H}$ ), $6.91-7.33$ (m, 18 H , ArH ), 8.02 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=48.6\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.1$ (tq, $J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=32.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 109.2 (d, C-5), 116.9 (d, C-2), 118.1 (d, C-4), 120.5, 121.7, $122.2,129.4,129.5$ ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 125.3 (sq, $J=279.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 127.1, 140.2, 145.4, 147.6 (4 s Ar), signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{COSY}$, gHMBC and NOESY experiments.

BKE073


44c

3-(Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)indole (45d) was obtained from indole ( $\mathbf{4 5}$ ) ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ( pfa$)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) following Procedure C. Column chromatography (silica gel/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) gave a colorless solid ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 70$ \%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=4.20\left(\mathrm{q}, J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right.$ ), $5.52(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.83-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.86(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=47.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.0\left(\mathrm{tq}, J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=30.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 111.0$, 119.4, 119.9 (3d, Ar), 120.1 (s, Ar), 120.9, 121.4, 122.1, 122.4 (4 d, Ar), 123.8 (d, C-2), 125.2 (sq, $J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=283 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 126.9 (s, Ar), 129.4, 129.9 (2 d, Ar), 136.7, 138.5, 145.6, 147.5 ( 4 s , Ar), signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-COSY experiments; MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 628 (35) [M] ${ }^{+}, 513$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 379$ (18), 264 (75).


1-Methyl-3-(bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)indole (46d) was obtained from $N$-methylindole (46) ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.275$ mmol ) following Procedure C. Column chromatography (silica gel/ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) gave a colorless solid ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.65\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), $5.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 6.84-7.24$ (m, $22 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), the presence of additional resonances indicate a contamination of the sample with ca. $15 \%$ of 46; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=32.7\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 47.4\left(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.1(\mathrm{tq}, \mathrm{J}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=38$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 109.1, 118.8, 119.9, 120.9, 121.4, 121.6, 122.4 (7 d, Ar), 128.5 (d, C-2), 129.4, 129.9 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 138.7, 145.6, 147.5 ( $3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), because of the low signal-to-noise ratio $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ and three aromatic carbons could not be identified in the spectra, signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-COSY experiments; MS (70 eV, EI): $m / z$ (\%): 642 (32) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 513$ (57) [ $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 393$ (44), 264 (42).

BKE065


46d

## 1,2-Dimethyl-3-(bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl)indole

was obtained from 1,2-dimethylindole (47) (469 mg, 3.23 mmol ) and ( pfa$)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$(194 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.323 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) following Procedure C . Column chromatography (silica gel $/ \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) gave a colorless solid ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ ), 3.57 (s,
$\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 4.18\left(\mathrm{q}, J(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F})=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 5.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 6.83-7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, $22 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$, the presence of additional resonances indicate a contamination of the sample with ca. $15 \%$ of $\mathbf{6 c} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=10.7\left(\mathrm{q}, 2-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 29.5\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NCH}_{3}\right), 46.7(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.0\left(\mathrm{tq}, J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=30.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right), 108.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}), 113.5(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}), 118.7,119.5$, 120.2, 120.3, 122.0 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 125.2 ( sq, $J(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F})=287 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ), 127.3 (s, Ar), 129.3, 130.2 (2 d, Ar), 133.7, 136.7, 139.1, 145.3, 147.7 ( $5 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); MS (70 eV, EI): m/z (\%): 657 (38) $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}\right], 513$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right], 407$ (61), 264 (14).


47d
10.3.5 Concentrations and rate constants of the individual kinetic runs

Table 10.28: 1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclopentene (21) and (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $011200-\mathrm{F}$ | $8.456 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.436 \times 10^{-4}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $1.251 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{B}$ | $8.456 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.871 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 20.0 | $1.245 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{C}$ | $8.456 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.307 \times 10^{-4}$ | 51 | 20.0 | $1.307 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{D}$ | $8.456 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.743 \times 10^{-4}$ | 68 | 20.0 | $1.203 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{E}$ | $8.456 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.178 \times 10^{-4}$ | 85 | 20.0 | $1.290 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.259 \pm 0.037) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.29: 1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclopentene (21) and (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $011200-\mathrm{M}$ | $7.130 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.425 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $3.387 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{O}$ | $7.130 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.851 \times 10^{-4}$ | 40 | 20.0 | $3.171 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{I}$ | $7.130 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.276 \times 10^{-4}$ | 60 | 20.0 | $3.182 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{J}$ | $7.130 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.702 \times 10^{-4}$ | 80 | 20.0 | $3.459 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $011200-\mathrm{K}$ | $7.130 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.127 \times 10^{-4}$ | 100 | 20.0 | $3.419 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.324 \pm 0.122) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.30: 1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclopentene (21) and (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100501-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.482 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.4 | 20.0 | $4.707 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100501-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.351 \times 10^{-5}$ | 5.1 | 20.0 | $4.112 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100501-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.220 \times 10^{-5}$ | 6.8 | 20.0 | $4.698 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100501-\mathrm{A}$ | $5.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.088 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8.5 | 20.0 | $4.176 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100501-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.957 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $4.693 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.477 \pm 0.273) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.31: 1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclohexene (22) and (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 241100.PA1 | $2.407 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.500 \times 10^{-3}$ | 62 | 53 | -81.3 | $2.581 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 241100.PA2 | $2.110 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.258 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 62 | -72.9 | $4.786 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 241100.PA3 | $3.194 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.124 \times 10^{-4}$ | 19 | 55 | -61.4 | $8.234 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 241100.PA4 | $3.355 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.859 \times 10^{-4}$ | 12 | 69 | -50.9 | $1.304 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 241100.PA5 | $3.137 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.804 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6 | 71 | -40.2 | $2.006 \times 10^{3}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=16.426 \pm 0.702 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-108.901 \pm 3.341 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9945$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.482 \pm 0.167) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.32: 1-(N-Pyrrolidino)cyclohexene (22) and (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $021200-\mathrm{F}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.386 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $4.183 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $021200-\mathrm{I}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.079 \times 10^{-4}$ | 31 | 20.0 | $4.722 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $021200-\mathrm{B}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.772 \times 10^{-4}$ | 41 | 20.0 | $4.451 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $021200-\mathrm{J}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.464 \times 10^{-4}$ | 52 | 20.0 | $4.841 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $021200-\mathrm{C}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.157 \times 10^{-4}$ | 62 | 20.0 | $4.732 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.586 \pm 0.239) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.33: 1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclohexene (22) and (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030501-\mathrm{C}$ | $6.119 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.565 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11 | 20.0 | $7.508 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030501-\mathrm{B}$ | $6.119 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.313 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $7.000 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030501-\mathrm{A}$ | $6.119 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.626 \times 10^{-4}$ | 43 | 20.0 | $7.377 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.295 \pm 0.215) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.34: 1-( $N$-Pyrrolidino)cyclohexene (22) and (dma) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $160501-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.033 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.244 \times 10^{-5}$ | 3.0 | 20.0 | $4.972 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $160501-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.033 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.241 \times 10^{-5}$ | 5.0 | 20.0 | $5.438 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $160501-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.033 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.238 \times 10^{-5}$ | 6.9 | 20.0 | $5.755 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $160501-\mathrm{A}$ | $5.033 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.733 \times 10^{-5}$ | 9.9 | 20.0 | $5.172 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.334 \pm 0.294) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.35: 1-( $N$-Piperidino)cyclopentene (23) and (lii) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100500.PA1 | $4.658 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.218 \times 10^{-4}$ | 13 | 74 | -77.7 | $2.301 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 100500.PA2 | $5.053 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.745 \times 10^{-4}$ | 13 | 60 | -71.8 | $3.297 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 100500.PA3 | $4.417 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.527 \times 10^{-4}$ | 13 | 46 | -61.7 | $5.338 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 100500.PA5 | $4.738 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.744 \times 10^{-4}$ | 10 | 74 | -51.9 | $8.379 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 100500.PA7 | $5.150 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.644 \times 10^{-4}$ | 5 | 61 | -41.5 | $1.383 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 100500.PA8 | $4.031 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.690 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7 | 35 | -31.7 | $2.196 \times 10^{3}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=17.128 \pm 0.401 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-108.645 \pm 1.862 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9978$
$k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.146 \pm 0.067) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Arrhenius parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\mathrm{a}}=18.928 \pm 0.423 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& \ln A=17.073 \pm 0.236 \\
& r^{2}=0.9980
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.36: 1-( $N$-Piperidino)cyclopentene (23) and $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161100.PA0 | $2.200 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.172 \times 10^{-3}$ | 53 | 65 | -80.6 | $6.366 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 161100.PA1 | $1.975 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.209 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 60 | -78.8 | $7.672 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 161100.PA2 | $2.636 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.617 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 41 | -75.3 | $8.529 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 161100.PA3 | $2.260 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.854 \times 10^{-4}$ | 17 | 33 | -70.0 | $1.141 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 161100.PA4 | $4.256 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.883 \times 10^{-4}$ | 11 | 73 | -68.1 | $1.296 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 161100.PA5 | $2.835 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.253 \times 10^{-4}$ | 11 | 55 | -60.5 | $2.145 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 161100.PA6 | $3.815 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.127 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8 | 48 | -53.1 | $2.964 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 161100.PA7 | $3.427 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.685 \times 10^{-4}$ | 5 | 53 | -48.7 | $3.665 \times 10^{3}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=17.969 \pm 0.556 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-94.280 \pm 2.707 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9943$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.565 \pm 0.444) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Arrhenius parameters:

$$
E_{\mathrm{a}}=19.695 \pm 0.561 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$\ln A=18.757 \pm 0.329$
$r^{2}=0.9952$

Table 10.37: 1-( $N$-Piperidino)cyclopentene (23) and (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=624 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $171100-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.963 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.034 \times 10^{-4}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $4.333 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $171100-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.963 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.067 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $4.429 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $171100-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.963 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.101 \times 10^{-4}$ | 31 | 20.0 | $4.455 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $171100-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.963 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.135 \times 10^{-4}$ | 41 | 20.0 | $4.451 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $171100-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.963 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.017 \times 10^{-4}$ | 52 | 20.0 | $4.538 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.441 \pm 0.066) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.38: 1-( $N$-Piperidino)cyclopentene (23) and (dma) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~T} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $220301-\mathrm{C}$ | $3.351 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.845 \times 10^{-5}$ | 6 | 20.0 | $3.766 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 220301-B | $3.351 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.074 \times 10^{-5}$ | 9 | 20.0 | $3.605 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 220301-D | $3.351 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.304 \times 10^{-5}$ | 13 | 20.0 | $3.703 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 220301-A | $3.351 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.149 \times 10^{-5}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $3.656 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.683 \pm 0.059) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.39: 1-( $N$-Morpholino)cyclopentene (25) and (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640$ nm (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 040500.PA0 | $3.707 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.173 \times 10^{-3}$ | 32 | 84 | -73.7 | 3.151 |
| 040500.PA1 | $3.123 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.904 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 84 | -62.6 | 6.784 |
| 040500.PA2 | $2.802 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.433 \times 10^{-4}$ | 16 | 86 | -52.1 | $1.385 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 040500.PA3 | $2.455 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.768 \times 10^{-4}$ | 32 | 93 | -40.8 | $2.848 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 040500.PA5 | $2.623 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.639 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 84 | -30.8 | $4.988 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 040500.PA6 | $2.382 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.522 \times 10^{-4}$ | 19 | 90 | -20.4 | $8.150 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 040500.PA7 | $2.468 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.904 \times 10^{-4}$ | 16 | 66 | -10.5 | $1.358 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 040500.PA8 | $2.743 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.941 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 71 | 0.2 | $2.135 \times 10^{2}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=24.099 \pm 0.154 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-111.413 \pm 0.661 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9998$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.703 \pm 0.075) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=26.033 \pm 0.164 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=16.814 \pm 0.085$
$r^{2}=0.9998$

Table 10.40: 1-( $N$-Morpholino)cyclopentene (25) and (thq) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640$ nm (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 080520.PA1 | $2.363 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.122 \times 10^{-4}$ | 9 | 85 | -71.7 | $4.398 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 080520.PA2 | $3.623 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.163 \times 10^{-4}$ | 12 | 66 | -61.2 | $8.128 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 080520.PA4 | $2.476 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.779 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7 | 66 | -50.5 | $1.345 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 080520.PA5 | $4.099 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.265 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6 | 56 | -40.6 | $1.846 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 080520.PA6 | $2.423 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.045 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4 | 51 | -30.9 | $3.215 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 080520.PA7 | $3.374 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.118 \times 10^{-4}$ | 3 | 47 | -20.7 | $4.738 \times 10^{3}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=17.550 \pm 0.652 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\ddagger}=-103.905 \pm 2.896 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9945$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=19.419 \pm 0.663 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=17.682 \pm 0.354$
$r^{2}=0.9954$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.705 \pm 0.136) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.41: 1-( $N$-Morpholino)cyclopentene (25) and (dma) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $190401-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.080 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.628 \times 10^{-5}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $2.323 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $190401-\mathrm{A}$ | $5.080 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.726 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 20.0 | $2.557 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $190401-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.080 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.588 \times 10^{-4}$ | 51 | 20.0 | $2.421 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $190401-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.080 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.451 \times 10^{-4}$ | 68 | 20.0 | $2.446 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.437 \pm 0.083) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.42: 1-( $N$-Morpholino)cyclopentene (25) and (mpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $150501-\mathrm{C}$ | $4.911 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.733 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $9.585 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150501-\mathrm{B}$ | $4.911 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.466 \times 10^{-5}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $9.952 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150501-\mathrm{E}$ | $4.911 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.120 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $9.585 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150501-\mathrm{F}$ | $4.911 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.493 \times 10^{-4}$ | 30 | 20.0 | $9.669 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150501-\mathrm{D}$ | $4.911 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.867 \times 10^{-4}$ | 38 | 20.0 | $9.742 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(9.707 \pm 0.136) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.43: (trans)-1-( $N$-Morpholino)propene (27) and (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $270801-\mathrm{E}$ | $4.962 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.488 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11 | 20.0 | $7.722 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270801-\mathrm{D}$ | $4.962 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.098 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $7.909 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270801-\mathrm{A}$ | $4.962 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.646 \times 10^{-4}$ | 33 | 20.0 | $7.380 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270801-\mathrm{B}$ | $4.962 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.195 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $7.250 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270801-\mathrm{C}$ | $4.962 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.744 \times 10^{-4}$ | 55 | 20.0 | $6.960 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.444 \pm 0.338) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.44: (cis)-1-( $N$-Morpholino)propene ${ }^{[\mathrm{ad}]}(\mathbf{2 8})$ and (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $170801-\mathrm{B}$ | $4.650 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.394 \times 10^{-5}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $1.148 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $170801-\mathrm{C}$ | $4.650 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.079 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $1.036 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $170801-\mathrm{A}$ | $4.650 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.618 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 20.0 | $9.775 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $170801-\mathrm{D}$ | $4.650 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.157 \times 10^{-4}$ | 46 | 20.0 | $1.141 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $170801-\mathrm{E}$ | $4.650 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.697 \times 10^{-4}$ | 58 | 20.0 | $1.046 \times 10^{6}$ |

${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ contaminated by $11 \%$ of (trans)-1-( $N$-morpholino)propene (27) ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR)

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.070 \pm 0.065) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.45: ( $N$-Morpholino)isobutylene (29) and (dma) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=600 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[\mathrm{El}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $\mathrm{~T} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 050701.PA1 | $6.349 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.314 \times 10^{-3}$ | 37 | 81 | -73.6 | 2.133 |
| 050701.PA2 | $6.314 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.301 \times 10^{-3}$ | 37 | 77 | -59.6 | 5.751 |
| 050701.PA3 | $5.656 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.298 \times 10^{-3}$ | 58 | 57 | -49.8 | $1.039 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 050701.PA4 | $5.801 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.537 \times 10^{-3}$ | 44 | 61 | -40.7 | $1.801 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 050701.PA5 | $6.162 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.797 \times 10^{-3}$ | 29 | 51 | -29.7 | $3.048 \times 10^{1}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=22.749 \pm 0.282 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-121.183 \pm 1.276 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9995$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=24.575 \pm 0.260 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=15.580 \pm 0.142$
$r^{2}=0.9997$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.528 \pm 0.095) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.46: ( $N$-Morpholino)isobutylene (29) and (mpa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $090701-\mathrm{E}$ | $9.735 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.255 \times 10^{-4}$ | 33 | 20.0 | 1.250 |
| $090701-\mathrm{D}$ | $9.735 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.509 \times 10^{-4}$ | 67 | 20.0 | 2.223 |
| $090701-\mathrm{A}$ | $9.735 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.764 \times 10^{-4}$ | 100 | 20.0 | 3.274 |
| $090701-\mathrm{B}$ | $9.735 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.302 \times 10^{-3}$ | 134 | 20.0 | 4.322 |
| $090701-\mathrm{C}$ | $9.735 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.627 \times 10^{-3}$ | 167 | 20.0 | 5.611 |



Figure 10.1: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(3.325 \times 10^{3}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+8.931 \times 10^{-2}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9972 ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.325 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=8.931 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.723 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.47: ( $N$-Morpholino)isobutylene (29) and (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030701-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.322 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $2.312 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.464 \times 10^{-4}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $2.368 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.197 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $2.383 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.929 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 20.0 | $2.434 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.661 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 20.0 | $2.557 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.411 \pm 0.083) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.48: ( $N$-Morpholino)isobutylene (29) and (mfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030701-\mathrm{J}$ | $1.033 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.365 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $1.251 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{I}$ | $1.033 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.473 \times 10^{-4}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $1.273 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.033 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.209 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $1.283 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{G}$ | $1.033 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.946 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $1.298 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.033 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.682 \times 10^{-4}$ | 36 | 20.0 | $1.311 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.283 \pm 0.021) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.49: ( $N$-Morpholino)isobutylene (29) and (pfa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030701-\mathrm{O}$ | $6.030 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.246 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $4.087 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{N}$ | $6.030 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.249 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $4.260 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{K}$ | $6.030 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.874 \times 10^{-4}$ | 31 | 20.0 | $4.195 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $030701-\mathrm{L}$ | $6.030 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.498 \times 10^{-4}$ | 41 | 20.0 | $4.420 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.240 \pm 0.121) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.50: (trans)- $\beta$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (30) and (mpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 622 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120701-\mathrm{I}$ | $6.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.041 \times 10^{-4}$ | 83 | 20.0 | $1.626 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{K}$ | $6.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.561 \times 10^{-4}$ | 124 | 20.0 | $1.476 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{J}$ | $6.099 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.008 \times 10^{-3}$ | 165 | 20.0 | $1.371 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.491 \pm 0.105) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.51: (trans)- $\beta$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (30) and (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120701-\mathrm{E}$ | $6.580 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.008 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $2.118 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{D}$ | $6.580 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.016 \times 10^{-4}$ | 31 | 20.0 | $2.247 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{A}$ | $6.580 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.024 \times 10^{-4}$ | 46 | 20.0 | $2.218 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{B}$ | $6.580 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.033 \times 10^{-4}$ | 61 | 20.0 | $2.258 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{C}$ | $6.580 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.041 \times 10^{-4}$ | 77 | 20.0 | $2.212 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.210 \pm 0.050) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.52: (trans)- $\beta$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (30) and (mfa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120701-\mathrm{G}$ | $9.005 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | 6 | 20.0 | $1.128 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{F}$ | $9.005 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.008 \times 10^{-4}$ | 11 | 20.0 | $1.075 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{H}$ | $9.005 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.016 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $9.943 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.066 \pm 0.055) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.53: (trans)- $\beta$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (30) and (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120701-\mathrm{M}$ | $6.823 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.041 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $3.995 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{N}$ | $6.823 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.057 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $3.675 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $120701-\mathrm{L}$ | $6.823 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.008 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $3.481 \times 10^{6}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.717 \pm 0.212) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.54: $\alpha$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (31) and (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 010601.PA2 | $2.987 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.332 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 78 | 20.0 | $2.347 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 010601.PA0 | $3.442 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.982 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 79 | 20.0 | $2.330 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 010601.PA1 | $2.929 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.124 \times 10^{-3}$ | 73 | 70 | 20.0 | $2.356 \times 10^{1}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.344 \pm 0.011) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.55: $\alpha$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (31) and (dma) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=600 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 310501.PA1 | $3.767 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.023 \times 10^{-3}$ | 27 | 68 | -50.0 | 1.692 |
| 310501.PA2 | $4.421 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.200 \times 10^{-3}$ | 27 | 79 | -41.2 | 3.809 |
| 310501.PA3 | $3.919 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.513 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 80 | -29.9 | 8.979 |
| 310501.PA4 | $3.160 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.148 \times 10^{-4}$ | 16 | 76 | -20.6 | $1.818 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 310501.PA5 | $3.088 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.354 \times 10^{-4}$ | 11 | 81 | -10.3 | $3.519 \times 10^{1}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=35.203 \pm 0.413 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-80.197 \pm 1.709 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9996$

$$
k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.111 \pm 0.076) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.56: $\alpha$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (31) and (mpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~T} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300501-E | $1.347 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.220 \times 10^{-4}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $1.540 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 300501-D | $1.347 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.440 \times 10^{-4}$ | 33 | 20.0 | $1.552 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $300501-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.347 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.661 \times 10^{-4}$ | 49 | 20.0 | $1.793 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 300501-C | $1.347 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.881 \times 10^{-4}$ | 66 | 20.0 | $1.731 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 300501-B | $1.347 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.110 \times 10^{-3}$ | 82 | 20.0 | $1.776 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.678 \pm 0.110) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.57: $\alpha$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (31) and (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290501-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.380 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.073 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $1.327 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-B | $1.380 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.145 \times 10^{-4}$ | 16 | 20.0 | $1.345 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-C | $1.380 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.218 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $1.353 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-D | $1.380 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.290 \times 10^{-4}$ | 31 | 20.0 | $1.377 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-A | $1.380 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.363 \times 10^{-4}$ | 39 | 20.0 | $1.375 \times 10^{4}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.355 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.58: $\alpha$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (31) and (mfa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290501-\mathrm{J}$ | $7.292 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.073 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $6.428 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-F | $7.292 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.145 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $6.512 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $290501-\mathrm{I}$ | $7.292 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.218 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $6.737 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-H | $7.292 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.290 \times 10^{-4}$ | 59 | 20.0 | $6.763 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 290501-G | $7.292 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.363 \times 10^{-4}$ | 74 | 20.0 | $6.940 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.676 \pm 0.184) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.59: $\alpha$-( $N$-Morpholino)styrene (31) and (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290501-\mathrm{O}$ | $5.251 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.073 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $2.403 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $290501-\mathrm{K}$ | $5.251 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.145 \times 10^{-4}$ | 41 | 20.0 | $2.352 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $290501-\mathrm{N}$ | $5.251 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.218 \times 10^{-4}$ | 61 | 20.0 | $2.515 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 290501-M | $5.251 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.290 \times 10^{-4}$ | 82 | 20.0 | $2.573 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 290501-L | $5.251 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.363 \times 10^{-4}$ | 102 | 20.0 | $2.611 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.491 \pm 0.099) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.60: Ethyl (trans)-3-( $N$-morpholino)acrylate (32) and (pfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda$ $=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $240701-\mathrm{E}$ | $6.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.234 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 20.0 | 6.459 |
| 240701-D | $6.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.468 \times 10^{-4}$ | 70 | 20.0 | $1.109 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 240701-A | $6.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.702 \times 10^{-4}$ | 105 | 20.0 | $1.490 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240701-\mathrm{B}$ | $6.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.936 \times 10^{-4}$ | 140 | 20.0 | $2.008 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $240701-\mathrm{C}$ | $6.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.117 \times 10^{-3}$ | 175 | 20.0 | $2.452 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.2: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\mathrm{eff}}=\left(2.019 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+1.874, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ $0.9984)$.
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.019 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.874 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.077 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$

Table 10.61: Ethyl (trans)-3-(dimethylamino)acrylate (33) and (pfa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $240801-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.589 \times 10^{-4}$ | 30 | 20.0 | $1.128 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $240801-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.384 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $1.027 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $240801-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.179 \times 10^{-4}$ | 59 | 20.0 | $1.039 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $240801-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.974 \times 10^{-4}$ | 74 | 20.0 | $1.064 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $240801-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.397 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.563 \times 10^{-4}$ | 103 | 20.0 | $1.112 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.074 \pm 0.040) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.62: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) and (lil) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 640 nm (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 210601.PA0 | $3.690 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.106 \times 10^{-3}$ | 30 | 76 | -47.8 | 2.182 |
| 210601.PA1 | $3.383 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.268 \times 10^{-3}$ | 38 | 74 | -40.5 | 4.464 |
| 210601.PA2 | $2.473 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.473 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 70 | -30.9 | 8.740 |
| 210601.PA3 | $3.068 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.898 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 65 | -20.4 | $1.820 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 210601.PA4 | $2.584 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.640 \times 10^{-4}$ | 26 | 59 | -11.2 | $3.392 \times 10^{1}$ |



Eyring parameters:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta H^{\dagger}=34.168 \pm 0.786 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
& \Delta S^{\dagger}=-84.105 \pm 3.248 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \\
& r^{2}=0.9984
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.017 \pm 0.137) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

## Arrhenius parameters:

$E_{\mathrm{a}}=36.186 \pm 0.776 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=20.138 \pm 0.386$
$r^{2}=0.9986$

Table 10.63: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) and (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ 642 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $180601-\mathrm{L}$ | $5.131 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.036 \times 10^{-4}$ | 40 | 20.0 | $4.175 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{K}$ | $5.131 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.394 \times 10^{-4}$ | 66 | 20.0 | $4.330 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{M}$ | $5.131 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.787 \times 10^{-4}$ | 132 | 20.0 | $4.435 \times 10^{2}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.313 \pm 0.107) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.64: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) and (thq) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 628 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $180601-\mathrm{J}$ | $6.037 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.357 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $4.835 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{G}$ | $6.037 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.036 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 20.0 | $5.101 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{H}$ | $6.037 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.715 \times 10^{-4}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $5.065 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{I}$ | $6.037 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.394 \times 10^{-4}$ | 56 | 20.0 | $5.044 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.011 \pm 0.104) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.65: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) and (dma) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 613 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $180601-\mathrm{F}$ | $7.149 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.014 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $4.664 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 180601-D | $7.149 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.203 \times 10^{-4}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $4.808 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{A}$ | $7.149 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.804 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 20.0 | $5.082 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.149 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.406 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 20.0 | $5.152 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $180601-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.149 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.007 \times 10^{-4}$ | 42 | 20.0 | $5.097 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.961 \pm 0.190) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.66: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) and (mpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 622 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $130601-\mathrm{K}$ | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.464 \times 10^{-5}$ | 13 | 20.0 | $3.334 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 130601-G | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.293 \times 10^{-4}$ | 26 | 20.0 | $3.265 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $130601-\mathrm{H}$ | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.939 \times 10^{-4}$ | 39 | 20.0 | $3.179 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $130601-\mathrm{I}$ | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.586 \times 10^{-4}$ | 52 | 20.0 | $3.141 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $130601-\mathrm{J}$ | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.232 \times 10^{-4}$ | 65 | 20.0 | $3.125 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.209 \pm 0.079) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.67: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclopentene (34) and (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ 672 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $130601-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.791 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.232 \times 10^{-5}$ | 6 | 20.0 | $2.815 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $130601-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.791 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.818 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $2.738 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $130601-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.791 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.464 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11 | 20.0 | $2.853 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $130601-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.791 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.293 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $2.646 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.763 \pm 0.079) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.68: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclohexene (35) and (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 628 nm (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $070501-02$ | $1.351 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.104 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 86 | 20.0 | $1.136 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $070501-03$ | $1.907 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.214 \times 10^{-4}$ | 43 | 95 | 20.0 | $1.031 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $070501-01$ | $1.736 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.974 \times 10^{-4}$ | 46 | 95 | 20.0 | $1.034 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $070501-04$ | $2.750 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.579 \times 10^{-3}$ | 57 | 93 | 20.0 | $9.521 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.038 \pm 0.065) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.69: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclohexene (35) and (dma) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 613 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} / \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $020501-\mathrm{E}$ | $8.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.141 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $2.023 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $020501-\mathrm{B}$ | $8.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.282 \times 10^{-4}$ | 48 | 20.0 | $4.127 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $020501-\mathrm{C}$ | $8.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.424 \times 10^{-4}$ | 72 | 20.0 | $6.359 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $020501-\mathrm{D}$ | $8.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.565 \times 10^{-4}$ | 96 | 20.0 | $8.813 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $020501-\mathrm{A}$ | $8.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.071 \times 10^{-3}$ | 120 | 20.0 | 1.090 |



Figure 10.3: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(1.048 \times 10^{3}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+2.860 \times 10^{-2}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ $0.9993)$.
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.048 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.860 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.664 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$

Table 10.70: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclohexene (35) and (mpa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\boldsymbol{\lambda}=$ 622 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $300401-\mathrm{L}$ | $4.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.976 \times 10^{-4}$ | 70 | 20.0 | $8.368 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{O}$ | $4.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.968 \times 10^{-4}$ | 93 | 20.0 | $8.503 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{K}$ | $4.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.960 \times 10^{-4}$ | 117 | 20.0 | $8.367 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{N}$ | $4.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.945 \times 10^{-4}$ | 163 | 20.0 | $8.407 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{M}$ | $4.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.921 \times 10^{-4}$ | 233 | 20.0 | $8.492 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.427 \pm 0.059) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.71: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclohexene (35) and (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 672 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300401-J | $5.975 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.921 \times 10^{-5}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $8.602 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{I}$ | $5.975 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.984 \times 10^{-4}$ | 33 | 20.0 | $8.720 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{H}$ | $5.975 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.976 \times 10^{-4}$ | 50 | 20.0 | $8.705 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.975 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.968 \times 10^{-4}$ | 66 | 20.0 | $8.633 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $300401-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.975 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.960 \times 10^{-4}$ | 83 | 20.0 | $8.519 \times 10^{4}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.636 \pm 0.073) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.72: 1-(Methylphenylamino)cyclohexene (35) and (mfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 593 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300401-B | $5.410 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.921 \times 10^{-5}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $3.382 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 300401-A | $5.410 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.984 \times 10^{-4}$ | 37 | 20.0 | $3.357 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 300401-C | $5.410 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.976 \times 10^{-4}$ | 55 | 20.0 | $3.376 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 300401-D | $5.410 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.968 \times 10^{-4}$ | 73 | 20.0 | $3.404 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 300401-E | $5.410 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.960 \times 10^{-4}$ | 92 | 20.0 | $3.497 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.403 \pm 0.049) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.73: 1-(1-Cyclohexenyl)-4-methylpiperazine (36) and (dma) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AO}-142.1$ | $3.94 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.76 \times 10^{-4}$ | 9.5 | 73 | -73.8 | $5.366 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{AO}-139.1$ | $7.90 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.66 \times 10^{-4}$ | 12 | 70 | -70.0 | $6.190 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{AO}-142.2$ | $3.86 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.77 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7.2 | 58 | -60.9 | $1.075 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{AO}-142.3$ | $2.33 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.86 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8.0 | 65 | -50.6 | $1.830 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{AO}-142.4$ | $2.07 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.26 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.0 | 83 | -41.2 | $2.747 \times 10^{3}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\dagger}=17.973 \pm 0.498 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\dagger}=-99.433 \pm 2.339 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9977$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=19.757 \pm 0.499 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=18.171 \pm 0.282$
$r^{2}=0.9981$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.454 \pm 0.188) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1[200]}$

Table 10.74: Pyrrole (42) and (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=600 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. $/ \%$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210801.PA1 | $2.616 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.176 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 55 | 20.0 | $3.083 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 210801.PA2 | $3.242 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.624 \times 10^{-3}$ | 50 | 40 | 20.0 | $3.313 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 210801.PA3 | $2.839 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.319 \times 10^{-3}$ | 117 | 36 | 20.0 | $2.973 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.123 \pm 0.142) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.75: $N$-(Triisopropylsilyl)pyrrole (44) and (dpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290801-01$ | $2.596 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.745 \times 10^{-3}$ | 67 | 37 | 20.0 | $4.367 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $290801-02$ | $2.736 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.218 \times 10^{-3}$ | 118 | 71 | 20.0 | $4.186 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $290801-03$ | $2.437 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.514 \times 10^{-3}$ | 267 | 93 | 20.0 | $4.221 \times 10^{-2}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.258 \pm 0.078) \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.76: $N$-(Triisopropylsilyl)pyrrole (44) and (mfa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $300801-04$ | $1.545 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.022 \times 10^{-3}$ | 131 | 70 | 20.0 | $1.170 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $300801-03$ | $2.035 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.873 \times 10^{-3}$ | 190 | 90 | 20.0 | $1.271 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $300801-05$ | $1.594 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.172 \times 10^{-3}$ | 262 | 87 | 20.0 | $1.126 \times 10^{-1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.189 \pm 0.061) \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.77: $N$-(Triisopropylsilyl)pyrrole (44) and (pfa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $300801-02$ | $1.550 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.682 \times 10^{-4}$ | 50 | 39 | 20.0 | 1.114 |
| $300801-01$ | $1.369 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.695 \times 10^{-3}$ | 124 | 70 | 20.0 | 1.448 |
| $300801-03$ | $1.449 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.872 \times 10^{-3}$ | 198 | 72 | 20.0 | 1.416 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.326 \pm 0.151 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.78: Indole (45) and (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $230501-\mathrm{D}$ | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.250 \times 10^{-4}$ | 105 | 20.0 | $1.298 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 230501-B | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.050 \times 10^{-3}$ | 210 | 20.0 | $1.367 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $230501-\mathrm{C}$ | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.575 \times 10^{-3}$ | 315 | 20.0 | $1.352 \times 10^{2}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.339 \pm 0.030) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.79: $N$-Methylindole (46) and (pfa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $170501-\mathrm{A}$ | $5.297 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.529 \times 10^{-5}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $1.089 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $170501-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.297 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.382 \times 10^{-4}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $1.074 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $170501-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.297 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.764 \times 10^{-4}$ | 90 | 20.0 | $1.083 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $170501-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.297 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.147 \times 10^{-4}$ | 135 | 20.0 | $1.068 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $170501-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.297 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.529 \times 10^{-4}$ | 180 | 20.0 | $1.110 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.085 \pm 0.015) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.80: 1,2-Dimethylindole (47) and (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} / \mathrm{M}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} / \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $050601-\mathrm{I}$ | $4.731 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.352 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $5.666 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $050601-\mathrm{C}$ | $4.731 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.434 \times 10^{-4}$ | 30 | 20.0 | $5.650 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $050601-\mathrm{B}$ | $4.731 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.868 \times 10^{-4}$ | 61 | 20.0 | $5.219 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $050601-\mathrm{A}$ | $4.731 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.301 \times 10^{-4}$ | 91 | 20.0 | $5.335 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.468 \pm 0.195) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

### 10.4 5-Methoxyfuroxano[3,4-d]pyrimidine - a highly reactive neutral electrophile

### 10.4.1 Concentrations and rate constants of the individual kinetic runs

Table 10.81: 5-Methoxyfuroxano[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine (52) and 1-( $N$-morpholino)cyclohexene (20) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=301 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{M})$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $160701-09$ | $1.240 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.226 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4 | 58 | 20.0 | $2.636 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $160701-08$ | $9.712 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.023 \times 10^{-3}$ | 11 | 53 | 20.0 | $2.578 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $160701-07$ | $6.166 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.299 \times 10^{-3}$ | 21 | 55 | 20.0 | $2.688 \times 10^{2}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.634 \pm 0.045) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.82: 5-Methoxyfuroxano[3,4-d]pyrimidine (52) and 2-(trimethylsiloxy)-4,5dihydrofuran (55) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=301 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $160701-06$ | $1.130 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.661 \times 10^{-4}$ | 4 | 56 | 20.0 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| $160701-05$ | $7.357 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.067 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8 | 67 | 20.0 | $5.850 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $160701-04$ | $1.298 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.338 \times 10^{-3}$ | 10 | 76 | 20.0 | $5.914 \times 10^{2}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.907 \pm 0.044) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.83: 5-Methoxyfuroxano[3,4- $d$ ]pyrimidine (52) and 1-( $N$-piperidino)cyclohexene (24) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=301 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 170701-E | $2.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.425 \times 10^{-4}$ | 9 | 20.0 | $1.807 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 170701-D | $2.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.850 \times 10^{-4}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $1.844 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 170701-F | $2.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.275 \times 10^{-4}$ | 27 | 20.0 | $1.753 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 170701-B | $2.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.700 \times 10^{-4}$ | 36 | 20.0 | $1.645 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 170701-C | $2.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.212 \times 10^{-3}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $1.595 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.801 \pm 0.037) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

10.5 Rates and equilibria for the reactions of tertiary phosphanes and phosphites with carbocations

### 10.5.1 Synthesis of triaryl phosphanes

Tris(4-dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine (p-Me $\left.\mathbf{N C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ : In a carefully dried, nitrogenflushed two necked round-bottom flask equipped with a dropping funnel and reflux condensor, a solution of 4-bromo-N,N-dimethylaniline ( $24.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.123 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and 40 mL
absolute $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added within 30 min to a suspension of lithium-granules $(1.88 \mathrm{~g}, 0.271$ mol ) and 40 mL absolute $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{[111]}$ After stirring at room temperature ( 30 min ) the solution was refluxed for 30 min , and diluted with 100 mL absolute $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. At $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of phosphorus trichloride ( $3.6 \mathrm{ml}, 41.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 20 ml absolute $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added within 30 min. ${ }^{[112]}$ After stirring at room temperature ( 1 h ), refluxing ( 1 h ), and cooling down, the separated crude product was filtered off, dissolved in 100 mL of 2 n HCl and separated by addition of 100 mL of a $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$-solution. Filtering off, washing with water, recrystallization $\left(\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}: 10 / 1\right)$, and drying in HV gave pale yellow needles ( 10.83 g , 68 \%); m.p. 280-282 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit.: 278-282 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{[112]}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.92$ (s, 18 $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right), 6.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right), 7.19\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{3} J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}\right)$ in agreement with data published in ref. [113]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=40.3\left(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{NMe}_{2}\right), 112.2 / 112.3(2 \mathrm{~d}$, Ar), 124.4/124.5 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 134.4/134.7 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 150.4 (s, Ar) in agreement with data published in ref. [201]; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=-10.17$ (s).
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$$
\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}
$$

### 10.5.2 Reactions of phosphanes and phosphites with benzhydryl salts

General procedure: In a carefully dried, nitrogen-flushed Schlenk-flask a solution of the freshly distilled or recrystallized phosphane or phosphite in 2 mL absolute $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to a stirred solution of the benzhydryl salt in 50 mL absolute $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After stirring at room temperature for 30 min , the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was washed with 10 mL absolute $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried several hours in vacuo ( $10^{-2}$ mbar).

Remarks: Combinations of less reactive benzhydryl salts and less reactive phosphanes or phosphites sometimes are reversible. In such cases, the reaction products were accompanied by small amounts of of the reactants. In some cases it was not possible to isolate the products because the degree of conversion was too small.

Bis(4-(methylphenylamino)phenyl)methyl-tris(4-chlorophenyl)-phosphonium
$\mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(4-(methylphenylamino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (mpa) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$ ( $464 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a bright-blue solid ( $647 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=$ 3.25 (s, $6 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times$ $7-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 9-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 12-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 13-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=39.9(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-$ 10 ), 46.7 (dd, $J=37.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 115.9, 124.4, 124.6, 129.5, 130.3, 131.0, 135.8 (7 d, Ar), $115.8 / 116.9,121.0,142.1,147.5,149.1(6 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.33$ (s); MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 377 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 272$ (4), 254 (2), 196 (4), 165 (4), 154 (11), 136 (13).

NHB13
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Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl-tris(4-chlorophenyl)-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate (57) was obtained from tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (366 mg, 1 mmol ) and $\operatorname{bis}(4-(p h e n y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a dark violet-solid $(782 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=4.25(\mathrm{q}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 6.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H})$, $6.65-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 30 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 7-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 9-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 13-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 14-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=46.2(\mathrm{dd}, J=41.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1), 53.5(\mathrm{tq}, J=33.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-10), 124.9$ (sq, $J=283.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-11$ ), 116.9, 122.7, 123.0, 129.9, 130.4/130.6, 131.2, 135.7/135.9 (9 d, Ar), $115.5 / 116.6,121.4,142.3,145.7,148.3(6 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.14$ (s); MS (FAB): $m / z(\%): 877$ (1) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 513$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 154$ (13), 136 (11), 123 (10), 109 (19).

NHB12
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Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl-triphenyl-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate (58) was obtained from triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P} \quad(262 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{bis}(4-$ dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(340 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a bright-blue solid ( $494 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.91$ (s, $12 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.10 (d, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.53-7.77(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 9-\mathrm{H}, 3 \times 10-\mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=40.1$ (q, C-6), 47.7 (dd, $J=41.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 112.4, 128.2, 129.7, 134.5, 134.6 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 117.8/118.9, 119.5, 149.7 ( $4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 81 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=20.36(\mathrm{~s})$.

NHB01
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Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl-triphenyl-phosphonium tetra-
fluoroborate (59) was obtained from triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(262 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (mfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$(476 $\mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as a bright-red solid ( $476 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.98$ (s, 6 H, 8-H), 3.82 (q, $J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.58-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 23 \mathrm{H}, 4$ $\times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 10-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 11-\mathrm{H}, 3 \times 12-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=38.8(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-$
8), 46.9 (dd, $J=41.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 53.4 (q, $J=32.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6), 125.2$ ( $\mathrm{sq}, J=282.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 112.6, 129.7, 131.1, 134.5, 134.6 ( 5 d , Ar), 117.6/118.7, 121.0, 148.4 ( $4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 81 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.12(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{FAB}): m / z(\%): 651(4)[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 389$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 305$ (6), 202 (2), 183 (4), 154 (2), 136 (2).

NHB02
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$\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methyl-
tris(4-methylphenyl)-phospho-
nium tetrafluoroborate (60) was obtained from tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine (p$\left.\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}(304 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis $(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(392 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a green solid ( $536 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.80-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 16-\mathrm{H})$, $2.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.35-7.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $18 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 7-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 13-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 14-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.7$ (q, C-16), 21.8 (t, C-9), 27.6 (t, C-8), 38.7 (q, C-11), 48.8 (dd, $J=42.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 50.9 (t, C10), 110.6, 128.8, 130.4, 130.8, 134.7 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 115.0, 116.1, 123.1, 145.9, 146.5 ( $5 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=19.38(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{FAB}): m / z$ (\%): 609 (2) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 367$ (32), 305 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 275$ (2), 213 (9), 160 (3), 154 (4), 147 (3), 123 (4).

NHB04
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Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl-tris(4-methylphenyl)-phosphonium
borate (61) was obtained from tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine (p-MeC $\left.{ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}(304 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ) and bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(588 \mathrm{mg}$, 1 mmol ) as a bright-blue solid ( $703 \mathrm{mg}, 79 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $14-\mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.85-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 40 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 8 \times 7-\mathrm{H}, 8 \times 8-$ $\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 9-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 11-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 12-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.8(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-14), 47.4(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=45.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 122.2, 123.7, 125.2, 129.4, 130.6/130.8, 131.4/131.5, 134.6/134.8 (10 d, $\mathrm{Ar}), 114.6,115.8,146.1,147.0,148.2$ ( $5 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.33$ (s); MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 804 (3), $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 501$ (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 367$ (3), 254 (3), 211 (3), 167 (3).
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Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methyl-tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate was obtained from tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}(352 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(julolidin-9-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(444 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a brightblue solid ( $606 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.77-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 2.40-$ 2.62 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 13-\mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-$ H), 6.80-7.65 (m, $16 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 10-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 11-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=18.18$ (s); MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 709 (3) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 369$ (13), 357 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 245$ (2), 154 (18), 137 (13).
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Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl- tris(4-methoxyphenyl)-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate (63) was obtained from tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}(352 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ) and bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) $\mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(340 \mathrm{mg}$, 1 mmol ) as a blue-green solid ( $681 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.94(\mathrm{~s}, 12$ H, 6-H), 3.90 (s, 9 H, 11-H), 5.76 (d, $J=16.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.60-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times$ $4-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 9-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=40.8(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-6), 48.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=45.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 55.9 (q, C-11), 113.2, 115.6/115.8, 131.3, 136.5/136.7 (6 d, Ar), 108.5, 109.7, 149.6, 164.4 ( $4 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\boldsymbol{\delta}=19.32(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{FAB}): m / z(\%): 604$ (1) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 352$ (7), 281 (8), 253 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 221$ (9), 207 (11), 147 (28), 136 (15).

$\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$
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## $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methyl-(ntributyl)-phosphonium tetra-

fluoroborate (65) was obtained from $n$ tributylphosphine $(n B u)_{3} \mathrm{P}(202 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{bis}(N \text {-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$ $(392 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a orange-red solid (494 mg, $83 \%) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta=$
$0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 15-\mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times 13-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 14-\mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, 9-H), 2.06-2.23 (m, 6 H, 12-H), 2.63-2.83 (m, 4 H, 8-H), 2.87 (s, 6 H, 11-H), 3.12-3.32 (m, 4 $\mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H})$, $7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=13.3(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-15)$, $18.6(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-$ 14), 19.2 (t, C-13), 22.0 (t, C-9), 23.7/23.9/24.1 (3 t, C-12), 27.8 (t, C-8), 39.0 (dd, $J=41.1$ Hz, C-1), 51.0 (t, C-10), 111.4, 127.6, 129.7 (3 d, Ar), 119.9, 123.9, 146.6 ( $3 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=33.53$ (s); MS (FAB): m/z (\%): 506 (2) [M] ${ }^{+}, 467$ (18), 305 (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 265$ (6), 207 (6), 147 (15), 136 (7).

NHB10
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Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl-(ntributyl)-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate (66) was obtained from $n$ tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P} \quad(202 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis $(4-$ dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(340 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a bright-red solid ( $505 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}$, $10-\mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 6 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 9-\mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H})$, $5.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=13.2$ (q, C-10), 18.6 (t, C-9), 19.2 (t, C-8), 23.7/23.9/24.1 (3 t, C-7), 40.7 (q, C-6), 45.2 (dd, $J=41.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 113.5, 123.2 (2 d, Ar), 121.1, 149.9 ( 2 s , $\mathrm{Ar}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=34.07$ ( s ); MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 455 (6) $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}, 281$ (6), 253 (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 237$ (8), 221 (7), 154 (4), 147 (17), 136 (8).

NHB11
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Bis(4-(methyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methyl-triphenoxy-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate (67) was obtained from phosphorous acid triphenyl ester ( PhO$)_{3} \mathrm{P}(310 \mathrm{mg}, 1$ mmol ) and $\operatorname{bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(476 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a violet-blue solid ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{q}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=25.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H})$, 6.75-7.48 (m, $23 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 10-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 11-\mathrm{H}, 3 \times 12-\mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=40.4$ (q, C-8), 48.4 (dd, $J=135.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 53.7 (tq, $J=30.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-6$ ), 127.2 (sq, $J=319.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 114.6, 120.2, 125.1, 129.4, 130.2 ( $5 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 145.8, 150.9 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ) (the signal for the third quarternary carbon-atom is missing and probably masked by other intensive signals); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $81 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=19.86(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{FAB}): m / z(\%): 651$ (7), 622 (10), 389 (100) [ $\left.\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+}, 305$ (8), 154 (6), 136 (11).

NHB14
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Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methyl-tris(nbutoxy)-phosphonium tetrafluoroborate (68) was obtained from phosphorous acid tributyl ester $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P} \quad(0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis(4diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) CH $^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(588 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a blue-green solid ( $422 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=0.84-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, 13-\mathrm{H})$,
$1.24-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 12-\mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 11-\mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $25.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times 3-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 4-\mathrm{H}, 8 \times 7-\mathrm{H}, 8 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 4 \times 9-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=13.22(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-13)$, $18.13(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-12), 31.54 / 31.62(2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-11), 45.52$ (dd, $J=126.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}-1$ ), 73.90/74.02 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-10$ ), 122.66, 123.46, 124.68, 129.23, 130.01/130.13 ( $6 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 124.32/124.41, 146.88, 148.05/148.09 ( $5 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{Ar}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 81 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=37.05$ (s); MS (FAB): $m / z$ (\%): 694 (28), 501 (100) $\left[\mathrm{Ar}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right]^{+} 450$ (14), 258 (7).
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### 10.5.3 Concentrations and rate constants of the individual kinetic runs

Table 10.84: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{Nuc}_{0} /\right.} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190201.PA0 | $1.558 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.359 \times 10^{-3}$ | 87 | 52 | -79.4 | $6.867 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 190201.PA6 | $1.469 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.561 \times 10^{-3}$ | 174 | 67 | -75.0 | 1.020 |
| 190201.PA1 | $1.443 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.258 \times 10^{-3}$ | 87 | 62 | -71.1 | 1.536 |
| 190201.PA5 | $2.006 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.800 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 47 | -66.3 | 2.704 |
| 190201.PA2 | $1.579 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.752 \times 10^{-3}$ | 174 | 51 | -61.0 | 4.066 |
| 190201.PA4 | $1.451 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.523 \times 10^{-3}$ | 174 | 33 | -54.9 | 6.424 |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=31.182 \pm 0.996 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-83.608 \pm 4.865 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9959$

Arrhenius parameters:

$$
E_{\mathrm{a}}=32.890 \pm 0.989 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$\ln A=20.031 \pm 0.581$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9964$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.293 \pm 1.291) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.85: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=600 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230201.PA0 | $1.400 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.572 \times 10^{-3}$ | 112 | 68 | -78.2 | $1.336 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 230201.PA6 | $3.599 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.616 \times 10^{-3}$ | 45 | 82 | -76.6 | $1.582 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 230201.PA1 | $3.406 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.824 \times 10^{-3}$ | 112 | 66 | -70.1 | $2.518 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 230201.PA5 | $3.935 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.326 \times 10^{-3}$ | 34 | 77 | -65.3 | $3.656 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 230201.PA2 | $3.504 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.754 \times 10^{-3}$ | 79 | 61 | -60.4 | $5.490 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 230201.PA4 | $3.785 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.125 \times 10^{-3}$ | 56 | 57 | -54.6 | $8.311 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 230201.PA3 | $3.748 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.684 \times 10^{-3}$ | 45 | 55 | -49.9 | $1.009 \times 10^{2}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=24.667 \pm 0.602 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-93.188 \pm 2.900 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9970$
$k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.336 \pm 0.340) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.86: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-(m e t h y l p h e n y l a m i n o)\right.$ phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ | $k_{\text {eff }} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $220201-\mathrm{H}$ | $4.480 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.079 \times 10^{-4}$ | 46 | 20.0 | 6.915 |
| $220201-\mathrm{I}$ | $4.480 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.158 \times 10^{-4}$ | 93 | 20.0 | $1.142 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $220201-\mathrm{J}$ | $4.480 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.237 \times 10^{-4}$ | 139 | 20.0 | $1.582 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $220201-\mathrm{K}$ | $4.480 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.317 \times 10^{-4}$ | 186 | 20.0 | $2.013 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $220201-\mathrm{L}$ | $4.480 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.040 \times 10^{-3}$ | 232 | 20.0 | $2.433 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.4: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\mathrm{eff}}=\left(2.093 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+2.666, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9998 ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.093 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.666 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=7.852 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.87: $\operatorname{Bis}(4 \text {-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220201-A | $5.751 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.641 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $1.193 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 220201-B | $5.751 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.282 \times 10^{-4}$ | 57 | 20.0 | $1.194 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 220201-C | $5.751 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.923 \times 10^{-4}$ | 86 | 20.0 | $1.197 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 220201-G | $5.751 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.564 \times 10^{-4}$ | 114 | 20.0 | $1.205 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 220201-F | $5.751 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.205 \times 10^{-4}$ | 143 | 20.0 | $1.212 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.200 \pm 0.007) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.88: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200201-A | $6.006 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.112 \times 10^{-4}$ | 19 | 20.0 | $5.108 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 200201-B | $6.006 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.225 \times 10^{-4}$ | 37 | 20.0 | $4.910 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 200201-C | $6.006 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.450 \times 10^{-4}$ | 74 | 20.0 | $4.902 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 200201-D | $6.006 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.675 \times 10^{-4}$ | 111 | 20.0 | $4.920 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 200201-E | $6.006 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.337 \times 10^{-4}$ | 56 | 20.0 | $5.029 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.974 \pm 0.082) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.89: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-($ phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{pfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200201-F | $5.011 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.862 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $1.213 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 200201-I | $5.011 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.793 \times 10^{-5}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $1.142 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 200201-G | $5.011 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $1.113 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 200201-J | $5.011 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.655 \times 10^{-5}$ | 19 | 20.0 | $1.202 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 200201-H | $5.011 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.159 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $1.181 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.170 \pm 0.038) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.90: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(\right.$ lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\quad\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 060201.PA0 | $3.807 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.301 \times 10^{-3}$ | 113 | 50 | -80.4 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| 060201.PA1 | $4.237 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.786 \times 10^{-3}$ | 113 | 58 | -71.1 | $4.153 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 060201.PA2 | $2.353 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.253 \times 10^{-3}$ | 181 | 77 | -58.6 | 1.617 |
| 060201.PA3 | $2.657 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.842 \times 10^{-3}$ | 145 | 68 | -48.8 | 4.154 |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=35.869 \pm 0.752 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-71.003 \pm 3.623 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9991$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.854 \pm 0.617) \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=37.595 \pm 0.773 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=21.558 \pm 0.448$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9992$
 triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $\left[E l_{0} /\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. $/$ <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} /$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |
| $030101-02$ | $9.530 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.119 \times 10^{-4}$ | 33 | 87 | -78.5 | $4.195 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $030101-03$ | $1.240 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.044 \times 10^{-3}$ | 84 | 98 | -78.6 | $1.387 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-01$ | $1.292 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.813 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 98 | -78.4 | $2.308 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-06$ | $1.265 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.548 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 87 | -68.6 | $1.432 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-04$ | $1.283 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.080 \times 10^{-3}$ | 84 | 96 | -68.6 | $4.111 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-05$ | $1.191 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.671 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 96 | -68.5 | $6.277 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-21$ | $1.177 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.425 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 83 | -63.2 | $2.436 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-20$ | $1.228 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.072 \times 10^{-3}$ | 87 | 93 | -63.1 | $7.197 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-19$ | $1.415 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.764 \times 10^{-3}$ | 125 | 96 | -63.3 | $1.220 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-09$ | $1.114 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.125 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 73 | -58.2 | $4.098 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-08$ | $1.249 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.051 \times 10^{-3}$ | 84 | 92 | -58.0 | $1.151 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-07$ | $1.211 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.698 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 94 | -58.2 | $1.888 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-18$ | $1.226 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.421 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 67 | -53.2 | $7.938 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $030101-17$ | $1.239 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.037 \times 10^{-3}$ | 84 | 86 | -53.2 | $1.932 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-16$ | $1.289 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.798 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 90 | -53.2 | $3.334 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-12$ | $1.261 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.518 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 57 | -47.4 | $1.566 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-11$ | $1.186 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.927 \times 10^{-4}$ | 84 | 80 | -47.5 | $3.456 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-10$ | $1.236 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.724 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 86 | -47.3 | $4.767 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-15$ | $1.251 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.490 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 35 | -37.3 | $4.901 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-14$ | $1.222 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.022 \times 10^{-3}$ | 84 | 64 | -37.4 | $9.922 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $030101-13$ | $1.232 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.719 \times 10^{-3}$ | 140 | 74 | -37.3 | $1.469 \times 10^{-1}$ |



Figure 10.5: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}$ for the kinetic experiments from Table 10.91 at different temperatures.

Table 10.92: $k_{2}, k_{-2}$, and $K$-values for the kinetic experiments from Table 10.91 (for correlations see Figure 10.5).

| $T$ (average) $/$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $k_{-2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ | $K /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $r^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -78.5 | 1.258 | $4.313 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.916 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.9992 |
| -68.6 | 3.682 | $1.286 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.862 \times 10^{4}$ | 1.0000 |
| -63.2 | 6.866 | $3.446 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.992 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.9991 |
| -58.1 | $1.065 \times 10^{1}$ | $6.233 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.709 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.9987 |
| -53.2 | $1.746 \times 10^{1}$ | $1.705 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.024 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.9989 |
| -47.4 | $2.333 \times 10^{1}$ | $7.453 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.130 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.9800 |
| -37.3 | $7.143 \times 10^{1}$ | $2.480 \times 10^{-2}$ | $2.881 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.9994 |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=34.743 \pm 1.125 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-60.099 \pm 5.256 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9948$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.568 \pm 0.438) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.93: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-\left(N\right.\right.$-pyrrolidino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{pyr}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 021120.PA1 | $2.222 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.952 \times 10^{-3}$ | 88 | 86 | -71.1 | $8.476 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 021120.PA2 | $1.926 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.269 \times 10^{-3}$ | 66 | 62 | -59.1 | $2.225 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 021120.PA7 | $2.028 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.911 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 66 | -51.2 | $3.702 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 021120.PA5 | $1.692 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.433 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 51 | -40.9 | $7.145 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 021120.PA6 | $1.463 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.214 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 72 | -31.1 | $1.390 \times 10^{3}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=26.247 \pm 0.486 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-74.863 \pm 2.197 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9990$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=28.082 \pm 0.498 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=21.155 \pm 0.271$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9991$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.581 \pm 0.102) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.94: Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ at $\lambda=616 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290301-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.503 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.728 \times 10^{-4}$ | 50 | 20.0 | 4.060 |
| $290301-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.503 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.455 \times 10^{-4}$ | 99 | 20.0 | 8.090 |
| $290301-\mathrm{H}$ | $5.503 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.183 \times 10^{-4}$ | 149 | 20.0 | $1.237 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $290301-\mathrm{I}$ | $5.503 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.091 \times 10^{-3}$ | 198 | 20.0 | $1.617 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $290301-\mathrm{J}$ | $5.503 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.364 \times 10^{-3}$ | 248 | 20.0 | $2.060 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.6: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(1.509 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+8.970 \times 10^{-2}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9996 ).
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.509 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=8.970 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.682 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.95: Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) 2 $_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ at $\lambda=607 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $260301-\mathrm{D}$ | $6.420 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.357 \times 10^{-4}$ | 37 | 20.0 | 7.098 |
| $260301-\mathrm{E}$ | $6.420 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.714 \times 10^{-4}$ | 73 | 20.0 | $1.368 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $260301-\mathrm{F}$ | $6.420 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.071 \times 10^{-4}$ | 110 | 20.0 | $1.987 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.7: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=3, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(2.709 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+7.773 \times 10^{-1}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9997).
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.709 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=7.773 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.486 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.96: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $\lambda=613 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{\text {eff }} / \\ \mathrm{s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 260301-A | $4.821 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.428 \times 10^{-4}$ | 50 | 20.0 | 8.753 |
| 260301-B | $4.821 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.856 \times 10^{-4}$ | 101 | 20.0 | $1.719 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 260301-C | $4.821 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.284 \times 10^{-4}$ | 151 | 20.0 | $2.494 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.8: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=3, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(3.333 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+7.740 \times 10^{-1}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9997 ).
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.333 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=7.740 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.307 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.97: Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ at $\lambda=611 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $260301-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.654 \times 10^{-4}$ | 51 | 20.0 | $1.188 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $260301-\mathrm{H}$ | $5.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.307 \times 10^{-4}$ | 101 | 20.0 | $2.329 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $260301-\mathrm{I}$ | $5.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.961 \times 10^{-4}$ | 152 | 20.0 | $3.442 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $260301-\mathrm{J}$ | $5.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.061 \times 10^{-3}$ | 202 | 20.0 | $4.769 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $260301-\mathrm{K}$ | $5.256 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.327 \times 10^{-3}$ | 252 | 20.0 | $5.829 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.9: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(4.418 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+6.553 \times 10^{-1}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9990 ).
$k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.418 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=6.553 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=6.741 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.98: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ at $\lambda=609 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290301-\mathrm{A}$ | $4.186 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.612 \times 10^{-4}$ | 62 | 20.0 | 8.753 |
| $290301-\mathrm{B}$ | $4.186 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.225 \times 10^{-4}$ | 125 | 20.0 | $2.271 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 290301-C | $4.186 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.837 \times 10^{-4}$ | 187 | 20.0 | $3.108 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 290301-D | $4.186 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.045 \times 10^{-3}$ | 250 | 20.0 | $4.263 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 290301-E | $4.186 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.306 \times 10^{-3}$ | 312 | 20.0 | $5.677 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.10: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(4.439 \times 10^{4}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+2.260, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ $0.9935)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.439 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.260 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.964 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.99: Rate constants $k_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dielectric constants $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, E_{\mathrm{T}}(30)$-values, and donor numbers (donicities) $D N$ for the reactions of bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in various solvents.

| Solvent | $k_{2} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ | $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $E_{\mathrm{T}}(30) / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $D N / \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1[\mathrm{a}]}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ | $1.509 \times 10^{4}$ | 46.5 | 45.1 | 29.8 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ | $2.709 \times 10^{4}$ | 20.6 | 42.2 | 17.0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $3.333 \times 10^{4}$ | 35.9 | 45.6 | 14.1 |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | $4.418 \times 10^{4}$ | 4.8 | 39.1 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $4.439 \times 10^{4}$ | 35.9 | 46.3 | 2.7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $5.208 \times 10^{4}$ | 8.9 | 40.7 | 0.0 |

[^5]

Figure 10.11: Plot of $k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ versus $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ for the reactions of $\operatorname{bis}(4-$ dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in various solvents.


Figure 10.12: Plot of $k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ versus $E_{\mathrm{T}}$ (30) for the reactions of bis(4dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dma) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in various solvents.


Figure 10.13: Plot of $k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ versus $D N$ for the reactions of bis(4dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in various solvents $\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{2}=\left(-1.198 \times 10^{3}\right) \times D N+\left(4.963 \times 10^{4}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9804\right)$.
 triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \operatorname{mol~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191200-F | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.073 \times 10^{-5}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $1.748 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 191200-B | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.146 \times 10^{-5}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $1.808 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 191200-C | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.219 \times 10^{-5}$ | 36 | 20.0 | $1.824 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 191200-D | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.229 \times 10^{-4}$ | 48 | 20.0 | $1.819 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 191200-G | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.536 \times 10^{-4}$ | 60 | 20.0 | $1.753 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.790 \pm 0.033) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.101: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $240101-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.369 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.079 \times 10^{-5}$ | 5 | 20.0 | $8.252 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 240101-A | $2.369 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.158 \times 10^{-5}$ | 9 | 20.0 | $8.258 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 240101-D | $2.369 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.237 \times 10^{-5}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $8.042 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $240101-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.369 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.316 \times 10^{-5}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $8.345 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $240101-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.369 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.395 \times 10^{-5}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $8.433 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.266 \pm 0.130) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.102: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(\right.$ lilolidin- 8 -yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 080201.PA0 | $2.394 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.156 \times 10^{-3}$ | 174 | 75 | -79.2 | $9.000 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| 080201.PA1 | $2.702 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.691 \times 10^{-3}$ | 174 | 80 | -72.1 | 2.013 |
| 080201.PA2 | $2.306 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.201 \times 10^{-3}$ | 139 | 81 | -61.1 | 7.096 |
| 080201.PA4 | $2.201 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.292 \times 10^{-3}$ | 104 | - | -50.1 | $1.931 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 080201.PA5 | $2.228 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.547 \times 10^{-3}$ | 69 | 71 | -39.2 | $4.963 \times 10^{1}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=36.179 \pm 0.441 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-55.651 \pm 2.086 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9996$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=37.946 \pm 0.426 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=23.428 \pm 0.242$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9996$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.709 \pm 0.188) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.103: Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{jul}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Conv. / } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 190101.PA0 | $3.980 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.007 \times 10^{-3}$ | 50 | 75 | -80.1 | 6.195 |
| 190101.PA1 | $2.999 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.161 \times 10^{-3}$ | 72 | 82 | -73.0 | $1.142 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 190101.PA2 | $3.795 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.914 \times 10^{-3}$ | 50 | 79 | -63.3 | $3.203 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 190101.PA3 | $3.304 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.333 \times 10^{-3}$ | 40 | 59 | -53.5 | $7.309 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 190101.PA4 | $3.921 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.187 \times 10^{-3}$ | 30 | 42 | -43.2 | $1.594 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 190101.PA5 | $3.423 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.905 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20 | 55 | -33.4 | $3.357 \times 10^{2}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=31.370 \pm 0.474 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-63.990 \pm 2.219 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9991$

Arrhenius parameters:

$$
E_{\mathrm{a}}=33.154 \pm 0.478 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

$$
\ln A=22.435 \pm 0.269
$$

$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9992$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.145 \pm 0.513) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.104: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120101-\mathrm{K}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.072 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $5.741 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{J}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.143 \times 10^{-4}$ | 40 | 20.0 | $5.665 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{I}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.215 \times 10^{-4}$ | 59 | 20.0 | $5.672 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{H}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.287 \times 10^{-4}$ | 79 | 20.0 | $5.630 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.359 \times 10^{-4}$ | 99 | 20.0 | $5.584 \times 10^{4}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.658 \pm 0.052) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.105: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\operatorname{tris}\left(4\right.$-methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211200-F | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.889 \times 10^{-5}$ | 19 | 20.0 | $2.501 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 211200-G | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.978 \times 10^{-4}$ | 38 | 20.0 | $2.410 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 211200-H | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.967 \times 10^{-4}$ | 57 | 20.0 | $2.430 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 211200-I | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.956 \times 10^{-4}$ | 76 | 20.0 | $2.417 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 211200-J | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.945 \times 10^{-4}$ | 95 | 20.0 | $2.412 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.434 \pm 0.034) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.106: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-(m e t h y l p h e n y l a m i n o)\right.$ phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $201200-\mathrm{K}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.780 \times 10^{-5}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $1.250 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $201200-\mathrm{G}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.559 \times 10^{-5}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $1.265 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $201200-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.112 \times 10^{-4}$ | 46 | 20.0 | $1.291 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $201200-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.668 \times 10^{-4}$ | 69 | 20.0 | $1.275 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $201200-\mathrm{J}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.224 \times 10^{-4}$ | 92 | 20.0 | $1.259 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.268 \pm 0.014) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.107: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine (p-MeC $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $191200-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.656 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $8.233 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $191200-\mathrm{K}$ | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.484 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $8.347 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $191200-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.312 \times 10^{-5}$ | 13 | 20.0 | $8.318 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $191200-\mathrm{L}$ | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.140 \times 10^{-5}$ | 16 | 20.0 | $8.404 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $191200-\mathrm{J}$ | $2.542 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.968 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $8.220 \times 10^{6}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.304 \pm 0.070) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.108: Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4methoxyphenyl)phosphine (p- $\left.\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 090201.PA0 | $1.789 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.444 \times 10^{-3}$ | 193 | 56 | -80.1 | $k_{\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}_{2} /}$ |
| 090201.PA1 | $1.732 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.334 \times 10^{-3}$ | 193 | 75 | -71.4 | 5.611 |
| 090201.PA2 | $1.712 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.637 \times 10^{-3}$ | 154 | 69 | -60.7 | $1.601 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 090201.PA3 | $1.711 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.976 \times 10^{-3}$ | 116 | 59 | -50.2 | $4.205 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 090201.PA4 | $1.943 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.496 \times 10^{-3}$ | 77 | 48 | -39.3 | $1.083 \times 10^{2}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=34.841 \pm 0.362 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-55.006 \pm 1.714 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9997$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=36.604 \pm 0.356 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=23.503 \pm 0.202$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9997$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.067 \pm 0.289) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
 methoxyphenyl)phosphine (p-MeOC $\left.{ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $230101-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.329 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.661 \times 10^{-4}$ | 50 | 20.0 | $2.007 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $230101-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.329 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.321 \times 10^{-4}$ | 100 | 20.0 | $1.931 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $230101-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.329 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.982 \times 10^{-4}$ | 150 | 20.0 | $1.885 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $230101-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.329 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.064 \times 10^{-3}$ | 200 | 20.0 | $1.929 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $230101-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.329 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.596 \times 10^{-3}$ | 300 | 20.0 | $1.906 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.932 \pm 0.041) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.110: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628$ nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120101-\mathrm{A}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.137 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $1.028 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.275 \times 10^{-4}$ | 42 | 20.0 | $1.036 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.412 \times 10^{-4}$ | 63 | 20.0 | $1.031 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.550 \times 10^{-4}$ | 84 | 20.0 | $1.027 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.409 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.687 \times 10^{-4}$ | 105 | 20.0 | $1.024 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.029 \pm 0.004) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.111: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine (p-MeOC $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $211200-\mathrm{A}$ | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.152 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $4.912 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $211200-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.304 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44 | 20.0 | $4.939 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $211200-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.457 \times 10^{-4}$ | 67 | 20.0 | $4.849 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 211200-D | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.609 \times 10^{-4}$ | 89 | 20.0 | $4.825 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $211200-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.197 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.761 \times 10^{-4}$ | 111 | 20.0 | $4.846 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.874 \pm 0.044) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.112: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-\left(\right.\right.$ methylphenylamino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{mpa}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}\right.$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $201200-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.941 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $2.337 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $201200-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.882 \times 10^{-5}$ | 16 | 20.0 | $2.342 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $201200-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.823 \times 10^{-5}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $2.408 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 201200-D | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.765 \times 10^{-5}$ | 32 | 20.0 | $2.395 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 201200-E | $2.412 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.706 \times 10^{-5}$ | 40 | 20.0 | $2.411 \times 10^{6}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.379 \pm 0.032) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.113: 4-(4-Methoxybenzylidene)-2,6-di-phenyl-cyclohexa-2,5-dienone ani(Ph) $)_{2} \mathrm{QM}$ and tris(4-dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=413 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}\hline \text { No. } & \begin{array}{c}{[E l]_{0} /} \\
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}{[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}\end{array} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}} & \begin{array}{c}T /\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}k_{2} / \\
{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\end{array}
$$ <br>

\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\end{array}\right]\)|  | $3.005 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.020 \times 10^{-4}$ | 17 | 20.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $050702-\mathrm{A}$ | $3.153 \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| $050702-\mathrm{B}$ | $3.005 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.605 \times 10^{-4}$ | 26 | 20.0 |
| $050702-\mathrm{C}$ | $3.005 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.019 \times 10^{-3}$ | 35 | 20.0 |
| $050702-\mathrm{D}$ | $3.005 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.536 \times 10^{-3}$ | 52 | 20.0 |
| $050702-\mathrm{E}$ | $3.005 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.053 \times 10^{-3}$ | 69 | 20.0 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.114 \pm 0.684) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.114: Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine $\left(p-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $020702-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.745 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.078 \times 10^{-4}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $2.308 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020702-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.745 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.156 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 20.0 | $2.426 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020702-\mathrm{A}$ | $7.745 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.234 \times 10^{-4}$ | 42 | 20.0 | $2.421 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020702-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.745 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.312 \times 10^{-4}$ | 56 | 20.0 | $2.503 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020702-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.745 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.390 \times 10^{-4}$ | 70 | 20.0 | $2.468 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.425 \pm 0.066) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
 dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine (p- $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $010702-\mathrm{H}$ | $6.293 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.007 \times 10^{-4}$ | 16 | 20.0 | $7.026 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{I}$ | $6.293 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.511 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $7.096 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{G}$ | $6.293 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.015 \times 10^{-4}$ | 32 | 20.0 | $7.213 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{J}$ | $6.293 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.519 \times 10^{-4}$ | 40 | 20.0 | $7.007 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{F}$ | $6.293 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.022 \times 10^{-4}$ | 48 | 20.0 | $6.722 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.013 \pm 0.162) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.116: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-2,3-dihydro- 1 H -indol-5-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (ind) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 625 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.411 \pm 0.075) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.117: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=$ 628 nm (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $010702-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.618 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.005 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $3.442 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.618 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.008 \times 10^{-5}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $3.293 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.618 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.201 \times 10^{-4}$ | 16 | 20.0 | $3.249 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $010702-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.618 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.802 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $3.154 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.285 \pm 0.104) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.118: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-dimethylaminophenyl)phosphine ( $\left.\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030702-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.763 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.001 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4 | 20.0 | $9.179 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $030702-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.763 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.140 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $1.144 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $030702-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.763 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.279 \times 10^{-5}$ | 12 | 20.0 | $1.129 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $030702-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.763 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.558 \times 10^{-5}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $1.047 \times 10^{7}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.059 \pm 0.090) \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.119: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triisopropylphosphine $(i \operatorname{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030102-\mathrm{H}$ | $6.679 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.308 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| $030102-\mathrm{I}$ | $6.679 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.962 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $2.838 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $030102-\mathrm{J}$ | $6.679 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.616 \times 10^{-4}$ | 39 | 20.0 | $2.926 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $030102-\mathrm{G}$ | $6.679 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.270 \times 10^{-4}$ | 49 | 20.0 | $2.572 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.765 \pm 0.132) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.120: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(\right.$ julolidin-9-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\quad(\mathrm{jul})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tricyclohexylphosphine $\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $030102-\mathrm{A}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.119 \times 10^{-4}$ | 47 | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |
| $030102-\mathrm{B}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.159 \times 10^{-4}$ | 62 | 20.0 | $2.752 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $030102-\mathrm{C}$ | $6.698 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.587 \times 10^{-4}$ | 83 | 20.0 | $2.866 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.898 \pm 0.134) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.121: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tricyclohexylphosphine $\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 020101-A | $6.761 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.873 \times 10^{-4}$ | 43 | 20.0 | $3.017 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 020101-B | $6.761 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.831 \times 10^{-4}$ | 57 | 20.0 | $3.076 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 020101-C | $6.761 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.789 \times 10^{-4}$ | 71 | 20.0 | $2.934 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 020101-G | $6.761 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.184 \times 10^{-4}$ | 106 | 20.0 | $2.559 \times 10^{4}$ |
| 020101-F | $6.761 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.578 \times 10^{-4}$ | 142 | 20.0 | $2.541 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.825 \pm 0.229) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.122: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tricyclohexylphosphine $\mathrm{Cy}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $020102-\mathrm{H}$ | $7.949 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.790 \times 10^{-4}$ | 23 | 20.0 | $1.235 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020102-\mathrm{K}$ | $7.949 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.685 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 20.0 | $1.181 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020102-\mathrm{I}$ | $7.949 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.580 \times 10^{-4}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $1.222 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $020102-\mathrm{J}$ | $7.949 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.475 \times 10^{-4}$ | 56 | 20.0 | $1.476 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.279 \pm 0.116) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.123: $\operatorname{Bis}(\text { lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 201299.PA1 | $5.459 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.114 \times 10^{-4}$ | 8 | 80 | -80.4 | $7.741 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 201299.PA2 | $7.343 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.256 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6 | 81 | -71.1 | $1.479 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 201299.PA3 | $4.186 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.942 \times 10^{-4}$ | 9 | 70 | -58.6 | $2.609 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 201299.PA4 | $4.613 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.259 \times 10^{-4}$ | 7 | 67 | -48.8 | $4.769 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 201299.PA5 | $3.493 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.008 \times 10^{-4}$ | 9 | 75 | -48.8 | $8.058 \times 10^{2}$ |
| 201299.PA6 | $3.239 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.034 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6 | 60 | -48.8 | $1.160 \times 10^{3}$ |
| 201299.PA7 | $2.539 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.530 \times 10^{-4}$ | 6 | 68 | -48.8 | $2.011 \times 10^{3}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=22.438 \pm 0.366 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-95.813 \pm 1.584 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9987$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=24.362 \pm 0.364 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=18.685 \pm 0.189$
$r^{2}=0.9989$

$$
k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.072 \pm 0.240) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.124: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100101-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.231 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.982 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $1.006 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{B}$ | $5.231 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.964 \times 10^{-5}$ | 19 | 20.0 | $1.020 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{C}$ | $5.231 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.495 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $1.107 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{D}$ | $5.231 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.993 \times 10^{-4}$ | 38 | 20.0 | $1.129 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{E}$ | $5.231 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.491 \times 10^{-4}$ | 48 | 20.0 | $1.160 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.084 \pm 0.061) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.125: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ at $\lambda=607 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $230301-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.987 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.897 \times 10^{-5}$ | 30 | 20.0 | $9.720 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $230301-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.987 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.112 \times 10^{-4}$ | 37 | 20.0 | $1.187 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $230301-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.987 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.224 \times 10^{-4}$ | 75 | 20.0 | $1.324 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.161 \pm 0.145) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.126: Bis (4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $\lambda=606 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $230301-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.634 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.458 \times 10^{-5}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $4.348 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $230301-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.634 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.687 \times 10^{-5}$ | 25 | 20.0 | $4.548 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $230301-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.634 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.917 \times 10^{-5}$ | 34 | 20.0 | $4.481 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.459 \pm 0.083) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.127: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 090101-A | $2.822 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.982 \times 10^{-5}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $7.684 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 090101-B | $2.822 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.964 \times 10^{-5}$ | 35 | 20.0 | $7.116 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 090101-C | $2.822 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.495 \times 10^{-4}$ | 53 | 20.0 | $8.046 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 090101-D | $2.822 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.993 \times 10^{-4}$ | 71 | 20.0 | $7.291 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 090101-E | $2.822 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.491 \times 10^{-4}$ | 88 | 20.0 | $8.252 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.678 \pm 0.431) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.128: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-(m e t h y l p h e n y l a m i n o)\right.$ phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphine $(n \mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $100101-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.395 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.397 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $4.509 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.395 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.596 \times 10^{-5}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $4.778 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{L}$ | $2.395 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.794 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $4.602 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $100101-\mathrm{K}$ | $2.395 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.993 \times 10^{-5}$ | 25 | 20.0 | $4.824 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.678 \pm 0.128) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.129: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and triphenylphosphite $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $090301-3$ | $2.341 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.106 \times 10^{-4}$ | 18 | 72 | 20.0 | 4.198 |
| $090301-1$ | $2.474 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.680 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 83 | 20.0 | 3.611 |
| $090301-5$ | $2.255 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.384 \times 10^{-3}$ | 61 | 88 | 20.0 | 3.387 |
| $090301-4$ | $1.767 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.170 \times 10^{-3}$ | 123 | 90 | 20.0 | 3.308 |
| $090301-2$ | $2.590 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.272 \times 10^{-3}$ | 88 | 93 | 20.0 | 3.229 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.547 \pm 0.350 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.130: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and triphenylphosphite $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{M})$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120301-3$ | $1.754 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.229 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 89 | 20.0 | $2.042 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $120301-5$ | $1.230 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.449 \times 10^{-4}$ | 36 | 88 | 20.0 | $2.183 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $120301-1$ | $1.781 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.586 \times 10^{-4}$ | 48 | 94 | 20.0 | $2.102 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $120301-4$ | $1.691 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.223 \times 10^{-3}$ | 72 | 94 | 20.0 | $1.986 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $120301-2$ | $1.841 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.220 \times 10^{-3}$ | 121 | 95 | 20.0 | $1.992 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.061 \pm 0.074) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.131: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-($ phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and triphenylphosphite $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{M})$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{Nuc}_{0} /\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[{\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}}^{\text {Conv. / }} \begin{array}{c}T / \\ \%\end{array}\right.$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $130301-2$ | $1.509 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.022 \times 10^{-4}$ | 20 | 94 | 20.0 | $5.957 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $130301-1$ | $1.382 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.535 \times 10^{-4}$ | 40 | 96 | 20.0 | $5.930 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $130301-4$ | $1.705 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.024 \times 10^{-3}$ | 60 | 97 | 20.0 | $5.747 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $130301-5$ | $1.761 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.410 \times 10^{-3}$ | 80 | 97 | 20.0 | $5.780 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $130301-3$ | $1.530 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.532 \times 10^{-3}$ | 100 | 97 | 20.0 | $5.809 \times 10^{1}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.845 \pm 0.084) \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.132: $\operatorname{Bis}(2,3 \text {-dihydrobenzo }[b] \text { furan-5-yl)methylium triflate (fur) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$OTf and triphenylphosphite $(\mathrm{PhO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=470 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ |  | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 160301.PA2 | $2.382 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.974 \times 10^{-4}$ | 83 | -72.9 | 84 | 5.287 |
| 160301.PA3 | $2.030 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.366 \times 10^{-3}$ | 166 | -61.2 | 80 | $1.529 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 160301.PA4 | $2.042 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.693 \times 10^{-3}$ | 83 | -50.2 | 82 | $3.854 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 160301.PA5 | $2.234 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.481 \times 10^{-3}$ | 66 | -40.5 | 61 | $7.580 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 160301.PA6 | $2.023 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.006 \times 10^{-3}$ | 50 | -29.2 | 57 | $1.628 \times 10^{2}$ |



Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=30.019 \pm 0.253 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-77.772 \pm 1.480 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9998$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=31.851 \pm 0.232 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=20.804 \pm 0.127$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9998$

$$
k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.371 \pm 0.080) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.133: $\operatorname{Bis}(\text { lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphite $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 211299.PA3 | $3.322 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.030 \times 10^{-3}$ | 61 | 92 | 20.0 | 1.587 |
| 211299.PA1 | $4.011 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.586 \times 10^{-3}$ | 65 | 78 | 20.0 | 1.579 |
| 211299.PA0 | $2.595 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.718 \times 10^{-3}$ | 105 | 81 | 20.0 | 1.655 |
| 211299.PA2 | $2.303 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.016 \times 10^{-3}$ | 131 | 88 | 20.0 | 1.610 |
| 211299.PA4 | $2.886 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.559 \times 10^{-3}$ | 262 | 86 | 20.0 | 1.676 |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.621 \pm 0.038 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.134: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphite $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $070620-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.529 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.273 \times 10^{-5}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $7.976 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $070620-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.529 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.055 \times 10^{-4}$ | 42 | 20.0 | $8.162 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $070620-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.529 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.582 \times 10^{-4}$ | 63 | 20.0 | $8.305 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $070620-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.529 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.109 \times 10^{-4}$ | 84 | 20.0 | $8.386 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $070620-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.529 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.637 \times 10^{-4}$ | 104 | 20.0 | $8.403 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.246 \pm 0.160) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.135: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-(m e t h y l(2,2,2$-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mfa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tributylphosphite $(n \mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=593 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $240101-\mathrm{L}$ | $1.184 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.514 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $3.661 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $240101-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.184 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.029 \times 10^{-4}$ | 43 | 20.0 | $3.687 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $240101-\mathrm{I}$ | $1.184 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.543 \times 10^{-4}$ | 64 | 20.0 | $3.770 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $240101-\mathrm{J}$ | $1.184 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.006 \times 10^{-3}$ | 85 | 20.0 | $3.701 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $240101-\mathrm{K}$ | $1.184 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.257 \times 10^{-3}$ | 106 | 20.0 | $3.705 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.705 \pm 0.036) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.136: $\operatorname{Bis}(4-($ phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tributylphosphite ( $\left.n \mathrm{BuO}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250101-F | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.693 \times 10^{-5}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $1.122 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 250101-B | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.739 \times 10^{-4}$ | 35 | 20.0 | $1.093 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 250101-C | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.608 \times 10^{-4}$ | 52 | 20.0 | $1.085 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 250101-D | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.477 \times 10^{-4}$ | 70 | 20.0 | $1.085 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 250101-E | $4.997 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.346 \times 10^{-4}$ | 87 | 20.0 | $1.082 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.093 \pm 0.015) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

### 10.5.4 Concentrations and equilibrium constants

General: The determination of equilibrium constants were performed as described in Chapter 2. $K$ values that have only been measured at one temperature $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ are avereged ( $\bar{K}$ ) and given with standard deviations. When measurements are made at variable temperatures, $K$ ( 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) values have been derived from extrapolations of the linear plots of $\ln K$ versus $1 / T_{\text {abs }}$ (van't Hoff equation).

Table 10.137: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $020301-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.307 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.400 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.030 \times 10^{-6}$ | -62.5 | $1.511 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.294 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.373 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.347 \times 10^{-6}$ | -57.5 | $9.820 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.280 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.347 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.040 \times 10^{-6}$ | -52.9 | $6.407 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.266 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.320 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.956 \times 10^{-6}$ | -48.0 | $4.292 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.252 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.293 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.008 \times 10^{-5}$ | -43.1 | $2.883 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.238 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.267 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.218 \times 10^{-5}$ | -38.3 | $1.966 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{G}$ | $2.224 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.240 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.408 \times 10^{-5}$ | -33.4 | $1.369 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.210 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.213 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.569 \times 10^{-5}$ | -28.5 | $9.710 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.307 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.186 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.690 \times 10^{-5}$ | -23.6 | $7.149 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $020301-\mathrm{J}$ | $2.294 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.159 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.772 \times 10^{-5}$ | -18.6 | $5.551 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.14: Plot of $\ln K$ versus $1 / T_{\text {abs }}\left(\mathrm{n}=10, \ln K=\left(4.099 \times 10^{3}\right) \times\left(1 / T_{\text {abs }}\right)-(1.215 \times\right.$ $\left.\left.10^{1}\right), r^{2}=0.9994\right)$.
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=6.257 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} H^{\circ}=-34.079 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} S^{\circ}=-101.0 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.138: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-(m e t h y l p h e n y l a m i n o)\right.$ phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> L mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $260201-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.672 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.027 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.501 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $8.565 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $260201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.736 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.752 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.166 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $8.446 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $260201-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.827 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.614 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.301 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $8.437 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $260201-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.863 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.340 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.853 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $8.616 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(8.516 \pm 0.077) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.139: Bis(4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-chlorophenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $060301-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.480 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.431 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.045 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $7.466 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $060301-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.587 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.682 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.115 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $7.954 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $060301-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.326 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.854 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.873 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $7.318 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(7.579 \pm 0.272) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.140: $\operatorname{Bis}(\text { lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) })_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{El}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $080301-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.738 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.077 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.337 \times 10^{-6}$ | -50.5 | $3.959 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.728 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.071 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.638 \times 10^{-6}$ | -45.9 | $2.576 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.718 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.064 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.159 \times 10^{-6}$ | -41.3 | $1.699 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.708 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.058 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7.819 \times 10^{-6}$ | -36.8 | $1.129 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.698 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.052 \times 10^{-3}$ | $9.522 \times 10^{-6}$ | -32.1 | $7.495 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.688 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.046 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.102 \times 10^{-5}$ | -27.5 | $5.104 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{G}$ | $1.677 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.039 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.240 \times 10^{-5}$ | -22.7 | $3.402 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $080301-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.667 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.033 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.346 \times 10^{-5}$ | -18.0 | $2.314 \times 10^{2}$ |



Figure 10.15: Plot of $\ln K$ versus $1 / T_{\text {abs }}\left(\mathrm{n}=8, \ln K=\left(4.962 \times 10^{3}\right) \times\left(1 / T_{\text {abs }}\right)-(1.398 \times\right.$ $\left.10^{1}\right), r^{2}=0.9997$ ).
$K\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.907 \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} H^{\circ}=-41.253 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} S^{\circ}=-116.2 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
 triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{El}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $120101-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.685 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.940 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.325 \times 10^{-6}$ | -62.8 | $1.334 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.676 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.890 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.858 \times 10^{-6}$ | -58.3 | $9.173 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.666 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.839 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.597 \times 10^{-6}$ | -53.7 | $6.228 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.657 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.788 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.505 \times 10^{-6}$ | -49.2 | $4.305 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.647 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.737 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.660 \times 10^{-6}$ | -44.6 | $2.941 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.637 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.685 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.008 \times 10^{-6}$ | -39.9 | $2.010 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{G}$ | $1.626 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.622 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.506 \times 10^{-6}$ | -34.3 | $1.366 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.616 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.571 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.915 \times 10^{-6}$ | -29.7 | $9.562 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{I}$ | $1.606 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.520 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.018 \times 10^{-5}$ | -25.1 | $6.829 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{J}$ | $1.596 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.468 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.116 \times 10^{-5}$ | -20.4 | $5.108 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $120101-\mathrm{K}$ | $1.586 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.414 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.188 \times 10^{-5}$ | -15.6 | $3.999 \times 10^{2}$ |



Figure 10.16: Plot of $\ln K$ versus $1 / T_{\text {abs }}\left(\mathrm{n}=11, \ln K=\left(4.102 \times 10^{3}\right) \times\left(1 / T_{\mathrm{abs}}\right)-9.972, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ $0.9996)$.
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=5.573 \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} H^{\circ}=-34.573 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} S^{\circ}=-84.51 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.142: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $010301-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.338 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.544 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.368 \times 10^{-7}$ | -36.8 | $2.956 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.324 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.529 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.189 \times 10^{-7}$ | -32.1 | $1.587 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.310 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.514 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.892 \times 10^{-7}$ | -27.5 | $9.748 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.296 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.498 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.571 \times 10^{-6}$ | -22.8 | $5.959 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.281 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.483 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.294 \times 10^{-6}$ | -18.1 | $3.927 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.267 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.467 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.299 \times 10^{-6}$ | -13.2 | $2.583 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{G}$ | $2.252 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.451 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.533 \times 10^{-6}$ | -8.4 | $1.747 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.238 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.435 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.996 \times 10^{-6}$ | -3.6 | $1.203 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.223 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.419 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.671 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.3 | $8.346 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{J}$ | $2.209 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.404 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.382 \times 10^{-6}$ | 6.1 | $5.948 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010301-\mathrm{K}$ | $2.194 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.387 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.081 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11.0 | $4.523 \times 10^{3}$ |



Figure 10.17: Plot of $\ln K$ versus $1 / T_{\text {abs }}\left(\mathrm{n}=11, \ln K=\left(5.818 \times 10^{3}\right) \times\left(1 / T_{\mathrm{abs}}\right)-(1.217 \times\right.$ $\left.10^{1}\right), r^{2}=0.9973$ ).
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.162 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{\mathrm{R}} H^{\circ}=-48.375 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta_{R} S^{\circ}=-101.172 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~K}^{-1} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.143: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and triphenylphosphine $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $270201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.470 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.766 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.071 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $1.334 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270201-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.762 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.030 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.642 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.266 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.744 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.016 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.009 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.186 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $270201-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.480 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.023 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.265 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.271 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.264 \pm 0.053) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.144: Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& \mathrm{M})$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $210301-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.463 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.278 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.509 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.378 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $210301-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.774 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.204 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.243 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $1.358 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $210301-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.723 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.239 \times 10^{-3}$ | $6.369 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.388 \times 10^{3}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.375 \pm 0.013) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
 methylphenyl)phosphine ( $\left.\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $010201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.776 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.295 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.464 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $2.375 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.774 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.857 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.241 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $2.383 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.773 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.784 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.082 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $2.352 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.771 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.709 \times 10^{-4}$ | $9.544 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.361 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.770 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.632 \times 10^{-4}$ | $8.550 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.357 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.768 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.553 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.714 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.370 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{G}$ | $1.767 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.473 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.047 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.367 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.765 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.392 \times 10^{-4}$ | $6.451 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.385 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{I}$ | $1.764 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.308 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.941 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.404 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{J}$ | $1.762 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.224 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.516 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.411 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{K}$ | $1.761 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.014 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.147 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.418 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{L}$ | $1.759 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.105 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.821 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.426 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{M}$ | $1.758 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.196 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.537 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.430 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{N}$ | $1.756 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.287 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.268 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.446 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{O}$ | $1.755 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.378 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.041 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.450 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{P}$ | $1.753 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.468 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.829 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.461 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{Q}$ | $1.752 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.559 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.644 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.464 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{R}$ | $1.750 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.649 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.488 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.457 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $010201-\mathrm{S}$ | $1.749 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.739 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.319 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.475 \times 10^{3}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.410 \pm 0.040) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.146: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and tris(4-methylphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $K /$ <br> L mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $280201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.651 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.929 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.294 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $2.030 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $280201-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.474 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.335 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.007 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $1.750 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $280201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.388 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.379 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.746 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.588 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $280201-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.388 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.753 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.024 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.386 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.688 \pm 0.236) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.147: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(\right.$ lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $020201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.640 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.976 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.529 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.663 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.639 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.961 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.502 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.695 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.638 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.194 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.704 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.720 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.636 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.392 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.070 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.741 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.635 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.590 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.551 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.761 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.633 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.787 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.120 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.780 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{G}$ | $1.632 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.983 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.766 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.794 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.630 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.180 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.462 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.808 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{I}$ | $1.629 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.376 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.825 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{J}$ | $1.627 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.572 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.981 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.829 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{K}$ | $1.626 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.767 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.766 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.854 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{L}$ | $1.624 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.962 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.588 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.867 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{M}$ | $1.623 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.157 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.436 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.875 \times 10^{4}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.786 \pm 0.064) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
 methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{El}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | L mol <br> -1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $020201-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.117 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.476 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.566 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.658 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.115 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.708 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.345 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.527 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.113 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.935 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.962 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.428 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.110 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.116 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.977 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.395 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.108 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.338 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.271 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.366 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.106 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.559 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.727 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.357 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{G}$ | $2.104 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.780 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.315 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.336 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.102 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.000 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.977 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.330 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{I}$ | $2.100 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.220 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.712 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.309 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{J}$ | $2.098 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.440 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.463 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.334 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{K}$ | $2.096 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.659 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.272 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.327 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{L}$ | $2.094 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.878 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.124 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.292 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{M}$ | $2.092 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.096 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.963 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.322 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{N}$ | $2.089 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.314 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.831 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.334 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $020201-\mathrm{O}$ | $2.087 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.531 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.728 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.318 \times 10^{4}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.376 \pm 0.094) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.149: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-5-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (ind) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine $\left(\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=625$ nm (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{El}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $160201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.812 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.025 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.300 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $4.328 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.810 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.032 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.058 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $4.735 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.809 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.204 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.323 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.180 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.808 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.503 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.398 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.449 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{E}$ | $1.807 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.803 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.556 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{F}$ | $1.806 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.102 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.734 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.728 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{G}$ | $1.805 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.401 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.890 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.924 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{H}$ | $1.803 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.699 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.319 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $6.010 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $160201-\mathrm{I}$ | $1.802 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.997 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.904 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $6.112 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.447 \pm 0.569) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.150: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and tris(4-methoxyphenyl)phosphine ( $\left.\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{P}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628$ nm (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $150201-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.414 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.885 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.662 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $1.262 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.413 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.051 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.425 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $1.096 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.412 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.313 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.195 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $1.065 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.410 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.574 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.777 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.034 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.409 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.836 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.817 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $9.990 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.408 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.097 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.150 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $9.586 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{G}$ | $2.406 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.357 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.793 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $9.338 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $150201-\mathrm{H}$ | $2.405 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.618 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.763 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $9.147 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.033 \pm 0.105) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

### 10.6 Nucleophilc reactivities of pyridine and its derivatives

### 10.6.1 Reactions of pyridine derivatives with benzhydryl salts

General procedure: In a carefully dried, nitrogen-flushed Schlenk-flask a solution of the pyridine-derivative in 5 mL absolute $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added dropwise to a solution of the benzhydryl salt in 50 mL absolute $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After stirring at room temperature for 1 h , the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to yield the crude product, which was washed with 20 mL absolute $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried several hours in vacuo ( $10^{-2} \mathrm{mbar}$ ).

1-Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methyl-(4-dimethylamino)pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (77) was obtained from (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(lilolidin-8yl)methylium tetrafluoroborat (lil) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.163 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a bright-blue solid ( 51 $\mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=1.91-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 8-$ H), 2.78-2.86 (m, 4 H, 12-H), 2.96-2.99 (m, 4 H, 11-H), $3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 16-\mathrm{H}), 3.22-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4$ $\mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ or $7-\mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ or $7-\mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 13-\mathrm{H}$ or $14-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.86 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 13-\mathrm{H}$ or $14-\mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=22.3,23.3,27.8,46.1,54.3$ ( $5 \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{C}-8, \mathrm{C}-9, \mathrm{C}-10, \mathrm{C}-11, \mathrm{C}-12$ ), 39.3 (q, C-16), 74.3 (d, C-1), 107.1 (d, C-13 or C-14), 121.8, 126.4 ( $2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-7$ ), 140.5 (d, C-13 or C-14), 118.9, 126.3, 129.1, 150.3 (4 s, C-2, C-4, C-5, C-6), 156.3 (s, C-15).
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1-Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methyl-(4-dimethylamino)pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (78) was obtained from (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(julolidin-9yl)methylium tetrafluoroborat ( jul$)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}(73 \mathrm{mg}, 0.164 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a bright-blue solid ( 63 $\mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=1.81-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 6-$
H), 2.96-3.16 (m, $14 \mathrm{H}, 8 \times 8-\mathrm{H}, 6 \times 12-\mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ or $10-\mathrm{H}$ ), 7.85 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{H}$ or $10-\mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75.5 MHz , $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ): $\delta=21.2$ (t, C-7), 27.1 (t, C-6), 39.3 ( $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-12$ ), 49.1 (t, C-8), 73.7 (d, C-1), 107.1 (d, C-9 or C-10), 121.3 (s, C-4), 122.9 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ), 126.5 (d, C-3), 140.5 (d, C-9 or C-10), 147.3 (s, C-5), 156.3 (s, C-11).
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1-Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methyl-(4-dimethylamino)pyridinium tetrafluoroborate (79) was obtained from (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) ( $61.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.506 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborat (dma) 2 $^{+}{ }^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$(172 mg, 0.506 mmol ) as a bright-blue solid ( $169 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=2.93$ (s, 12 H , $6-\mathrm{H}$ ), 3.18 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 10-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.57 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}$ ), 6.64 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ or 4-H), 6.82 (d, $J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}$ or $8-\mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ or $4-\mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 7-$ H or $8-\mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=40.0(\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{C}-6), 53.5$ (d, C-1), 73.9 (q, C-10), 107.6 (d, C-7 or C-8), 112.3 (d, C-3 or C-4), 123.5 (s, C-2 or C-5), 129.2 (d, C-3 or C-4), 140.8 (d, C-7 or C-8), 150.5 (s, C-2 or C-5), 156.3 (s, C-9).
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### 10.6.2 Synthesis of acetic acid cyclohexyl ester

In a dried, nitrogen-flushed round-bottom flask equipped with a dropping funnel and reflux condensor, acetic anhydride ( $32.40 \mathrm{~g}, 0.317 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added within 30 min to a suspension of cyclohexanol ( $28.20 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 0.282 \mathrm{~mol})$, triethylamine $(36.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.361 \mathrm{~mol})$, (4dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 0.008 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and 100 mL absolute methylene chloride. After 1 h the solvent was removed by vacuo, the residue was solved in 100 mL $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and washed with 100 mL 2 n HCl . The organic layer was washed 2 times with 100 mL saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After removing the solvent in vacuo the crude product was purified by distillation to give a colorless oil ( $21.42 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ ); b.p. 85-86 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 30 \mathrm{mbar} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=1.20-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times$ $4-\mathrm{H}, 2 \times 5-\mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 5-\mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 4-\mathrm{H})$, 1.96 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, 1-\mathrm{H}$ ), 4.63-4.71 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}, 3-\mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=21.4$ (q, C-1), 23.8 (t, C-5), 25.3 (t, C-6), 31.6 (t, C-4), 72.6 (d, C-3), 170.5 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{C}-2$ ); Signal assignments are based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-COSY experiments; in agreement with data published in ref. [202]; elemental analysis calcd (\%) for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (142.2): C 67.57, H 9.92; found C 67.50, H 10.12.
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10.6.3 Concentrations and rate constants for the reactions of pyridine derivatives with benzhydryl salts

Table 10.151: Bis(4-(phenyl(2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)amino)phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (pfa) $2_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 4-chloropyridin (pClP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=601 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $241001-\mathrm{A}$ | $4.798 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.822 \times 10^{-5}$ | 8 | 20.0 | $1.282 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $241001-\mathrm{B}$ | $4.798 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.644 \times 10^{-5}$ | 16 | 20.0 | $1.424 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $241001-\mathrm{C}$ | $4.798 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.147 \times 10^{-4}$ | 24 | 20.0 | $1.694 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $241001-\mathrm{D}$ | $4.798 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.529 \times 10^{-4}$ | 32 | 20.0 | $1.848 \times 10^{2}$ |



Figure 10.18: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(5.146 \times 10^{5}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+\left(1.070 \times 10^{2}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}\right.$ $=0.9850$ ).
$k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=5.146 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.070 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.809 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.152: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and pyridine ( pHP ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $091001-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.511 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.545 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $9.076 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $091001-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.511 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.090 \times 10^{-4}$ | 41 | 20.0 | $1.479 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $091001-\mathrm{A}$ | $7.511 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.635 \times 10^{-4}$ | 62 | 20.0 | $1.843 \times 10^{2}$ |



Figure 10.19: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=3, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(3.027 \times 10^{5}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+4.746 \times 10^{1}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9838 ).
$k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.027 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.746 \times 10^{1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=6.378 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.153: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 4-methylpyridine ( pMeP ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $231001-\mathrm{M}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.985 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $2.896 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{L}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.970 \times 10^{-5}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $6.133 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{I}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.955 \times 10^{-5}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $8.569 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{J}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.194 \times 10^{-4}$ | 28 | 20.0 | $1.085 \times 10^{2}$ |



Figure 10.20: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(8.807 \times 10^{5}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+5.386, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ 0.9928 ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=8.807 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=5.386 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.635 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.154: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 4-methoxypyridine ( pMeOP ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=674 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $231001-\mathrm{H}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.181 \times 10^{-5}$ | 5 | 20.0 | $1.796 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{G}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.362 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $4.345 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{D}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.543 \times 10^{-5}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $6.365 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{E}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.724 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20 | 20.0 | $8.108 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $231001-\mathrm{F}$ | $4.303 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.090 \times 10^{-4}$ | 25 | 20.0 | $9.701 \times 10^{1}$ |



Figure 10.21: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=5, k_{\mathrm{eff}}=\left(8.979 \times 10^{5}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+1.890, \mathrm{r}^{2}=\right.$ $0.9908)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=8.979 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.890 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.751 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 10.155: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 4-aminopyridine (pAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $111201-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.796 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.120 \times 10^{-4}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $3.167 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 111201-D | $7.796 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.240 \times 10^{-4}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $3.079 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 111201-A | $7.796 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.360 \times 10^{-4}$ | 43 | 20.0 | $2.978 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 111201-B | $7.796 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.479 \times 10^{-4}$ | 58 | 20.0 | $2.931 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $111201-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.796 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.599 \times 10^{-4}$ | 72 | 20.0 | $2.962 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.023 \pm 0.087) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.156: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(\right.$ lilolidin- 8 -yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\quad\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=640 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Schölly).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /} \\ & \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | Conv. / \% | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151001.PA0 | $2.995 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.273 \times 10^{-3}$ | 109 | 84 | -78.9 | 1.777 |
| 151001.PA1 | $3.613 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.037 \times 10^{-3}$ | 84 | 87 | -72.2 | 4.270 |
| 151001.PA2 | $2.692 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.353 \times 10^{-3}$ | 87 | 76 | -60.5 | $1.496 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 151001.PA3 | $3.134 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.712 \times 10^{-3}$ | 55 | 73 | -49.5 | $4.133 \times 10^{1}$ |
| 151001.PA4 | $2.395 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.047 \times 10^{-3}$ | 44 | 90 | -39.5 | $1.085 \times 10^{2}$ |

Eyring-Plot


Eyring parameters:
$\Delta H^{\neq}=37.110 \pm 0.524 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\Delta S^{\neq}=-45.264 \pm 2.476 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$
$r^{2}=0.9994$

Arrhenius parameters:
$E_{\mathrm{a}}=38.877 \pm 0.521 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
$\ln A=24.677 \pm 0.296$
$\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.9995$
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.448 \pm 0.531) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Table 10.157: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-5-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (ind) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=625 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.888 \pm 0.099) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.158: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $121001-\mathrm{E}$ | $7.587 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.696 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $1.483 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $121001-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.587 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.392 \times 10^{-4}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $1.538 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $121001-\mathrm{A}$ | $7.587 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.088 \times 10^{-4}$ | 67 | 20.0 | $1.270 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 121001-B | $7.587 \times 10^{-6}$ | $6.784 \times 10^{-4}$ | 89 | 20.0 | $1.324 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $121001-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.587 \times 10^{-6}$ | $8.480 \times 10^{-4}$ | 112 | 20.0 | $1.425 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.408 \pm 0.099) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.159: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $101001-\mathrm{F}$ | $7.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.798 \times 10^{-5}$ | 5 | 20.0 | $6.700 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101001-\mathrm{G}$ | $7.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.317 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $6.547 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101001-\mathrm{A}$ | $7.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.596 \times 10^{-5}$ | 11 | 20.0 | $6.548 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101001-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.519 \times 10^{-4}$ | 21 | 20.0 | $6.553 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101001-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.196 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.279 \times 10^{-4}$ | 32 | 20.0 | $6.432 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(6.556 \pm 0.085) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.160: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4-(m e t h y l p h e n y l a m i n o)\right.$ phenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{mpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+}$ $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=622 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $110101-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.757 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.973 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $2.923 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $110101-\mathrm{E}$ | $2.757 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.702 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $2.961 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $110101-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.757 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.945 \times 10^{-5}$ | 14 | 20.0 | $2.757 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 110101-B | $2.757 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.918 \times 10^{-5}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $2.688 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $110101-\mathrm{F}$ | $2.757 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.891 \times 10^{-5}$ | 29 | 20.0 | $2.819 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.830 \pm 0.101) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.161: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-azetidino)pyridine ( pAzP ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $290502-\mathrm{D}$ | $7.995 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.194 \times 10^{-4}$ | 15 | 20.0 | $2.948 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $290502-\mathrm{A}$ | $7.995 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.389 \times 10^{-4}$ | 30 | 20.0 | $2.882 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $290502-\mathrm{C}$ | $7.995 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.583 \times 10^{-4}$ | 45 | 20.0 | $3.191 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $290502-\mathrm{B}$ | $7.995 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.777 \times 10^{-4}$ | 60 | 20.0 | $3.077 \times 10^{4}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(3.025 \pm 0.119) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.162: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4-azetidino)pyridine ( pAzP ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.737 \pm 0.096) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.163: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dpa})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-azetidino)pyridine (pAzP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $\begin{gathered} {[E l]_{0} /} \\ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /[E]_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & T / \\ & { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} k_{2} / \\ \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 290502-A | $7.239 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.777 \times 10^{-5}$ | 7 | 20.0 | $1.646 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 290502-D | $7.239 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.166 \times 10^{-5}$ | 10 | 20.0 | $1.851 \times 10^{6}$ |
| 290502-C | $7.239 \times 10^{-6}$ | $9.554 \times 10^{-5}$ | 13 | 20.0 | $1.554 \times 10^{6}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.684 \pm 0.124) \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.164: $\operatorname{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-pyrrolidino)pyridine ( pPyrP ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=612 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $071201-\mathrm{B}$ | $8.607 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.557 \times 10^{-5}$ | 9 | 20.0 | $9.540 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $071201-\mathrm{D}$ | $8.607 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.134 \times 10^{-4}$ | 13 | 20.0 | $9.367 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $071201-\mathrm{A}$ | $8.607 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.511 \times 10^{-4}$ | 18 | 20.0 | $9.341 \times 10^{5}$ |
| 071201-E | $8.607 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.889 \times 10^{-4}$ | 22 | 20.0 | $9.019 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $071201-\mathrm{C}$ | $8.607 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.267 \times 10^{-4}$ | 26 | 20.0 | $9.076 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(9.269 \pm 0.194) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.165: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(\right.$ lilolidin- 8 -yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $\left(\mathrm{lil}_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}\right.$and $1,2,3,4-$ tetrahydro-[1,6]naphthyridine (H-THNaph) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\text {eff }} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $171002-\mathrm{L}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.006 \times 10^{-4}$ | 17 | 20.0 | $8.600 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $171002-\mathrm{M}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.012 \times 10^{-4}$ | 34 | 20.0 | 1.360 |
| $171002-\mathrm{J}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.018 \times 10^{-4}$ | 51 | 20.0 | 1.899 |
| $171002-\mathrm{K}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.031 \times 10^{-4}$ | 84 | 20.0 | 2.855 |



Figure 10.22: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(4.967 \times 10^{3}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+\left(3.692 \times 10^{-1}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}\right.$ $=0.9994$ ) .
$k_{2}\left(20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.967 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=3.692 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.345 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.166: Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 1-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-[1,6]naphthyridine (Me-THNaph) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[\mathrm{El}]_{0}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $k_{\mathrm{eff}} /$ <br> $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $171002-\mathrm{F}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $4.291 \times 10^{-4}$ | 72 | 20.0 | 2.808 |
| $171002-\mathrm{H}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $5.722 \times 10^{-4}$ | 96 | 20.0 | 3.297 |
| $171002-\mathrm{G}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $7.152 \times 10^{-4}$ | 120 | 20.0 | 4.166 |
| $171002-\mathrm{I}$ | $5.967 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.430 \times 10^{-3}$ | 240 | 20.0 | 7.387 |



Figure 10.23: Plot of $k_{\text {eff }}$ versus $[N u c]_{0}\left(\mathrm{n}=4, k_{\text {eff }}=\left(4.629 \times 10^{3}\right) \times[N u c]_{0}+\left(7.733 \times 10^{-1}\right), \mathrm{r}^{2}\right.$ $=0.9981$ ) .
$k_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=4.629 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$k_{-2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=7.733 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$K\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=5.986 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.167: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and 5,6,9,10-tetrahydro-4H,8H-pyrido[3,2,1-ij]naphthyridine (Py-THNaph) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}$ (Stopped flow).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /[E l]_{0}$ | $T /$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $131201-\mathrm{N}$ | $5.476 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.156 \times 10^{-4}$ | 39 | 20.0 | $2.499 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $131201-\mathrm{L}$ | $5.476 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.515 \times 10^{-4}$ | 46 | 20.0 | $2.491 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $131201-\mathrm{H}$ | $5.476 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.874 \times 10^{-4}$ | 53 | 20.0 | $2.448 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $131201-\mathrm{M}$ | $5.476 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.233 \times 10^{-4}$ | 59 | 20.0 | $2.419 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $131201-\mathrm{I}$ | $5.476 \times 10^{-6}$ | $3.593 \times 10^{-4}$ | 66 | 20.0 | $2.404 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{k}_{2}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.452 \pm 0.038) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

10.6.4 Concentrations and equilibrium constants for the reactions of pyridine derivatives with benzhydryl salts

Table 10.168: Bis (4-diphenylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (dpa) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and pyridine (pHP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $131101-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.080 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.355 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.959 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.074 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $131101-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.646 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.034 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.278 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.298 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $131101-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.184 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.863 \times 10^{-5}$ | $9.471 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.321 \times 10^{4}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.231 \pm 0.111) \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$
 4-methylpyridine (pMeP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=672 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{El}] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{K} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $141101-\mathrm{A}$ | $3.551 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.494 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.996 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.344 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $141101-\mathrm{B}$ | $3.458 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.165 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.632 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.278 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $141101-\mathrm{C}$ | $3.293 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.809 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.691 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $4.596 \times 10^{5}$ |

$$
\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.073 \pm 0.338) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.170: Bis(lilolidin-8-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (lil) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=639 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{T} /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $K /$ <br> L mol |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $121201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.693 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.228 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.329 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.762 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $121201-\mathrm{B}$ | $1.693 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.829 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.597 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.703 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $121201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.693 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.420 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.335 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.631 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $121201-\mathrm{D}$ | $1.848 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.322 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.079 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $5.721 \times 10^{3}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.704 \pm 0.047) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.171: Bis(julolidin-9-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (jul) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \quad \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$and (4dimethylamino)pyridin (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=642 \mathrm{~nm}$ (J\&M).

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $041201-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.161 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.780 \times 10^{-4}$ | $5.818 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.872 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $041201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.161 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.195 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.753 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $5.848 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $041201-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.161 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.601 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.153 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $5.826 \times 10^{3}$ |

$$
\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(5.849 \pm 0.019) \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

Table 10.172: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-indol-5-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (ind) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=625 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $061201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.084 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.547 \times 10^{-4}$ | $7.271 \times 10^{-7}$ | 20.0 | $2.056 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $061201-\mathrm{A}$ | $2.084 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.755 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.934 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.667 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $061201-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.360 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.776 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.972 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.658 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $061201-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.360 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.395 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.013 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.462 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(1.711 \pm 0.216) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}$

Table 10.173: $\operatorname{Bis}(N$-methyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinolin-6-yl)methylium tetrafluoroborate (thq) $)_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=628 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{Nuc}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{L} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $101201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.690 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.038 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.173 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.452 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101201-\mathrm{D}$ | $2.012 \times 10^{-5}$ | $8.377 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.682 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $1.678 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101201-\mathrm{C}$ | $2.646 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7.348 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.828 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $2.760 \times 10^{5}$ |
| $101201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.646 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.679 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.558 \times 10^{-6}$ | 20.0 | $3.230 \times 10^{5}$ |

$\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(2.814 \pm 0.320) \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$

Table 10.174: $\mathrm{Bis}\left(4\right.$-dimethylaminophenyl)methylium tetrafluoroborate $(\mathrm{dma})_{2} \mathrm{CH}^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and (4-dimethylamino) pyridine (DMAP) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\lambda=613 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{~J} \& M)$.

| No. | $[E l]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[N u c]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[E l] /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $T /$ <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $K /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $051201-\mathrm{B}$ | $2.075 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.290 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.444 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $6.225 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $051201-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.962 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.165 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.068 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $4.189 \times 10^{7}$ |
| $051201-\mathrm{A}$ | $1.886 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.081 \times 10^{-5}$ | $3.241 \times 10^{-5}$ | 20.0 | $2.507 \times 10^{7}$ |

$$
\bar{K}\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=(4.307 \pm 1.520) \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}
$$

10.6.5 Concentrations and rates for the base-catalyzed acetylations of cyclohexanol

General: The IR-kinetics were measured with a ReactIR ${ }^{\text {TM }} 1000$ instrument, controlled by ReactIR Software Version 2 from ASI Applied Systems. All measurements are performed in absolute methylene chloride at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, educts were purified before use. For the evaluation of the kinetics under second order conditions, the right part of eq. 8.1 was plotted versus $t$, and the linear part (indicated in the column $\%$ conversion) was used to determine $k_{2}$ (for detailed information see Chapter 8.8). For the evaluation of the kinetics under pseudo-first-order conditions, $\ln \left(A_{0}-A_{\text {end }} / A_{\mathrm{t}}-A_{\text {end }}\right)$ was plotted versus $t$, and the linear part (indicated in the column \% conversion) was used to determine $k$.

Table 10.175: Concentrations and rate constants of the reactions $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{cHexOH} /$ pyridine $(\mathrm{pHP}) / \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $v=1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

| No. | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{HexOH}]_{0}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{pHP}_{0} /\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $210102-17$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.285 \times 10^{-2}$ | 56 | $8.816 \times 10^{-6}$ |

Table 10.176: Concentrations and rate constants of the reactions $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{cHexOH} / 4-$ methylpyridine $(\mathrm{pMeP}) / \mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $\mathrm{v}=1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

| No. | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{HexOH}]_{0}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{pMeP}_{0} /\right.$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $210102-16$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.296 \times 10^{-2}$ | 37 | $1.306 \times 10^{-5}$ |

Table 10.177: Concentrations and rate constants of the reactions $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{cHexOH} / 4$ aminopyridine (pAP) / $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $v=1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

| No. | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{HexOH}]_{0}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{pAP}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $170102-12$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.588 \times 10^{-2}$ | 53 | $5.755 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $170102-11$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.288 \times 10^{-2}$ | 37 | $1.055 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Table 10.178: Concentrations and rate constants of the reactions $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / c \mathrm{HexOH} /(4-$ dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) / $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $v=1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

| No. | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{cHexOH}]_{0}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{DMAP}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $140102-01$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.293 \times 10^{-2}$ | 92 | $3.380 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $140102-02$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.585 \times 10^{-2}$ | 91 | $1.360 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $140102-03$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 89 | $6.921 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $140102-04$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.447 \times 10^{-3}$ | 83 | $4.258 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $280102-22$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0 | $5.293 \times 10^{-2}$ | a | a |
| $280102-23$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | 0 | b | b |
| $310102-25$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | 1.441 | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 83 | $6.873 \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{cc}]}$ |
| $310102-26$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | 2.883 | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 85 | $6.937 \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{cc}]}$ |
| $310102-27$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | 2.162 | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.255 \times 10^{-2}$ | 89 | $6.850 \times 10^{-3[\mathrm{cc}]}$ |

${ }^{[a]}$ Very slow reaction, not first order (becomes slower), $\tau_{1 / 2} \approx 960 \mathrm{~s} .{ }^{[b]}$ No reaction. ${ }^{[c]}$ Pseudo-first-order conditions.

Table 10.179: Concentrations and rate constants of the reactions $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / c \mathrm{HexOH} /(4-$ pyrrolidino) pyridine ( pPyrP ) / $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $v=1127 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

| No. | $\left[\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{cHexOH}]_{0}$ <br> $/ \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{3}\right]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | $[\mathrm{pPyrP}]_{0} /$ <br> $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{L}^{-1}$ | Conv. / <br> $\%$ | $k_{2} /$ <br> $\mathrm{L} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $160102-09$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $7.447 \times 10^{-3}$ | 87 | $7.697 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $160102-08$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.258 \times 10^{-2}$ | 95 | $1.337 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $160102-07$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.587 \times 10^{-2}$ | 88 | $2.527 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $160102-10$ | $4.772 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.045 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.350 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.296 \times 10^{-2}$ | 90 | $4.551 \times 10^{-2}$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{[a]}$ From ref. [60].

[^1]:    ${ }^{[a]}$ Eyring activation parameters are given in Table 6.4. ${ }^{[b]}$ Value of $s$ is estimated. ${ }^{[c]}$ Counterion: OTf ${ }^{-}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{[\text {a] }}$ From ref. [26]. ${ }^{[b]}$ Calculated from ref. [12] with $\Delta H^{\neq}=41 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\neq}=-60 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ for the reaction in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. ${ }^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ From ref. [12] for the reaction in acetone. ${ }^{[d]}$ From ref. [12]

[^3]:    ${ }^{[a]}$ See Chapter 3. ${ }^{[b]}$ Calculated by the Marcus equation 4.1. ${ }^{[d]}$ For determination see text. ${ }^{[d]}$ Eyring activation parameters: $\Delta H^{\neq}=37.11 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}, \Delta S^{\neq}=-45.26 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{[a]}$ No reaction observed within 3 h . ${ }^{[b]}$ Pseudo-first-order conditions. Rate constant calculated by eq. 2.4 from the initial slope. ${ }^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ Very slow reaction, not second-order (becomes slower), $\tau_{1 / 2} \approx 960 \mathrm{~s}$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{[\text {a] }}$ from ref. [100].

